





IN THE CUSTODY OF THE BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY.



ADAMS 234.6









John Adams 17 69

## MORAL AND POLITICAL

DIALOGUES;

WITH

LETTERS ON

CHIVALRY AND ROMANCE:

BYTHE

REVEREND MR. HURD.

THE THIRD EDITION.

VOL. II.

L O N D O N,

PRINTED BY W. BOWYER,

FOR A. MILLAR, IN THE STRAND; AND
W. THURLBOURN AND J. WOODYER,

AT CAMBRIDGE. MDCCLXV.

-1

\*\DAMS234.6 v. 2

# CONTENTS.

## VOL. II.

#### DIALOGUE IV.

On the age of Q. ELIZABETH.

MR. DIGBY, DR. ARBUTHNOT, MR. ADDISON.

#### DIALOGUES V, VI.

On the constitution of the English. government. SIR J. MAYNARD, MR. SOMERS, BP. BURNET.

DIALOGUE

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

# DIALOGUE IV.

On the Age of Queen ELIZABETH.

MR. DIGBY, DR. ARBUTHNOT, MR. ADDISON.

BUT do you confider, faid Mr. Addr-son, as they descended into the valley, what an invidious task you are going to impose upon me? One cannot call in question a common opinion in any indifferent matter, without the appearance of some degree of perverseness. But to do it in a case of this importance, where the greatest authorities stand in the way, and the glory of one of our princes is concerned, will, I doubt, be liable to the imputation of fomething worse than singularity. For, besides that you will be apt to upbraid me, in the words of the poet,

VOL. II.

B

Nullum

#### 2 DIALOGUES MORAL

Nullum memorabile nomen

Fæminea in pæna est, nec habet victoria
laudem,

fuch a liberty of censure is usually taken for an argument, not of discourtesy or presumption only, but of ill-nature. At best, the attempt to arraign the virtues and government of Elizabeth will appear but like the idleness of the old sophists, who, you know, were never so well pleased as when they were controverting some acknowledged fact, or assaulting some established character.

THAT censure might be just enough, Dr. Arbuthnot said, of the old sophists, who had nothing in view but the credit of their own skill in the arts of disputation. But in this friendly debate, which means nothing more than private amusement, I see no colour for such apprehensions.

But what shall we say, interposed Mr. Addison, to another difficulty? The subject

fubject is very large; and it feems no eafy matter to reduce it into any distinct order. Besides, my business is not so much to advance any thing of my own, as to object to what others have advanced concerning the same and virtues of Elizabeth. And to this end, I must desire to know the particulars on which you are disposed to lay the greatest stress, and indeed to have some plan of the subject delivered in to me, which may serve, as it were, for the ground-work of the whole conversation.

I MUST not prefume, faid Dr. ARBUTHNOT, to prefcribe the order in which your attack on the great queen shall be conducted. The subject, indeed, is large. But this common route of history is well known to all of us. To that, then, you may well enough refer, without being at the trouble, before you go to work, of laying foundations. Or, if you will needs have a basis to build B 2 upon,

upon, what if I just run over the several circumstances which I conceive to make most for the credit of that reign? A sketch of this fort, I suppose, will answer all the ends of the plan, you seem to require of me.

Mr. Addison agreed to this propofal; which he thought would be of use to shorten the debate, or at least to render the progress of it more clear and intelligible.

In few words then, refumed Dr. Arbuthnot, the reasons, that have principally determined me to an admiration of the government and character of queen Elizabeth, are such as these: "That she came to the crown with all possible disadvantages; which yet, by the prudence and vigour of her counsels, she entirely overcame: that she triumphed over the greatest foreign and domestic dangers: that she humbled the most formidable

midable power in Europe by her arms; and composed, or checked at least, by the firmness of her administration, Two, the most implacable and firey factions at home: that she kept down the rebellious spirit of Ireland, and eluded the constant intrigues of her restless neighbours, the Scots: that she fixed our religious establishment on folid grounds, and countenanced, or rather conducted the Protestant cause abroad: that she made her civil authority respected by her subjects: and raifed the military glory of the nation, both by fea and land, to the greatest height: that she employed the ablest fervants, and enacted the wifeft laws: by all which means it came to pass that she lived in a constant good understanding with her parliaments, was idolized by her people, and admired and envied by all the rest of the world."

ALAS, faid Mr. Addison, I shall never be able to follow you through all the B3 particulars

particulars of this encomium; and, to fay the truth, it would be to little purpose; fince the wisdom of her policy, in all these instances of her government, can only be estimated from a careful perufal of the histories of that time; too numerous and contradictory to be compared and adjusted in this conversation. All I can do, continued he, after taking a moment or two to recollect himself, is to abate the force of this panegyric by fome general observations on the cir-CUMSTANCES and GENIUS of that time; and then to confider the personal QUALI-TIES of the queen which are thought to reflect fo great a lustre on her government.

As you please, Dr. Arbuthnot replied. We shall hardly lose ourselves in this beaten field of history. And, besides, as your undertaking is so adventurous, it is but reasonable you should have the choice of your own method.

You are in the common opinion, I perceive, refumed Mr. Addison, that Elizabeth's government was attended with all possible disadvantages. On the contrary, it appears to me that the security and even splendor of her reign is chiefly to be accounted for from the fortunate circumstances of her situation.

Of these the first, that demands our notice, is the great affair of religion.

The principles of Protestantism had now for many years been working among the people. They had grown to that head in the short reign of Edward VI, that the bloody feverities of his successor ferved only to exasperate the zeal, with which these principles had been embraced and promoted. Elizabeth, coming to the crown at this juncture, was determined, as well by interest as inclination, to take the side of B 4

the new religion. I fay by interest, as well as inclination. And, I think, I have reason for the affertion. For though the persons in power, and the clergy throughout the kingdom were generally professed papists, yet they were most of them fuch as had conformed in king EDWARD's days, and were not therefore much to be feared for any tie, their profession could really have on their consciences. Whereas, on the other hand, it was eafy to fee from many symptoms, that the general bent of the nation was towards protestantism; and that, too, followed with a spirit, which must in the end prevail over all opposition. Under these circumstances, then, it was natural for the queen, if she had not been otherwife led by her principles, and the interest of her title, to favour the reformation.

THE truth is, she came into it herself fo heartily, and provided so effectually for

for its establishment, that we are not to wonder she became the idol of the reformed, at the fame time that the papal power through all Europe was confederated against her. The enthusiasm of her protestant subjects was prodigious. It was raised by other considerations; but confirmed in all orders of the state by the eafe, they felt in their deliverance from the tyranny of the church, and in the great especially, by the sweets they tasted in their enjoyment of the churchrevenues. It was, in short, one of those extraordinary conjunctures, in which the public danger becomes the public fecurity; when religion and policy, conscience and interest unite their powers to support the authority of the prince, and to give fidelity, vigour, and activity to the obedience of the subject.

AND thus it was, continued he, that fo warm and unconquerable a zeal appeared in defence of the queen against

all attempts of her enemies. Her people were so thoroughly protestant as to think no expence of her government too great, provided they could but be secured from relapsing into popery. And her parliaments were disposed to wave all disputes about the stretch of her prerogative, from a sense of their own and the common danger.

In magnifying this advantage of the zeal and union of ELIZABETH's good subjects, you forgot, faid Dr. Arbuthnot, that two restless and inveterate factions were contending, all her life-time, within her own kingdom.

I AM fo far from forgetting that circumftance, returned Mr. Addison, that I esteem it another of the great advantages of her situation.

The contrary tendencies of those factions in some respects defeated each other. other. But the principal use, of them was, that, by means of their practices, some domestic plot, or foreign alarm, was always at hand to quicken the zeal and instance the loyalty of her people. But to be a little more particular about the factions of her reign.

THE PAPIST was, in truth, the only one she had reason to be alarmed at. The Puritan had but just begun to shew himself, though indeed with that ferocity of air and feature, which fignified clearly enough what spirit he was of, and what, in good time, he was likely to come to. Yet even he was kept in tolerable humour by a certain commodious policy of the queen, which was fo to divide her regards betwixt the church and the puritans, as made it the interest of both to keep well with her. 'Tis true, these last felt the weight of her refentment fometimes, when they ventured too fawcily to oppose themselves to the establishment.

#### 12 DIALOGUES MORAL

establishment. But this was rarely, and by halves: and, when checked with the most rigour, they had the satisfaction to see their patrons continue in the highest places at court, and, what is more, in the highest degree of personal favour.

AND what doth all this shew, interrupted Dr. Arbuthnot, but that she managed so well as to disarm a furious faction, or rather make it serve against the bent of its nature, to the wise ends of her government?

As to any wife ends of government, I fee none, replied Mr. Addison, deferving to be fo called, that were answered by her uncertain conduct towards the puritans. For she neither restrained them with that severity, which might perhaps have prevented their growth, at first, nor shewed them that entire indulgence, which might have disabled their fury afterwards. It is true, this temporizing

temporizing conduct was well enough adapted to prevent disturbances in her own time. But large materials were laid in for that terrible combustion, which was soon to break forth under one of her successors.

AND fo, instead of imputing the disasters that followed, said Dr. Arbuthnot, to the ill government of the Stuarts, you are willing to lay the whole guilt of them on this last and greatest of the Tudors. This is a new way of defending that royal house; and, methinks, they owe you no small acknowledgments for it. I confess, it never occurred to me to make that apology for them.

THOUGH I would not undertake, faid Mr. Addison, to make their apology from this, or any other, circumstance, I do indeed believe that part of the difficulties the house of STUART had to encounter, were brought upon them by

#### 14 DIALOGUES MORAL

this wretched policy of their predecessor. But, waving this confideration, I defire you will take notice of what I chiefly infift upon, " That the eafe and fecurity of ELIZABETH's administration was even favoured by the turbulent practices and clashing views of her domestic factions." The Puritan was an inftrument, in her hands, of controlling the church, and of balancing the power of her ministers: besides, that this fort of people were, of all others, the most inveterate against the common enemy. And for the Papists themselves (not to insist that, of course, they would be strictly watched, and that they were not, perhaps, fo confiderable as to create any immediate danger [a]) the general abhorrence both of their

principles

<sup>[</sup>a] This will be admitted, if a calculation faid to have been made by themselves of their number at that time may be relied on—" They make reasoning (saith Sir Edwin Sandys in his Speculum Europæ, written in 1699) of forty hundred sure catholics in England, with four hundred English Roman priests to maintain that militia," p. 157.

principles and defigns had the greatest effect in uniting more closely and cementing, as it were, the affections of the rest of her subjects. So that, whether within or without, the common danger, as I expressed it, was the common safety.

STILL, faid Dr. Arbuthnot, I must think this a very extraordinary conclufion. I have no idea of the security of the great queen, surrounded, as she was, by her domestic and foreign enemies.

HER foreign enemies, returned Mr. Addison, were less formidable, than they appear at first view. And I even make the condition of the neighbouring powers on the continent, in her time, a THIRD instance of the signal advantages of her situation.

It is true, if a perfect union had fubfifted between the catholic princes, the papal thunders would have carried terror with with them. But, as it was, they were powerless and ineffectual. The civil wars of France, and it's constant jealousy of Spain, left the queen but little to apprehend from that quarter. The Spanish empire, indeed, was vast, and under the direction of a bigotted vindictive prince. But the administration was odious and corrupt in every part. So that wife men faw there was more of bulk, than of force in that unwieldy monarchy. And the successful struggles of a handful of its subjects, inflamed by the love of liberty, and made furious by oppression, proclaimed its weakness to all the world.

IT may be true, interrupted Dr. Arbuthnot, that the queen had less to fear from the princes on the continent, than is sometimes represented. But you forget, in this survey of the public dangers, the distractions of Ireland, and the restless intrigues of her near neighbours, the Scots: both of them assisted

by Spain; and these last under the peculiar influence and direction of the Guises.

You shall have my opinion, returned Mr. Addison, in few words.

For the Irish distractions, it was not the queen's intention, or certainly it was not her fortune, to compose them: I mean, during the greatest part of her reign; for we are now speaking of the general tenor of her policy. Towards the close of it, indeed, she made some vigorous attempts to break the spirits of those favages. And it was high time she should. For, through her faint proceedings against them, they had grown to that infolence, as to think of fetting up for an independency on England. Nay, the presumption of that arch-rebel Tyrone, countenanced and abetted by Spain, feemed to threaten the queen with still further mischiefs. The extreme dis-VOL. II. honour

#### 18 DIALOGUES MORAL

honour and even peril of this fituation rouzed her old age, at length, to the resolution of taking some effectual meafures. The preparation was great and fuitable to the undertaking. It must, further, be owned, it succeeded; but so late, that she herself did not live to see the full effect of it. However, this fuccess is reckoned among the glories of her reign. In the mean time, it is not considered that nothing but her ill policy, in fuffering the diforders of that country to gather to a head, made way for this glory. I call it her ill policy, for (unless it were rather owing to her excessive frugality [b]) one can hardly help think-

[b] Mr. Campen owns that the Irish rebellion, which in the end became so dangerous, had been "encouraged by a slighting of it, and a gripple-handedness of England." [Hist. of Eliz. B. iv.]— To the same purpose another eminent writer of that time—"Before the transmitting of the last great army, the forces sent over by Q. Elizabeth were not of sufficient power to break and subdue all the Irishty." At last however, "The extreme peril of losing the kingdom; the dishonour and dan-

ing she designed to perpetuate the Irish distractions. At least, it was agreeable to a favourite maxim of hers, to check, and not to suppress them. And I think it clear, from the manner of prosecuting the war, that, till this last alarm, she never was in earnest about putting an end to it.

Scotland, indeed, demanded a more ferious attention. Yet the weak distracted counsels of that court—a minor king—a captive queen—and the unsettled state of *France* itself, which defeated in a good degree the malice of the Guises—were favourable circumstances.

ger that might thereby grow to the crown of England; together with a just distain conceived by that great-minded queen, that so wicked and ungrateful a rebel should prevail against her, who had ever been victorious against all her enemies, did move and almost enforce her to send over that mighty army." [Sir J. Davies' Discovery of the State of Ireland, p. 97. Lond. 1613.]

## 20 DIALOGUES MORAL

But to be fair with you (for I would appear in the light of a reasonable objector, not a captious wrangler) I allow her policy in this instance to have been considerable. She kept a watchful eye on the side of Scotland. And, though many circumstances concurred to favour her designs, it must be owned they were not carried without much care and some wisdom.

I UNDERSTAND the value of this concession, replied Dr. Arbuthnot. It must have been no common degree of both that extorted it from you.

I DECLINE entering further, faid Mr. Addison, into the public transactions of that reign, if it were only that, at this distance of time, it may be no easy matter to determine any thing of the policy, with which they were conducted. Only give me leave to add, as a FOURTH inflance

ftance of the favourable circumstances of the time, "That the prerogative was then in its height, and that a patient people allowed the queen to use it on all occasions." Hence the apparent vigour and firmness of her administration: and hence the opportunity (which is so rarely found in our country) of directing the whole strength of the nation to any end of government, which the glory of the prince or the public interest required.

What you impute to the high strain of prerogative, returned Dr. Arbuthnot, might rather be accounted for from the ability of her government, and the wise means she took to support it. The principal of these was, by employing the greatest men in the several departments of her administration. Every kind of merit was encouraged by her smile [c],

<sup>[</sup>c] Sir Robert Naunton tells us, "The queen was never profuse in delivering out of her treasure, but paid her servants, part in money, and

22

or rewarded by her bounty. Virtue, she knew, would thrive best on its native stock, a generous emulation. This she promoted by all means; by her royal countenance, by a temperate and judicious praise, by the wisest distribution of her preferments. Hence would naturally arise that considence in the queen's counsels and undertakings, which the service awe of her prerogative could never have occasioned.

This is the true account of the loyalty, obedience, and fidelity, by which her fervants were diffinguished. And thus, in fact, it was that, throughout her kingdom, there was every where that rever-

the rest with Grace; which, as the case stood, was then taken for good payment." [Fragm. Reg. p. 89.] And Nat. Bacon to the same purpose, "A wise man, that was an eye-witness of Her actions, and those that succeeded to her, many times hath said, That a courtier might make a better meal of one good look from her, than of a gift from some other." [Disc. P. ii. p. 266. Lond. 1651.]

ence of authority [d], that fense of honour, that conscience of duty, in a word, that gracious simplicity of manners, which renders the age of ELIZABETH truly GOLDEN: as presenting the fairest picture of humanity, that is to be met with in the accounts of any people.

It is true, as you fay, interposed Mr. Addison, that this Picture is a fair one. But of what is it a copy? Of the GENIUS of the time, or of the queen's virtues? You shall judge for yourself, after I

[d] This rewerence of authority, one of the characteristics of that time, and which Mr. Addison presently accounts for, a great writer celebrates in these words—" It was an ingenuous uninquisitive time, when all the passions and affections of the people were lapped up in such an innocent and humble obedience, that there was never the least contestation nor capitulation with the queen, nor (though she very frequently consulted with her subjects) any further reasons urged of her actions, than HER OWN WILL." See a tract intitled THE DISPARITY, in Sir H. WOTTON'S Remains, p. 46. supposed to have been written by the earl of Clarendon.

### 24 DIALOGUES MORAL

have laid before you Two remarkable events of that age, which could not but have the greatest effect on the public manners; I mean, The Reformation of Religion, and, what was introductory of it, The Restoration of Letters. From these, as their proper sources, I would derive the ability and fidelity of Elizabeth's good subjects.

THE passion for LETTERS was extreme. The novelty of these studies, the artifices that had been used to keep men from them, their apparent uses, and, perhaps, fome confused notion of a certain diviner virtue, than really belongs to them, these causes concurred to excite a curiofity in all, and determined those, who had leifure, as well as curiofity, to make themselves acquainted with the Greek and Roman learning. The ecclefiaftics, who, for obvious reasons, would be the first and most earnest in their application to letters, were not the only perfons

persons transported with this zeal. The gentry and nobility themselves were seized with it. A competent knowledge of the old writers was looked upon as essential to a gentleman's education. So that Greek and Latin became as fashionable at court in those days, as French is in ours. Elizabeth herself, which I wonder you did not put me in mind of, was well skilled in both [e]; they say,

[e] PAULUS HENTZNEAUS, a learned German, who was in England in 1598, goes still further in his encomium on the queen's skill in languages. He tells us, that, "præterquam quod Græce et Latine eleganter est docta, tenet, ultra jam memorata idiomata, etiam Hispanicum, Scoticum, et Belgicum." See his ITINERARIUM.

But this was the general character of the great in that reign; at leaft, if we may credit Master William Harrison, who discourseth on the subject before us in the following manner: "This further is not to be omitted, to the singular commendation of both sorts and sexes of our courtiers here in England, that there are very sew of them, which have not the use and skill of sundry speeches, beside an excellent vein of writing, before-time not regarded. Truly it is a rare thing with us now, to hear of a courtier which employed

employed her leifure in making fome fine translations out of either language. It is easy to see what effect this general attention to letters must have on the minds of the liberal and well educated. And it was a happiness peculiar to that age, that learning, though cultivated with such zeal, had not as yet degenerated into pedantry: I mean, that, in those stirring and active times, it was cultivated, not so much for shew, as use; and was not followed, as it soon came to be, to the exclusion of other generous and manly applications.

hath but his own Language. And to fay how many gentlewomen and ladies there are, that, befide found knowledge of the *Greek* and *Latin* tongues, are thereto no lefs skilful in the *Spanish*, *Italian*, and *French*, or in some one of them, it resteth not in me: sith I am persuaded, that as the noblemen and gentlemen do surmount in this behalf, so these come very little or nothing behind them for their parts; which industry God continue, and accomplish that which otherwise is wanting." Descript. OF ENGLAND, p. 196.

CONSIDER.

· Consider, too, the effects, which the alterations in RELIGION had produced. As they had been lately made, as their importance was great, and as the benefits of the change had been earned at the expence of much blood and labour, all these considerations begot a zeal for religion, which hardly ever appears under other circumstances. This zeal had an immediate and very fensible effect on the morals of the reformed. It improved them in every instance; especially as it produced a chearful fubmission to the government, which had rescued them from their former flavery, and was still their only support against the returning dangers of superstition. Thus religion, acting with all its power, and that, too, heightened by gratitude and even felfinterest, bound obedience on the minds of men with the strongest ties  $\lceil f \rceil$ . And

[f] One of these ties was the prejudice of education; and some uncommon methods were used to luckily luckily for the queen, this obedience was further fecured to her by the high uncontroverted notions of royalty, which,

bind it fast on the minds of the people. — A book, called 'EIPHNAPXIA, five ELIZABETHA, was written in Latin verse by one Ockland, containing the highest panegyrics on the queen's character and government, and setting forth the transcendent virtues of her ministers. This book was enjoined by authority to be taught, as a classic author, in Grammar schools, and was of course to be gotten by heart by the young scholars throughout the kingdom.

This was a matchless contrivance to imprint a fense of loyalty on the minds of the people. And, though it flowed, as we are to suppose, from a tender regard, in the advisers of it, for the interests of protestantism in that reign; yet its uses are so apparent in any reign, and under any administration, that nothing but the moderation of her successors, and the reasonable assurance of their ministers that their own acknowledged virtues were a sufficient support to them, could have hindered the expedient from being sollowed.

But, though the stamp of public authority was wanting, private men have attempted, in several ways, to supply this defect. To instance only in one. The protestant queen was to pass for a mirror of good government: hence the Egnyaggia. Her successor would needs be thought a mirror of eloquence: and hence the noble enterprize I am about to cele-

at that time, obtained amongst the people.

Lay all this together, and then tell me where is the wonder; that a people, now emerging out of ignorance; uncorrupted by wealth, and therefore undebauched by luxury; trained to obedience, and nurtured in fimplicity; but above all, caught with the love of learning and religion, while neither of them was worn for fashion-sake, or, what is worse, perverted to the ends of vanity or ambition;

brate. "Mr. George Herbert (I give it in the grave historian's own words) being prælector in the rhetorique school in Cambridge, in 1618, passed by those fluent orators, that domineered in the pulpits of Athens and Rome, and insisted to read upon an oration of K. James, which he analysed; shewed the concinnity of the parts; the propriety of the phrase; the height and power of it to move the assections; the style, utterly unknown to the antients; who could not conceive what kingly eloquence was, in respect of which those noted demagogi were but hitelings and triobolary rhetoricians." Bishop Hacket's Life of Archbishop Williams, p. 175. Where,

where, I fay, is the wonder that fuch a people should present so bright a picture of manners to their admiring panegyrist?

To be fair with you, it was one of those conjunctures, in which the active virtues are called forth, and rewarded. The dangers of the time had rouzed the fpirit, and brought out all the force and genius of the nation. A fort of enthufiasm had fired every man with the ambition of exerting the full strength of his faculties, which way foever they pointed, whether to the field, the closet, or the cabinet. Hence fuch a crop of foldiers. fcholars, and statesmen had sprung up, as have rarely been feen to flourish together in any country. And as all owed their duty, it was the fashion of the times for all to bring their pretenfions, to the court. So that, where the multitude of candidates was fo great, it had been ftrange indeed, if an ordinary discretion had not furnished the queen with able

fervants

fervants of all forts; and the rather, as her occasions loudly called upon her to employ the ablest.

I was waiting, faid Dr. Arbuthnot, to fee to what conclusion this career of your eloquence would at length drive you. And it hath happened in this case, as in most others where a favourite point is to be carried, that a zeal for it is indulged, though at the expence of some other of more importance. Rather than admit the personal virtues of the queen, you fill her court, nay, her kingdom with heroes and sages: and so have paid a higher compliment to her reign, than I had intended.

To her reign, if you will, replied Mr. Addison, so far as regards the qualities and dispositions of her subjects: for I will not lessen the merit of this concession with you by insisting, as I might, that their manners, respectable, as they were,

## DIALOGUES MORAL

were debased by the contrary, yet very consistent vices of servility and insolence [g]; and their virtues of every kind deformed by barbarism. But, for the queen's own merit in the choice of her servants, I must take leave to declare my sentiments to you very plainly. It may be true, that she possessed a good degree of sagacity in discerning the natures and talents of men. It was the virtue by which her admirers tell us, she

[g] A learned foreigner gives this character of the English at that time. "Angli, ut ADDICTE SERVIUNT, ità evecti ad dignitates priorem humilitatem INSOLENTIA rependent." H. GROTII ANN. L. v. p. 95. Amst. 1657. Hence the propriety of those complaints, in our great poet, of,

"The whips and fcorns of th' time,
Th' oppressor's wrong, the proud man's contumely,

THE INSOLENCE OF OFFICE"\_\_\_

complaints fo frequent, and fo forcibly expressed by him, that we may believe he painted from his own observation, and perhaps experience, of this insolent misuse of authority. Measure for Measure, A. ii. S. vii.

22

was principally distinguished. Yet, that the high fame of this virtue hath been owing to the felicity of the times, abounding in all forts of merit, rather than to her own judgment, I think clear from this circumstance, "That some of the most deserving of those days, in their several professions, had not the fortune to attract the queen's grace, in the proportion they might have expected." I say nothing of poor Spenser. Who has any concern for a poet [b]? But

[b] Yet it may feem probable from this poet's conduct in Ireland, and his View of the state of that country, that his talents for business (fuch as CECIL himself must have approved) were no less considerable than for poetry. But he had ferved a difgraced man; and had drawn upon humfelf the admiration of the generous earl of Effex. So that, as the hiftorian expresseth it, "by a fate which still follows poets, he always wrestled with poverty, though he had been fecretary to the lord GRAY, lord-deputy of Ireland." All that remained for him was, " to be interred at Wesiminster, near to CHAUCER, at the charge of the earl of Effex; his hearse being attended by poets, and mournful elegies and poems, with the pens that wrote them, thrown into his grave." CAMDEN, lib. iv.

Vol. II, D if

if merit alone had determined her majesty's choice, it will hardly at this day admit a dispute, that the immortal HOOKER and BACON [i], at least, had

[i] As to Sir Francis Bacon, the queen herself gave a very plausible reason, and doubtless much approved by the grave lawyers and other judicious persons of that time, for her neglect of this gentleman. "She did acknowledge (says the earl of Essex in a letter to Mr. Francis Bacon) you had a great wit, and an excellent gift of speech, and much other good learning. But in Law, she rather thought you could make shew, to the utmost of your knowledge, than that you were deep." Mem. of Q. Elizabeth by Dr. Birch; to whom the public is exceedingly indebted for abundance of curious information concerning the history of those times.

If it be asked, how the queen came to form this conclusion, the answer is plain. It was from Mr. Bacon's having a GREAT WIT, an excellent GIFT OF SPEECH, and much other GOOD LEARNING.

It is true, Sir Francis Bacon himself gives another account of this matter. In a letter of advice to Sir George Villiers, he says, "In this dedication of yourself to the public, I recommend unto you principally that which I think was never done since I was born—that you countenance and encourage and advance able Men, in all kinds, degrees, and professions. For in the time of the Cecils, fa-

ranked in another class than that, in which this great discerner of spirits thought sit to leave them.

AND her character, continued he, in every other respect is just as equivocal. For having touched one part of it, I now turn from these general considerations on the circumstances and genius of the time, to our more immediate subject, the PERSONAL QUALITIES OF ELIZABETH. Hitherto we have stood aloof from the queen's person. But there is no proceeding a step further in this debate, unless you allow me a little more liberty. May I then be permitted to draw the veil of ELIZABETH's court, and by the lights which history holds out to us, contemplate the mysteries, that were celebrated in that awful fanctuary?

ther and son, able Men were by design and of purpose suppressed. Cabala, p. 57. Ed. 1691.—But either way, indeed, the queen's character is equally faved.

AFTER fo reverend a preface, replied Dr. Arbuthnot, I think you may be indulged in this liberty. And the rather, as I am not apprehensive that the honour of the illustrious queen is likely to suffer by it. The secrets of her cabinet-council, it may be, are not to be scanned by the profane. But it will be no presumption to step into the drawing-room.

YET I may be tempted, faid Mr. Addison, to use a freedom in this survey of her majesty, that would not have been granted to her most favoured courtiers. As far as I can judge of her character, as displayed in that solemn scene of her court, she had some apparent virtues, but more genuine vices; which yet, in the public eye, had equally the fortune to reslect a lustre on her government.

HER gracious affability, her love of her people, her zeal for the national glory; were not these her more obvious and specious qualities? Yet I doubt they were not so much the proper effects of her nature, as her policy; a set of spurious virtues begotten by the very necessity of her affairs.

For her AFFABILITY, she saw there was no way of being secure amidst the dangers of all forts, with which she was surrounded, but by ingratiating herself with the body of the people. And, though in her nature she was as little inclined to this condescension as any of her successors, yet the expediency of this measure compelled her to save appearances. And it must be owned, she did it with grace, and even asted her part with spirit. Possibly, the consideration of her being a female astor, was no disadvantage to her.

 $D_3$ 

But,

But, when she had made this facrifice to interest, her proper temper shewed itself clearly enough in the treatment of her nobles, and of all that came within the verge of the court. Her caprice, and jealoufy, and haughtiness appeared in a thousand instances. She took offence so eafily, and forgave fo difficultly, that even her principal ministers could hardly keep their ground, and were often obliged to redeem her favour by the lowest submissions. When nothing else would do, they fickened and were even at death's door; from which peril, however, she would fometimes relieve them, but not till she had exacted from them, in the way of penance, a course of the most mortifying humiliations. Nay, the very ladies of her court had no way to maintain their credit with her, but by fubmitting patiently to the last indignities.

IT is allowed, from the inflances you have in view, returned Dr. Arbuthnot, that her nature was fomething high and imperious. But these fallies of passion might well enough consist with her general character of assability.

HARDLY, as I conceive, answered Mr. Addison, if you reflect that these fallies, or rather habits of passion, were the daily terror and vexation of all about her. Her very minions feemed raifed for no other purpose, than the exercise of her ill humour. They were encouraged, by her fmile, to prefume on the royal countenance, and then beaten down again in punishment of that presumption. But, to fay the truth, the flavish temper of the time was favourable to fuch exertions of female caprice and tyranny. Her imperious father, all whose virtues she inherited, had taught her a fure way to quell the spirit of her nobles. They D 4 had

had been long used to stand in awe of the royal frown. And the people were pleased to find their betters ruled with so high a hand, at a time when they themselves were addressed with every expression of respect, and even flattery.

SHE even carried this mockery fo far, that, as HARRINGTON observes well, " flie converted her reign, through the perpetual love-tricks that paffed between her and her people, into a kind of romance." And though that political projector, in profecution of his favourite notion, supposes the queen to have been determined to these intrigues by observing that the weight of property was fallen into the popular scale; yet we need look no further for an account of this proceeding, than the inherent haughtiness of her temper. She gratified the insolence of her nature in neglecting, or rather beating down, her nobility, whose greatness might seem to challenge

challenge respect; while the court, she paid to the people, revolted her pride less, as passing only upon herself, as well as others, for a voluntary act of affability. Just as we every day see very proud men carry it with much lostiness towards their equals, or those who are raised to some nearness of degree to themselves, at the same time that they affect a fort of courtesy to such, as are confessedly beneath them.

You fee, then, what her boasted assability comes to. She gave good words to her people, whom it concerned her to be well with, and whom her pride itself allowed her to manage: she insulted her nobles, whom she had in her power, and whose abasement flattered the idea, she doted upon, of her own superiority and importance [k].

<sup>[</sup>k] The lord Mountjoy [then Sir Charles Blount] being of a military turn, had ftolen over into France, without the queen's knowledge, in order

## 42 DIALOGUES MORAL

LET the queen's manner of treating her subjects be what it would, Dr. ARBUTHNOT said, it appears to have given no offence in those days, when the sincerity of her intentions was never questioned. Her whole life is a convincing argument, that she bore the most entire affection to her people.

HER LOVE OF HER PEOPLE, returned Mr. Addison hastily, is with me a very questionable virtue. For what account shall we give of the multitude of penal statutes, passed in her reign? Or, be-

to ferve in *Eretagne*, under one of her generals. Upon his return, which was hastened too by her express command, "Serve me so again, said the queen, once more, and I will lay you sast enough for running. You will never leave, till you are knocked o'the head, as that inconsiderate fellow Sidney was. You shall go when I fend you. In the mean time see that you lodge in the court, where you may follow your books, read, and discourse of the wars." Sir Robert Naunton's Fr. Reg. in L. Burlech.

caufe

cause you will fay, there was some colour for these; what excuse shall we make for her frequent grants of monopolies, fo ruinous to the public wealth and happinefs, and fo perpetually complained of by her parliaments? You will fay, she recalled them. She did fo. But not till the general indignation had, in a manner, forced her to recal them. If by her people, be meant those of the poorer and baser fort only, it may be allowed, she feemed on all occasions willing to spare them. But for those of better rank and fortune she had no such consideration. On the other hand, she contrived in many ways to pillage and diffress them. It was the tameness of that time to submit to every imposition of the sovereign. She had only to command her gentry on any fervice she thought fit, and they durst not decline it. How many of her wealthiest and best subjects did she impoverish by these means (though under colour, you may be fure, of her high favour) and fometimes by her very visits? I will not be certain, added he, that her visit to this pompous castle of her own LEICESTER, had any other intention.

But what above all are we to think of her vow of celibacy, and her obstinate refusal to settle the succession, though at the constant hazard of the public peace and safety?

You are hard put to it, I perceive, interrupted Dr. Arbuthnot, to impeach the character of the queen in this inflance, when a few penal laws, necessary to the support of her crown in that time of danger; one wrong measure of her government, and that corrected; the ordinary use of her prerogative; and even her virginity, are made crimes of. But I am curious to hear what you have to object to her ZEAL FOR THE ENGLISH GLORY, carried so high in her reign, and the single point, as it seems to me, to which

which all her measures and all her counfels were directed.

THE English glory, Mr. Addison faid, may, perhaps, mean the state and independency of the crown. And then, indeed, I have little to object. But, in any other sense of the word, I have sometimes presumed to question with myself, if it had not been better consulted, by a more effectual assistance of the reformed on the continent; by a more vigorous prosecution of the war against Spain [1];

[1] So good a judge of military matters, as Sir Walter Ralech, was of this opinion with regard to the conduct of the Spanift war. "If the late queen would have believed her men of war, as the did her scribes, we had, in her time, beaten that great empire in pieces, and made their kings, kings of figs and oranges, as in old times. But her majesty did all by halves, and, by petty invasions, taught the Spaniard how to defend himself, and to see his own weakness; which, till our attempts taught him, was hardly known to himself." See his Works, vol. i. p. 273.—Ralech, it may be said, was of the Cecil faction. But the men of war, of the Essex saction, talked exactly in the same strain; which shows that this might probably be the truth.

and.

and, as I hinted before, by a more complete reduction of Ireland. But fay, we are no judges of those high matters. What glory accrued to the English name by the infidious dealing with the queen of Scots; by the vindictive proceedings against the duke of Norfolk; by the merciless persecutions of the unhappy earl of Effex? The fame spirit, you see, continued from the beginning of this reign to the end of it. And the observation is the better worth attending to, because some have excused the queen's treatment of Essex by faying, " That her nature, in that decline of life, was fomewhat clouded by apprehensions; as the horizon, they observe, in the evening of the brightest day, is apt to be obscured by vapours  $\lceil m \rceil$ ." As if this fanciful

[m] See Sir HENRY WOTTON's Parallel of the earl of Essex and duke of Buckingham. The words are these: "He [the earl of Essex] was to wrestle with a queen's declining, or rather with her very fetting age, as we may term it; which, besides other respects, is commonly even of itself the more umfimile.

fimile, which illustrates perhaps, could excuse, the perverseness of the queen's temper; or, as if that could deserve to pass for an incident of age, which operated through life, and so declares itself to have been the proper result of her nature.

You promised not, interposed Dr. Arbuthnot, to pry too closely into the secrets of the cabinet. And such I must needs esteem the points to be, which you have mentioned. But enough of these beaten topics. I would rather attend you in the survey you promised to take of her court, and of the princely qualities that adorned it. It is from what passes in the inside of his palace, rather than from some questionable public acts, that the real character of a prince is best determined. And there, me-

bratious and apprehensive; as for the most part all horizons are charged with certain vapours towards their evening." REMAINS, p. 11.

thinks, you have a scene opened to you, that deferves your applause. Nothing appears but what is truly royal. Nobody knew better, than ELIZABETH, how to support the decorum of her rank. She presided in that high orb with the dignity of a great queen. In all emergencies of danger, she shewed a firmness, and on all occasions of ceremony, a magnificence, that commanded respect and admiration. Her very diverfions were tempered with a feverity becoming her fex and place, and which made her court, even in its lightest and gayest humours, a school of virtue.

THESE are the points, concluded he, I could wish you to speak to. The rest may be left to the judgment of the historian, or rather to the curiosity of the nice and critical politician.

You shall be obeyed, Mr. Addison faid. I thought it not amis to take off the

the glare of those applauded qualities, which have dazzled the public, at a distance, by shewing that they were either feigned or over-rated. But I come now to unmask the real character of this renowned princess. I shall paint her freely indeed, but truly as she appears to me. And to speak my mind at once, I think it is not so much to her virtues, which at best were equivocal, as to her very vices, that we are to impute the popular admiration of her character and government.

I BEFORE took notice of the high, indecent PASSION, she discovered towards her courtiers. This fierceness of temper in the softer sex was taken for heroism; and falling in with the slavish principles of the age, begot a degree of reverence in her subjects, which a more equal, that is, a more becoming deportment would not have produced. Hence, she was better served than most of our Vol. II.

princes, only because she was more feared, in other words, because she less deserved to be so. But high as she would often carry herself in this unprincely, I had almost said, unwomanly treatment of her fervants; awing the men by her oaths, and her women by blows; it is still to be remembered, that she had a great deal of natural TIMIDITY in her constitution.

WHAT, interrupted Dr. ARBUTHNOT hastily, the magnanimous ELIZABETH a coward? I should as soon have expected that charge against Cæsar himself, or your own Marlborough.

I DISTINGUISH, Mr. ADDISON faid, betwixt a parade of courage, put on to ferve a turn, and keep her people in spirits, and that true greatness of mind, which, in one word, we call magnanimity. For this last, I repeat it, she either had it not, or not in the degree in which it has

has been ascribed to her. On the contrary, I fee a littleness, a pusillanimity in her conduct on a thousand occasions. Hence it was, that both to her people and fuch of the neighbouring states as the stood in awe of, she used an excessive hypocrify, which, in the language of the court, you may be fure was called policy. To the Hollanders, indeed, she could talk big, and it was not her humour to manage those, over whom she had gained an afcendant. This has procured her, with many, the commendation of a princely magnanimity. But, on the other hand, when discontents were apprehended from her subjects, or when France was to be diverted from any defigns against her, no art was forgotten that might cajole their spirits with all the professions of cordiality and affection. Then she was wedded, that was the tender word, to her people: and then the interest of religion itself was facrificed

## 52 DIALOGUES MORAL

by this protestant queen to her newly perverted brother on the continent.

HER foible, in this respect, was no secret to her ministers. But above all it was practifed upon most successfully by the Lord Burghley; "For whom, as I have feen it observed, it was as necessary that there should be treasons, as for the flate that they should be prevented [n]." Hence it was, that he was perpetually. raising her fears by the discovery of fome plot, or, when that was wanting, by the propofal of some law for her greater fecurity. In short, he was for ever finding, or making, or fuggesting dangers. The queen; though she would look big (for indeed she was an excellent actress) startled at the shadows of those dangers, the flightest rumours. And to this convenient timidity of his mistress, fo constantly alarmed, and relieved in turn by this wily minister, was owing, in

[n] THE DISPARITY, p. 43.

a good degree, that long and unrivalled interest, he held in her favour.

STILL, further, to this constitutional fear (which might be forgiven to her sex, if it had not been so strangely mixed with a more than masculine ferocity in other instances) must be ascribed those savourite maxims of policy, which ran through her whole government. Never was prince more attached to the machiavilian doctrine, DIVIDE ET IMPERA, than our ELIZABETH [0]. It made the soul

[0] This account of her policy is confirmed by what we read in the DISPARITY, before cited. "That trick of countenancing and protecting factions (as that queen, almost her whole reign, did with fingular and equal demonstration of grace look upon several persons of most distant wishes one towards another) was not the least ground of much of her quiet and success. And she never doubted but that men, that were never so opposite in their good will each to others, or never so dishonest in their projectments for each others confusion, might yet be reconciled in their allegiance towards her. Insomuch, that, during her whole reign, she never endeavoured to reconcile any personal differences in

E 3

of her policies, domestic, and foreign, She countenanced the two prevailing factions of the time. The churchmen and puritans divided her favour so equally, that her favourites were sure to be the chiefs of the contending parties. Nay, her court was a constant scene of cabals and personal animosities. She gave a secret, and sometimes an open countenance to these jealousies. The same principle directed all her foreign [p] negotiations.

the court, though the unlawful emulations of perfons of nearest trust about her, were ever like to over-throw some of her chiefest designs: A policy, seldom entertained by princes, especially if they have issues to survive them." p. 46. Her own historian, it is true, seems a little shy of acknowledging this conduct of the queen, with regard to her nobility and ministers. But he owns, "She now and then took a pleasure (and not unprofitably) in the emulation and privy grudges of her women." Campen's Elizabeth, p. 79. fol. Lond. 1688.

[p] We find an intimation to this purpose, in a writer of credit, at least with respect to the *Dutch* and *Ireland*—" Jam et divulsam Hiberniam, et in Batavis Angli militis seditiones, velut jussas, erant qui exprobrarent." GROTII ANNAL. 1. xii. p. 432.

AND are you not aware, interrupted Dr. Arbuthnot, that this objected policy is the very topic that I, and every other admirer of the queen, would employ in commendation of her great ability in the art of government? It has been the fate of too many of our princes (and perhaps fome late examples might be given) to be governed and even infulted by a prevailing party of their own fubjects. ELIZABETH was superior to fuch attempts. She had no bye-ends to purfue. She frankly threw herfelf on her people. And, fecure in their affection, could defeat at pleasure, or even divert herfelf with the intrigues of this or that aspiring faction.

WE understand you, Mr. Addison replied; but when two parties are contending within a state, and one of them only in its true interest, the policy is a little extraordinary that should incline

the fovereign to discourage this, from the poor ambition of controlling that, or, as you put it still worse, from the dangerous humour of playing with both parties. I fay nothing of later times. I only ask, if it was indifferent, whether the counfels of the CECILS, or of LEYCESTER Were predominant in that reign? But I mentioned these things before, and I touch them again now only to shew you, that this conduct, however it may be varnished over by the name of wisdom, had too much the air of fearful womanish intrigue to confift with that heroical firmness and intrepidity, so commonly ascribed to queen ELIZABETH [q].

[q] Something like this was observed of her disposition by Sir James Melvil. After having related to his miltress, the queen of Scots, the strong professions of friendship which the queen of England had made to him, "She [the queen of Scots] enquired, says he, whether I thought that queen meant truly towards her inwardly in her heart, as she appeared to do outwardly in her speech. I answered freely, that, in my judgment, there was neither plain

AND what if, after all, I should admit, replied Dr. Arbuthnot, that, in the composition of a woman's courage, at least, there might be some scruples of discretion? Is there any advantage, worth contending for, you could draw from fuch a concession? Or, because you would be thought ferious, I will put the matter more gravely. The arts of prudence, you arraign fo feverely, could not be taken for pufillanimity. They certainly were not, in her own time, for she was not the less esteemed or revered by all the nations of Europe on account of them. The most you can fairly conclude is, that the knew how to unite address with bravery, and that, on occasion, she could dissemble her high spirit. The difficulties

dealing, nor upright meaning, but great diffimulation, emulation, and FEAR, left her princely qualities should over soon chace her from her kingdom," &c. MEMOIRS, p. 53.

## 58 DIALOGUES MORAL

of her situation obliged her to this management.

RATHER fay at once, returned Mr. Addison, that the constant dissimulation, for which she was so famous, was assumed to supply the want of a better thing, which had rendered all those arts as unnecessary as they were ignoble.

But haughtiness, and timidity, purfued he, were not the only vices that turned to good account in the queen's hands. She was frugal beyond all bounds of decorum in a prince, or rather AVARICIOUS beyond all reasonable excuse from the public wants and the state of her revenue. Nothing is more certain than this fact, from the allowance both of friends and enemies. It seems as if, in this respect, her father's example had not been sufficient; and that, to complete her character, she had incorporated

porated with many of his, the leading vice of her grand-father.

HERE Dr. ARBUTHNOT could not contain himself; and the castle happening at that time, from the point where they stood, to present the most superb prospect, "Look there, said he, on the striking, though small remnants of that grandeur you just now magnissed so much, and tell me if, in your conscience, you can believe such grants are the signs, or were the effects of avarice. For you are not to learn, that this palace before us is not the only one in the kingdom, which bears the memory of the queen's bounty to her servants.

MR. ADDISON feemed a little struck with the earnestness of this address; "It is true, said he, the queen's fondness for one or two of her favourites made her sometimes lavish of her grants; especially of what cost her nothing, and did not, it seems.

feems, offend the delicacy of her fcruples, I mean, of the church-lands. But at the fame time her treasury was shut against her ambassadors and foreign ministers; who complain of nothing more frequently than the slenderness of their appointments, and the small and slow remittances, that were made to them. This frugality (for I must not call it by a worse name) distressed the public service on many occasions [r]; and would have done it on more, if the zeal of her trusty

[r] Secretary Walsingham, in a letter to the queen, Sept. 2, 1581, amongst other things to the same purpose, has the following words—"Remember, I humbly beseech your majesty, the respect of charges hath lost Scotland: and I would to God I had no cause to think, that it might put your highness in peril of the loss of England." "And even the Lord Treasurer himself (we are told) in a letter still extant in the paper-office, written in the critical year 1588, while the Spanish armada was expected against England, excuses himself to Sir Edward Stafford, then embassador in France, for not writing to him oftner, on account of her majesty's unwillingness to be at the expence of messengers." Sir T. Edmonde's Statepapers, by Dr. Birch, p. 21.

fervants

fervants had not been content to carry it on at the expence of their own fortunes. How many instances might be given of this, if one were not more than sufficient, and which all posterity will remember with indignation!

You fpeak of Walsingham, interposed Dr. Arbuthnot. But were it not more candid to impute the poverty of that minister to his own generous contempt of riches, which he had doubtless many fair occasions of procuring to himself, than to any designed neglect of him by his mistress?

THE candour, returned Mr. Addison, must be very extraordinary that can find an excuse for the queen in a circumstance, that doubles her disgrace. But be it as you pretend. The uncommon moderation of the man shall be a cover to the queen's parsimony. It was not, we will say, for this wise princess to provoke

voke an appetite for wealth in her fervants: it was enough that she gratified it. on proper occasions, where she found it already raised. And in this proceeding, no doubt, she was governed by a tender regard for their honour, as well as her own interest. For how is her great fecretary ennobled by filling a place in the short list of those worthies, who, having lived and died in the fervice of their countries, have left not fo much as a pittance behind them, to carry them to their graves! All this is very well. But when she had indulged this humour in one or two of her favourites, and fuffered them, for example's fake, to afcend to these heights of honour, it was going, methinks, a little too far to expect the fame delicacy of virtue in all her courtiers. Yet it was not her fault, if most of them did not reap this fame of illustrious poverty, as well as WALSING-HAM. She dealt by them, indeed, as if the

she had ranked poverty, as well as celibacy, among the cardinal virtues.

In the mean time, I would not deny that she had a princely fondness for shew and appearance. She took a pride in the brilliancy of her court. She delighted in the large trains of her nobility. She required to be royally entertained by them. And she thought her honour concerned in the figure they made in foreign courts, and in the wars. But, if she loved this pomp, she little cared to furnish the expence of it. She considered in good earnest (as some have observed, who would have the observation pass for a compliment [s]) the purses of

<sup>[</sup>s] One of these complaisant observers was the writer of the Description of England, who, speaking of the variety of the queen's houses, checks himself with saying, "But what shall I need to take upon me to repeat all, and tell what houses the queen's majesty hath? Sith ALL IS HIRS, and when it pleaseth hir in the summer season to recreate herself abroad, and view the estate of the countrie, and hear

### 64 DIALOGUES MORAL

ber fubjects as her own; and feemed to reckon on their being always open to her on any occasion of fervice, or even ceremony. She carried this matter so far, that the very expences of her wars were rather defrayed out of the private purses of her nobility, than the public treasury. As if she had taken it for a part of her prerogative to impoverish her nobles at pleasure; or rather, as if she had a mind to have it thought that one of their privileges was to be allowed to ruin themselves from a zeal to her fervice.

But the queen's avarice, proceeded he, did not only appear from her excessive parsimony in the management of the public treasure, but from her rapa-

the complaints of hir unjust officers or substitutes, every nobleman's bouse is bir palace, where she continueth during pleasure, and till she returne against of some of her owne, in which she remaineth as long as pleaseth hir." p. 196.

city in getting what she could from particulars into her privy purfe. Hence it was, that all offices, and even perfonal favours were, in a manner, fet to fale. For it was a rule with her majesty, to grant no fuit but for a reasonable confideration. So that whoever pretended to any place of profit or honour, was fure to fend a jewel, or other rich prefent beforehand, to prepare her mind for the entertainment of his petition. And to what other purpose was it that fhe kept her offices fo long vacant, but to give more persons an opportunity of winning a preference in her favour? Which for the most part inclined to those who had appeared in this interval, to deserve it best. Nay, the slightest difgust which she frequently took on very frivolous occasions, could not be got over but by the reconciling means of some valuable or well-fancied present. And what was most grievous, the fometimes VOL. II. F accepted

accepted the present, without remitting the offence.

I REMEMBER a ridiculous instance of this fort. When the Lady Leicester wanted to obtain the pardon of her unfortunate fon, the Lord Essex, she prefented the queen with an exceeding rich gown to the value of above an hundred pounds. She was well pleafed with the gift, but thought no more of the pardon. We need not, after this, wonder at what is faid of her majesty's leaving a prodigious quantity of jewels and plate behind her, and even a crouded ward-robe. For fo prevalent was this thrifty humour in the queen's highness, that she could not perfuade herfelf to part with fo much as a cast-gown to any of her servants [t].

<sup>[</sup>t] Perhaps they had no need of fuch favours. It feems as if they had provided for themselves another way. One of her ladies, the Lady Edmondes, had been applied to for her interest with the queen

You allow yourfelf to be very gay, replied Dr. Arbuthnot, on this foible of the great queen. But one thing you

in a certain affair of no great moment, then depending in the court of Chancery. The person, commissioned to transact this matter with her ladyship, had offered her 100 l. which she treated as too small a sum. The relater of this fact adds - " This ruffianry of causes I am daily more and more acquainted with, and fee the manner of dealing, which cometh of the queen's straitness to give these women, whereby they presume thus to grange and truck causes." See a letter in MEM. of Q. ELIZABETH, by Dr. Birch, vol i. p. 354. But this 100 1. as the virtuous Lady Edmondes fays, was a small sum. It appears, that bishop FLETCHER, on his translation to London, " bestowed in allowances and gratifications to divers attendants [indeed we are not expresly told, they were female] about her majesty, the sum of 3100 /. which money was given by him, for the most part of it, by her majesty's direction and special appointment." Mem. vol. ii. p. 113. And the curiofity is to find this minute of episcopal gratifications in a petition presented to the queen herfelf, "To move her majesty in commiseration towards the orphans of this bishop."- However, to do the ladies justice, the contagion of bribery was fo general in that reign, that the greatest men in the court were infected by it. The lord keeper

forget, that it never biaffed her judgment fo far as to prevent a fit choice of her fervants on all occasions [u]. And, as to her wary management of the public revenue, which you take a pleasure to exaggerate, this, methinks, is a venial fault in a prince who could not, in her circumstances, have provided for the expences of government, but by the nicest and most attentive economy.

Puckering, it feems, had a finger in the affair of the 100 l.; nay, himself speaks to the lady to get him commanded by the queen to savour the suit. And we are told, that Sir W. Raleigh had no less than 10,000 l. for his interest with the queen on a certain occasion, after having been invited to this service by the finest letter that ever was written.— Indeed it is not said how much of this secret service money went in allowances and gratifications to the attendants about the queen's majesty, vol. ii. p. 497.

[u] Lord BACON made the same excuse for his bribery; as he had learnt, perhaps, the trade itself from his royal mistress. It was a rule with this great chancellor, "Not to sell injustice, but never to let justice go scot-free."

I UNDERSTAND, faid Mr. ADDISON, the full force of that confideration, and believe it was that attention principally, which occasioned the popularity of her reign, and the high esteem, in which the wifdom of her government is held to this day. The bulk of her fubjects were, no doubt, highly pleafed to find themfelves spared on all occasions of expence. And it ferved, at the fame time, to gratify their natural envy of the great, to find that their fortunes were first and principally facrificed to the public fervice. Nay, I am not fure that the very rapacity of her nature, in the fale of her offices, was any objection with the people at large, or even the lower gentry of the kingdom. For these, having no pretentions themselves to those offices, would be well enough pleased to see them, not bestowed on their betters, but dearly purchased by them. And then this traffic at court furnished the inferior

F

gentry

gentry with a pretence for making the most of their magistracies. This practice at least must have been very notorious amongst them, when a facetious member of the lower house could define a justice of peace to be, " A living creature, that, for half a dozen of chickens, will difpense with a whole dozen of penal statutes [w]." But however this be, the queen's ends, in every view, were abundantly answered. She enriched herself: she gained the affections of the people, and depressed and weakened the nobility, And by all these ways she effectually provided for, what she had ever most at heart, her own supreme and uncontrolled authority.

[w] See Hift. Collections, by H. TOWNSHEND, Efq; p. 268. Lond. 1680. — The lord keeper too, in a fpeech in the star-chamber, confirms this charge on the country justices. "The thirst, says he, after this authority, proceedeth from nothing but an ambitious humour of gaining of Reputation amongst their neighbours; that still, when they come home, they may be presented with presents." ibid. p. 355.

AND is that to be wondered at in a great prince, returned Dr. Arbuthnot? Or, to take the matter in the light you place it, what if the queen had so much of her sex [x] and family in her disposition, as to like well enough to have her own way, is this such a crime as you would make of it? If she loved power, it was not to make a wanton or oppressive use of it. And if all princes knew as well to bound their own wills, as she did, we should not much complain of their

[x] When the queen declared to Sir James Melvil her resolution of virginity, "I know the truth of that, madam, (said he) you need not tell it me. Your majesty thinks if you were married, you would be but queen of England; and now you are both king and queen. I know your spirit cannot endure a commander." Mem. p. 49. This was frank. But Sir James Melvil was too well seen in courts to have used this language, if he had not understood it would be welcome. Accordingly, the queen's highness did not seem displeased with the imputation.

impatience to be under the controll of their subjects.

I AM forry, faid Mr. Addison, that the acts of her reign will not allow me to come into this opinion of her moderation. On the other hand, her government appears to me, in many instances, oppres-SIVE and highly prejudicial to the antient rights and privileges of her people. For what other construction can we make of her frequent interpolition to restrain the counsels of their representatives in parliament; threatening some, imprisoning others, and filencing all with the thunder of her prerogative? Or, when she had suffered their counsels to ripen into bills, what shall we fay of her high and mighty rejection of them, and that not in fingle and extraordinary cases, but in matters of ordinary course, and by dozens? I pass by other instances. But was her moderation feen in dilapidating the revenues of the church? Of that church. church, which she took under the wing of her supremacy, and would be thought to have sheltered from all its enemies [y]? The honest archbishop PARKER, I have

[y] This was a common topic of complaint against the queen, or at least her ministers, and gave occasion to that reproof of the poet Spenser, which the persons concerned could hardly look upon as very decent,

"Scarce can a bishoprick forepass them bye,
But that it must be gelt in privity."

Mother Hubbard's Tale.

But a bishop of that time carries the charge still further. In one of his fermons at court before the queen, "Parsonages and vicarages, says he, seldom pass now-a-days from the patron, but either for the lease, or the present money. Such merchants are broken into the church of God, a great deal more intolerable than were they, whom CHRIST whipped out of the temple."- This language is very harsh, and furely not deferved by the protestant patrons of those days, who were only, as we may suppose, for reducing the church of CHRIST to its pure and primitive flate of indigence and fuffering. How edifying is it to hear St. PAUL speak of his being-In hunger and thirst, in fastings often, in cold and nakednefs! And how perfectly reformed would our church be, if its ministers were but once more in this bleffed apostolical condition!

heared, ventured to remonstrate against this abuse, the cognizance of which came fo directly within his province. But to what effect, may be gathered, not only from the continuance of these depredations, but her fevere reprehension of another of her bishops, whom she threatened with an oath to UNFROCK—that was her majesty's own word-if he did not immediately give way to her princely extortions.

IT may be hardly worth while to take notice of smaller matters. But who does not refent her capricious tyranny in difgracing fuch of her fervants as prefumed to deviate, on any pretence, from her good pleafure; nay, fuch as gave an implicit obedience to her will, if it stood with her interest to disgrace them? Something, I know, may be faid to excuse the proceedings against the queen of Scots. But the fate of DAVISON will reflect

reflect eternal dishonour on the policy, with which that measure was conducted.

I RUN over these things hastily, continued Mr. Addison, and in no great order, but you will see what to conclude from these hints; which, taken together, I believe, may furnish a proper answer to the most considerable parts of your apology.

To fum it up in few words. Those two great events of her time, the establishment of the Reformation, and the triumph over the power of Spain, cast an uncommon lustre on the reign of Elizabeth. Posterity, dazzled with these obvious successes, went into an excessive admiration of her personal virtues. And what has served to brighten them the more, is the place in which we chance to find her, between the bigot queen on the one hand, and the pedant king on the other.

## 76 DIALOGUES MORAL

No wonder then that, on the first glance, her government should appear able and even glorious. Yet in looking into particulars, we find that much is to be attributed to fortune, as well as skill; and that her glory is even leffened by condiderations, which, on a careless view, may feem to augment it. The difficulties, she had to encounter, were great. Yet these very difficulties, of themselves, created the proper means to furmount them. They sharpened the wits, inflamed the spirits, and united the affections of a whole people. The name of her great enemy on the continent, at that time, carried terror with it. Yet his power was, in reality, much less than it appeared. The Spanish empire was corrupt and weak, and tottered under its own weight. But this was a fecret even to the Spaniard himself. In the mean time, the confidence, which the opinion of great strength inspires, was a favourable circumstance. It occasioned a remissiness and neglect of counsel on one side, in proportion as it raised the utmost vigilance and circumspection on the other. But this was not all. The religious feuds in the low countries—the civil wars in France—the distractions of Scotland—all concurred to advance the fortunes of Elizabeth. Yet all had, perhaps, been too little in that grand criss of her fate, and, as it fell out, of her glory, if the conspiring elements themselves had not fought for her.

SUCH is the natural account of her foreign triumphs. Her domestic successes admit as easy a solution. Those external dangers themselves, the genius of the time, the state of religious parties, nay, the very factions of her court, all of them directly, or by the slightest application of her policy, administered to her greatness. Such was the condition of the times, that it forced her to assume the resemblance, at least, of some popu-

lar virtues: and so singular her fortune, that her very vices became as respectable, perhaps more useful to her reputation, than her virtues. She was vigilant in her counsels; careful in the choice of her fervants; courteous and condescending to her subjects. She appeared to have an extreme tenderness for the interests, and an extreme zeal for the honour of the nation. This was the bright fide of her character; and it shone the brighter from the constant and imminent dangers, to which she was exposed. On the other hand, she was choleric, and imperious; jealous, timid, and avaricious; oppressive, as far as she durst; in many cases capricious, in fome tyrannical. Yet thefe vices, some of them sharpened and refined her policy, and the rest, operating chiefly towards her courtiers and dependents, strengthened her authority, and rooted her more firmly in the hearts of the people. The mingled splendor of these qualities; A

qualities, good and bad (for even her worst had the luck, when seen but on one side, or in well-disposed lights, to look like good ones) so far dazzled the eyes of all, that they did not, or would not, see many outrageous acts of tyranny and oppression.

AND thus it hath come to pass that, with fome ability, more cunning, and little real virtue, the name of ELIZABETH is, by the concurrence of many accidental causes, become the most revered of any in the long roll of our princes. How little she merited this honour may appear from this flight sketch of her character and government. Yet, when all proper abatement is made in both, I will not deny her to have been a great, that is, a fortunate queen; in this, perhaps, the most fortunate, that she has attained to fo unrivalled a glory with fo few pretensions to deserve it.

And fo, replied Dr. Arbuthnot, you have concluded your invective in full form, and rounded it, as the antient orators used to do, with all the advantage of a peroration. But, fetting aside this trick of eloquence, which is apt indeed to confound a plain man, unused to such artifices, I fee not but you have left the argument much as you took it up; and that I may still have leave to retain my former reverence for the good old times of queen ELIZABETH. It is true, she had some foibles. You have spared, I believe, none of them. But, to make amends for these defects, let but the history of her reign speak for her, I mean in its own artless language, neither corrupted by flattery, nor tortured by invidious glosses; and we must ever conceive of her, I will not fay as the most faultless, perhaps not the most virtuous, but furely the most able, and, from the fplendor fplendor of some leading qualities, the most glorious of our English monarchs.

To give you my notion of her in few words. - For the dispute, I find, must end, as most others usually do, in the fimple representation of our own notions - She was discreet, frugal, provident, and fagacious: intent on the purfuit of her great ends, the establishment of religion, and the security and honour of her people: prudent in the choice of the best means to effect them, the employment of able fervants, and the management of the public revenue: dextrous at improving all advantages which her own wisdom, or the circumstances of the times gave her: fearless and intrepid in the execution of great designs, yet careful to unite the deepest foresight with her magnanimity. If she seemed AVARICIous, let it be considered that the nicest frugality was but necessary in her situation: if IMPERIOUS, that a female go-Vol. II. vernment

by a shew of authority: and if at any time oppressive, that the English constitution, as it then stood, as well as her own nature, had a good deal of that bias.

In a word, let it be remembered, that the had the honour of ruling [z], perhaps of forming, the wifest, the bravest, the most virtuous people, that have adorned any age or country; and that she advanced the glory of the English name and that of her own dignity to a height, which has no parallel in the annals of our nation.

- MR.

<sup>[2]</sup> It was this circumstance that seemed to weigh most with the Lord Chancellor Bacon; who, in his short tract, In felicem memoriam ELIZABETHÆ, saith, "Illud cogitandum censeo, in quali populo imperium tenuerit: si enim in Palmyrenis, aut Asiâ imbelli et molli regnum sortita esset, minùs mirandum suisset—verùm in Anglia, natione ferocissima et bellicossissima omnia ex nutu seeminæ moveri et cohiberi potuisse, summam merito admirationem Habet."

Mr. Digby, who had been very attentive to the course of this debate, was a little disappointed with the conclusion of it. He thought to have fettled his judgment of this reign by the information, his two friends should afford him. But he found himself rather perplexed by their altercations, than convinced by them. He owned, however, the pleasure they had given him; and faid, he had profited fo much at least by the occasion, that, for the future, he should conceive with fomething less reverence of the great queen, and should proceed with less prejudice to form his opinion of her character and adminiftration.

Mr. Addison did not appear quite fatisfied with this sceptical conclusion; and was going to enforce some things, which he thought had been touched too slightly, when Dr. Arbuthnot took G 2 notice

## 84 DIALOGUES MORAL &c.

notice that their walk was now at an end; the path, they had taken, having by this time brought them round again to the walls of the castle. Besides, he said, he found himself much wearied with this exercife, though the warmth of debate, and the opportunities he took of resting himself at times, had kept him from complaining of it. He proposed, therefore, getting into the coach as foon as possible; where, though the conversation was in fome fort refumed, there was nothing material enough advanced on either fide to make it necessary for me to continue this recital any further.

# DIALOGUE V.

On the Constitution of the English Government.

SIR JOHN MAYNARD, MR. SOMERS, BISHOP BURNET [a].

TO DR. TILLOTSON.

THOUGH the principles of nature and common fense do fully authorize resistance to the civil magistrate in extreme cases, and, of course justify the

[a] The subject of these Dialogues, on the English Constitution, is the most important in English politics.

To cite all the passages from our best antiquaries and historians, out of which this work was formed, and which lay before the writer in composing it, would swell this volume to an immoderate size. It is enough to say, that nothing material is advanced in the course of the argument, but on the best authority.

late

G

late revolution to every candid and difpassionate man; yet I am sensible, my excellent friend, there are many prejudices which hinder the glorious proceedings in that affair from being feen in their true light. The principal of them, indeed, are founded on false systems of policy, and those tied down on the consciences of men by wrong notions of religion. And fuch as thefe, no doubt, through the experience of a better government, and a juster turn of thinking, which may be expected to prevail in our times, will gradually fall away of themfelves.

But there is another set of notions on this subject, not so easy to be discredited, and which are likely to keep their hold on the minds even of the more sober and considerate sort of men. For whatever advantage the cause of liberty may receive from general reasonings on the origin and nature of civil government,

the greater part of our countrymen will confider, and perhaps rightly, the enquiry into the constitution of their own government, as a question of FACT; that must be tried by authorities and precedents only; and decided at last by the evidence of historical testimony, not by the conclusions of philosophy or political speculation.

Now, though we are agreed that this way of managing the controversy must, when fully and fairly purfued, be much in favour of the new fettlement, yet neither, I think, is it for every man's handling, nor is the evidence resulting from it of a nature to compel our affent. The argument is formed on a vast variety of particulars, to be collected only from a large and intimate acquaintance with the antiquities, laws, and usages of the kingdom. Our printed histories are not only very short and imperfect; but the original records, which the curious have .. 1 1

G 4

in their possession, are either so obscure or fo feanty, that a willing adversary hath always in readiness some objection or some cavil at least, to oppose to the evidence that may be drawn from them. Besides, appearances, even in the plainest and most unquestioned parts of our history, are fometimes fo contradictory; arifing either from the tyranny of the prince, the neglect of the people, or some other circumstance of the times; and, to crown all, the question itself hath been so involved by the disputations of prejudiced and defigning men, that the more intelligent enquirer is almost at a loss to determine for himself, on which fide the force of evidence lies.

On this account I have frequently thought with myfelf, that a right good CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY of England would be the noblest service that any man, duly qualified for the execution of such a work, could render to his country.

For though, as I faid, the subject be obscure in itself, and perplexed by the subtilties which contending parties have invented for the support of their several schemes, yet, from all I have been able to observe in the course of my own reading, or conversation, there is little doubt but that the form of the English government hath, at all times, been free. So that if fuch a history were drawn up with fufficient care out of our authentic papers and public monuments, it would not only be matter of entertainment to the curious, but the greatest security to every Englishman of his religious and civil rights. For what can be conceived more likely to preferve and perpetuate these rights, than the standing evidence which fuch a work would afford, of the genuin spirit and temper of the constitution? Of the principles of freedom [b],

<sup>[</sup>b] That is, of the feudal law; which was one of the subjects, explained by the bishop to his royal pupil the duke of Gloucester. "I acquainted him, says he, with all the great revolutions that had been

on which it was formed, and on which it hath been continually and uniformly conducted? Our youth, who at present amuse themselves with little more than the military part of our annals, would then have an easy opportunity of seeing to the bottom of all our civil and domestic broils. They would know on what pretences the PREROGATIVE of our kings hath sometimes aspired to exalt itself above controul; and would learn to revere the magnanimity of their forefathers, who as constantly succeeded in their endeavours to reduce it within the antient limits and boundaries of the LAW. In a word, they would no longer rest on the furface and outfide, as it were, of the English affairs, but would penetrate the interior parts of our constitution; and

in the world, and gave him a copious account of the Greek and Roman histories, and of PLUTARCH's lives: the last thing I explained to him was the Gothic constitution, and the BENEFICIARY AND FEUDAL LAWS." [HIST. of his own times, vol. iv. P. 357. Edingb. 1753.]

furnish themselves with a competent degree of civil and political wisdom; the most solid fruit, that can be gathered from the knowledge and experience of past times.

AND I am ready to think that fuch a provision, as this, for the instruction of the English youth, may be the more requifite, on account of that limited indeed, yet awful form of government under which we live. For, besides the name, and other enfigns of majesty, in common with those who wear the most despotic crowns, the whole execution of our laws. and the active part of government is in the hands of the prince. And this preeminence gives him fo respectable a figure in the eyes of his subjects, and presents him fo constantly, and with fuch lustre of authority to their minds, that it is no wonder they are fometimes disposed to advance him from the rank of first magistrate gistrate of a free people, into that of supreme and sole arbiter of the laws.

So that, unless these prejudices are corrected by the knowledge of our constitutional history, there is constant reason to apprehend, not only that the royal authority may stretch itself beyond due bounds; but may grow, at length, into that enormous tyranny, from which this nation hath been at other times so happily, and now of late so wonderfully redeemed.

BUT I suffer myself to be carried by these restexions much further than I designed. I would only say to you, that, having sometimes reslected very seriously on this subject, it was with the highest pleasure I heard it discoursed of the other day by two of the most accomplished lawyers of our age: the venerable Sir John Maynard, who, for a long course of years, hath maintained the

full

full credit and dignity of his profession; and Mr. Somers, who, though a young man, is rising a-pace, and with proportionable merits, into all the honours of it.

I was very attentive, as you may suppose, to the progress of this remarkable conversation; and, as I had the honour to bear a full share in it myself, I may the rather undertake to give you a particular account of it. I know the pleasure it will give you to see a subject, you have much at heart, and which we have frequently talked over in the late times, thoroughly canvassed, and cleared up, as I think it must be, to your entire satisfaction.

It was within a day or two after that great event, so pleasing to all true Englishmen, the coronation of their majesties [c], that Mr. Somers and I went, as we sometimes used, to pass an

## 94 DIALOGUES MORAL

Lord Commissioner [d]. I shall not need to attempt his character to you, who know him so well. It is enough to say, that his faculties and spirits are even, in this maturity of age, in great vigour. And it seems as if this joyful revolution, so agreeable to his hopes and principles, had given a fresh spring and elasticity to both.

The conversation of course turned on the late august ceremony; the mention of which awakened a fort of rapture in the good old man, which made him overslow in his meditations upon it. Seeing us in admiration of the zeal, which transported him, "Bear with me, said he, my young friends. Age, you know, hath its privilege. And it may be, I use it somewhat unreasonably. But I, who have seen the prize of liberty contend-

<sup>[</sup>d] Of the great feal. — The other lawyers in commission were Keck and Rawlinson.

ing for through half a century, to find it obtained at last by a method so sure, and yet fo unexpected, do you think it possible that I should contain myself on fuch an occasion? Oh, if ye had lived with me in those days when such mighty struggles were made for public freedom, when so many wife counsels miscarried, and fo many generous enterprizes concluded but in the confirmation of lawless tyranny; if, I say, ye had lived in those days, and now at length were able to contrast with me, to the tragedies that were then acted, this fafe, this bloodless, this complete deliverance; I am mistaken, if the youngest of you could reprove me for this joy, which makes me think I can never fay enough on fo delightful a fubiect.

#### BP. BURNET.

REPROVE you, my lord? Alass, we are neither of us fo unexperienced in what hath paffed of late in these kings doms, as not to rejoice with you to the utmost

utmost for this astonishing deliverance. You know, I might boast of being among the first that wished for, I will not say projected, the measures by which it hath been accomplished. And for Mr. Somers, the church of England will tell—

### MR. SOMERS.

I CONFESS, my warmest wishes have ever gone along with those who conducted this noble enterprize. And I pretend to as fincere a pleasure, as any man, in the completion of it. Yet, if it were not unreasonable at such a time, I might be tempted to mention one circumstance which, I know not how, a little abates the joy of these triumphant gratulations.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

Is not the fettlement then to your mind? Or hath any precaution been neglected which you think necessary for the more effectual fecurity of our liberties?

#### MR. SOMERS.

Not that. I think the provision for the people's right as ample as needs be defired. Or, if any further restrictions on the crown be thought proper, it will now be easy for the people, in a regular parliamentary way, to effect it. What I mean is, a consideration of much more importance.

#### BP. BURNET.

The pretended prince of Wales, you think, will be raising some disturbance, or alarm at least, to the new government. I believe, I may take upon me to give you perfect satisfaction upon that subject [e].

#### MR. SOMERS.

STILL your conjectures fall short or wide of my meaning. Our new MAGNA

[e] This was a favourite subject with our good bishop, and how qualified he was to discuss it, even in its minutest particularities, may be learnt from his history at large.

Vol. II. H CHARTA.

98

CHARTA, as I love to call the Declaration of rights, seems a sufficient barrier against any future encroachments of the CROWN. And I think, the pretended prince of WALES, whatever be determined of his birth, a mere phantom; that may amuse, and perhaps disquiet, the weaker fort for a while, but, if left to itself [f], will foon vanish out of the minds of the PEOPLE. Not but I allow that even fo thin a pretence as this, may, fome time or other, be conjured up to disturb the government. But it must be, when a certain fet of principles are called in aid to support it. And, to save you the further trouble of guesting, I shall freely tell you, what those principles are. You will fee, in them, the ground of my prefent fears and apprehensions.

<sup>[</sup>f] It was not thus left to itself, but was nursed and softered with great care by the preachers of divine indefeasible hereditary right, in this and the sollowing reign.

IT might be imagined that so necesfary a revolution, as that which hath taken place, would fufficiently approve itself to all reasonable men. And it appears, in fact, to have done fo, now that the public injuries are fresh, and the general refentment of them strong and lively. But it too often happens that when the evil is once removed, it is prefently forgotten: and in matters of government especially, where the people rarely think, till they are made to feel, when the grievance is taken away, the false system easily returns and sometimes with redoubled force, which had given birth to it.

#### BP. BURNET.

ONE can readily admit the principles. But the conclusion, you propose to draw from them—

### MR. SOMERS.

This very important one, "That, if the late change of government was H 2 brought

brought about, and can be defended only, on the principles of liberty, the fettlement, introduced by it, can be thought fecure no longer than while those principles are rightly understood, and generally admitted."

#### BP. BURNET.

But what reason is there to apprehend that these principles, so commonly professed and publicly avowed, will not continue to be kept up in full vigour?

#### MR. SOMERS.

BECAUSE, I doubt, they are fo commonly and publicly avowed, only to ferve a prefent turn, and not because they come from the heart, or are entertained on any just ground of conviction.

#### BP. BURNET.

VERY likely: And confidering the pains that have been taken to possess the minds of men with other notions of government,

vernment, the wonder is how they came to be entertained at all. Yet furely the experience of better times may be expected to do much. Men will of course think more justly on these subjects in proportion as they find themselves more happy. And thus the principles, which, as you fay, were first pretended to out of necessity, will be followed out of choice, and bound upon them by the conclusions of their own reason.

### MR. SOMERS.

I wish your lordship be not too fanguin in these expectations. It is not to be conceived how infensible the people are to the bleffings they enjoy, and how eafily they forget their past miseries. So that, if their principles have not taken deep root, I would not answer for their continuing much longer than it ferved their purpose to make a shew of them.

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

I MUST confess, that all my experience of mankind inclines me to this opinion. I could relate to you some strange Instances of the fort, Mr. Somers hints at. But after all, Sir, you do not indulge these apprehensions, on account of the general fickleness of human nature. You have some more particular reasons for concluding that the system of liberty, which hath worked such wonders of late, is not likely to maintain its ground amongst us.

#### MR. SOMERS.

I HAVE: and I was going to explain those reasons, if my lord of SALISBURY had not a little diverted me from the pursuit of them.

It is very notorious from the common discourse of men even on this great occasion (and I wish it had not appeared too evidently in the debates of the houses)

that very many of us have but crude notions of the form of government, under which we live, and which hath been transmitted to us from our forefathers. I have met with perfons of no mean rank, and supposed to be well seen in the history of the kingdom, who speak a very strange language. They allow, indeed, that fomething was to be done in the perilous circumstances, into which we had fallen. But, when they come to explain themselves, it is in a way that leaves us no right to do any thing; at least, not what it was found expedient. for the nation to do at this juncture. For "they contend in fo many words, that the crown of England is absolute; that the form of government is an entire and simple monarchy; and that so it hath continued to be in every period of it down to the abdication: that the con-QUEST, at least, to ascend no higher, invested the first William in absolute dominion; that from him it devolved of courfe H 4

course upon his successors; and that all the pretended rights of the people, the GREAT CHARTERS of antient and modern date, were mere usurpations on the prince, extorted from him by the necessity of his affairs, and revocable at his pleasure: nay, they insinuate that parliaments themselves were the creatures of his will; that their privileges were all derived from the sovereign's grant; and that they made no part in the original frame and texture of the English government.

"In support of this extraordinary system, they refer us to the constant tenor of our history. They speak of the Conqueror, as proprietary of the whole kingdom: which accordingly, they say, he parcelled out, as he saw sit, in grants to his Norman and English subjects: that, through his partial consideration of the church, and an excessive liberality to his savoured servants, this distribution

distribution was so ill made as to give occasion to all the broils and contentions that followed: that the church-men began their unnatural claim of independency on the crown; in which attempt they were foon followed by the encroaching and too powerful barons: that in these struggles many flowers of the crown were rudely torn from it, till a fort of truce was made, and the rebellious humour fomewhat composed by the extorted articles of RUNNING-MEDE: that these confusions, however, were afterwards renewed, and even increased, by the contests of the two houses of YORK and LANCASTER: but that, upon the union of the roses in the person of HENRY VII, these commotions were finally appealed, and the crown restored to its antient dignity and lustre: that, indeed, the usage of parliaments, with fome other forms of popular administration, which had been permitted in the former irregular reigns, was continued,

but of the mere grace of the prince, and without any confequence to his prerogative: that fucceeding kings, and even Henry himfelf, confidered themfelves as possessed of an imperial crown; and that, though they might sometimes condescend to take the advice, they were absolutely above the control of the people: in short, that the law itself was but the will of the prince declared in parliament; or rather solemnly received and attested there, for the better information and more entire obedience of the subject."

"This they deliver as a just and fair account of the English government; the genius of which, they say, is absolute and despotic in the highest degree; as much so, at least, as that of any other monarchy in Europe. They ask, with an air of insult, what restraint our Henry VIII and our admired Elizabeth would ever suffer to be put on their prerogative; and they mention with

with derision the fancy of dating the high pretentions of the crown from the accession of the STUART family. They affirm, that JAMES I, and his fon, aimed only to continue the government on the footing on which they had received it; that their notions of it were authorized by constant fact; by the evidence of our histories; by the language of parliaments; by the concurrent fense of every order of men amongst us: and that what followed in the middle of this century was the mere effect of POPULAR, as many former disorders had been of PARTICIAN violence. In a word they conclude, with faying, that the old government revived again at the RESTORATION, just as, in like circumstances, it had done before at the union of the two houses: that, in truth, the voluntary defertion of the late king had given a colour to the innovations of the present year; but that, till this new fettlement was made, the English constitution, as implying fomething different

ferent from pure monarchy, was an unintelligible notion, or rather a mere whimfy that had not the least foundation in truth or history."

THIS is a fummary of the doctrines, which, I doubt, are too current amongst us. I don't speak of the bigotted adherents to the late king; but of many cooler and more difinterested men, whose religious principles, as I suppose (for it appears it could not be their political), had engaged them to concur in the new fettlement. You will judge, then, if there be not reason to apprehend much mischief from the prevalence and propagation of fuch a fystem: a system, which, as being, in the language of the patrons of it, founded upon fact, is the more likely to impose upon the people; and, as referring to the practice of antient times, is not for every man's confutation. I repeat it therefore, if this notion of the despotic form of our government become general, general, I tremble to think what effect it may hereafter produce on the minds of men; especially when joined to that false tenderness, which the people of England are so apt to entertain for their princes, even the worst of them, under misfortune. I might further observe, that this prerogative-fystem hath a direct tendency to produce, as well as heighten, this compassion to the fovereign. And I make no scruple to lay it before you with all its circumstances, because I know to whom I fpeak, and that I could not have wished for a better opportunity of hearing it confuted.

### BP. BURNET.

I MUST own, though I was fomewhat unwilling to give way to fuch melancholy apprehensions at this time, I think with Mr. Somers, there is but too much reason to entertain them. For my own part, I am apt to look no further for the

right of the legislature to settle the government in their own way, than their own free votes and resolutions. For. being used to consider all political power as coming originally from the people, it feems to me but fitting that they should dispose of that power for their own use in what hands, and under what conditions they please. Yet, as much regard is due to established forms and antient prescription, I think the matter of fact of great consequence; and, if the people, in general, should once conceive of it according to this representation, I should be very anxious for the issue of fo dangerous an opinion. I must needs, therefore, join very entirely with Mr. Somers, in wishing to hear the whole fubject canvaffed, or rather finally determined, as it must be, if Sir John May-NARD will do us the pleasure to acquaint us what his fentiments are upon it.

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

TRULY, my good friends, you have opened a very notable cause, and in good form. Only, methinks, a little less solemnity, if you had fo pleafed, might have better fuited the occasion. Why, I could almost laugh to hear you talk of fear and dangers from a phantom of your own raifing. I certainly believe the common proverb belies us; and that old age is not that dastardly thing it hath been represented. For, instead of being terrified by this conceit of a prescriptive right in our fovereigns to tyrannize over the subject, I am ready to think the contrary so evident from the constant course of our history, that the simplest of the people are in no hazard of falling into the delusion. I should rather have apprehended mischief from other quarters; from the influence of certain speculative points which have been too fuccessfully propagated of late; and chiefly from those pernicious glosses which too many

of my order have made on the letter of the law, and too many of yours, my lord of Salisbury, on that of the gospel. Trust me, if the matter once come to a question of FACT, and the inquiry be only concerning antient form and precedent, the decision will clearly be in our favour. And for yourfelves, I affure myself this decision is already made. But fince you are willing to put me upon the task, and we have leifure enough for fuch an amusement, I shall very readily undertake it. And the rather, as I have more than once in my life had occasion to go to the bottom of this inquiry, and now very lately have taken a pleafure to reflect on the general evidence which history affords of our free constitution, and to review the scattered hints and passages I had formerly fet down for my private fatisfaction.

"I understand the question to be, not under what form the government hath

hath appeared at some particular conjunctures, but what we may conclude it to have been from the general current and tenor of our histories. More particularly, I conceive, you would ask, not whether the administration hath not at some seasons been despotic, but whether the genius of the government hath not at all times been free. Or, if you do not think the terms, in which I propose the question, strict enough, you will do well to state it in your own way, that hereafter we may have no dispute about it.

#### BP. BURNET.

I SUPPOSE, the question, as here put, is determinate enough for our purpose.

Or, have you, Mr. Somers, any exceptions to make to it?

#### MR. SOMERS.

I BELIEVE we understand each other perfectly well; the question being only Vol. II. I this,

this, "Whether there be any ground in history to conclude that the prince hath a constitutional claim to absolute uncontrolable dominion; or, whether the liberty of the subject be not essential to every different form, under which the English government hath appeared."

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

You expect of me then to shew, in opposition to the scheme, just now delivered by you, that neither from the original constitution of the government, nor from the various forms (for they have, indeed, been various) under which it hath been administered, is there any reason to infer, that the English monarchy is, or of right ought to be, despotic and unlimited.

Now this I take to be the easiest of all undertakings; so very easy, that I could trust a plain man to determine the matter for himself by the light that offers itself

itself to him from the slightest of our histories. 'Tis true, the deeper his refearches go, his conviction will be the clearer; as any one may fee by dipping into my friend NAT. BACON's discourses; where our free constitution is set forth with that evidence as must for ever have filenced the patrons of the other fide, if he had not allowed himself to strain fome things beyond what the truth, or indeed his cause required. But, faving to myself the benefit of his elaborate work, I think it fufficient to take notice, that the fystem of liberty is supported even by that short sketch of our history, which Mr. Somers hath laid before us; and in spite of the disguises, with which, as he tells us, the enemies of liberty have endeavoured to cloke it.

You do not, I am fure, expect from me, that, I should go back to the elder and more remote parts of our history;

that I should take upon me to investigate the scheme of government, which hath prevailed in this kingdom from the time that the Roman power departed from us; or that I should even lay myself out in delineating, as many have done, the plan of the Saxon constitution: though such an attempt might not be unpleasing, nor altogether without its use, as the principles of the Saxon policy, and in some respects the form of it, have been conflantly kept up in every fucceeding period of the English monarchy. I content myself with observing, that the fpirit of liberty was predominant in those times: and, for proof of it, appeal at present only to one single circumstance, which you will think remarkable. Our Saxon ancestors conceived so little of government, by the will of the magistrate, without fixed laws, that LAGA or LEAGA, which in their language first and properly fignified the same as LAW with us,

Was

was transferred [g] very naturally (for language always conforms itself to the genius, temper, and manners of a nation) to fignify a country, district, or province; these good people having no notion of any inhabited country not governed by laws. Thus Dena-laga; Mercena-laga;

[g] This casual remark seems to determine a famous dispute among the Antiquarians on the subject before us. Bishop Nicholson attended so little to this tralatitious use of words, in which all languages abound, that finding LAGA in feveral places fignified a country, he would needs have it that CAMDEN, LAMBARD, SPELMAN, COWELL, SELDEN, and all our best Antiquaries were mistaken, when they supposed Laga ever signified, in the compositions here mentioned, a law. However, his adversaries among the Antiquarians were even with him, and finding that Laga, in these compositions, did fignify a law in feveral places of our antient laws, historians, and lawyers, deny that it ever fignifies a country. Each indeed had a confiderable object in view; the one was bent on overthrowing a fystem; the other on supporting it: namely, that famous threefold body of laws, the Danish, Mercian, and West-Saxon. It must be owned, the bishop could not overthrow the common fystem, without running into his extreme: it feems, his opponents might have supported it, without running into theirs.

I 3

and Westsexena-laga, were not only used in their laws and history to signify the laws of the Danes, Mercians, and West-Saxons, but the countries likewise. Of which usage I could produce to you many instances, if I did not presume that, for so small a matter as this, my mere word might be taken.

You fee then how fully the fpirit of liberty possessed the very language of our Saxon forefathers. And it might well do so; for it was of the essence of the German constitutions; a just notion of which (so uniform was the genius of the brave people that planned them) may be gathered, you know, from what the Roman historians, and, above all, from what Tacitus hath recorded of them.

BUT I forbear so common a topic: and, besides, I think myself acquitted of this task by the prudent method, which the defenders of the regal power have themselves

themselves taken in conducting this controversy. For, as conscious of the testimony which the Saxon times are ready to bear against them, they are wife enough to lay the foundation of their fystem in the Conquest. They look no higher than that event for the origin of the constitution, and think they have a notable advantage over us in deducing their notion of the English government from the form it took in the hands of the Norman invader. But is it not pleafant to hear these men calumniate the improvements that have been made from time to time in the plan of our civil constitution with the name of usurpations, when they are not ashamed to erect the constitution itself on what they must esteem, at least, a great and manifest usurpation?

BP. BURNET.

Conquest, I suppose, in their opinion, gives right. And since an inquiry into the origin of a constitution requires

I 4 that

that we fix fomewhere, confidering the vast alterations introduced by the conquest, and that we have never pretended to reject, but only to improve and complete the duke of Normandy's establishment, I believe it may be as proper to set out from that æra as from any other.

## SIR, J. MAYNARD.

Your Lordship does not imagine that I am about to excuse myself from closing with them, even on their own terms. I intended that question only as a reproach to the persons we have to deal with; who, when a successful event makes, or but seems to make, for their idol of an absolute monarchy, call it a regular establishment: whereas a revolution brought about by the justest means, if the cause of liberty receive an advantage by it, shall be revised by the name of usurpation. But let them employ what names they please, provided their facts be well grounded.

grounded. We will allow them to dignify the Norman fettlement with the title of constitution. What follows? That despotism was of the effence of that constitution? So they tell us indeed; but without one word of proof, for the affertion. For what! do they think the name of conquest, or even the thing, implies an absolute unlimited dominion? Have they forgotten that WILLIAM's claim to the crown was, not conquest (though it enabled him to support his claim) but testamentary succession? A title very much in the taste of that time [b], and extremely reverenced by our Saxon ancestors? That, even waving this specious claim, he condescended to accept the crown, as a free gift; and by his coronation-oath fubmitted himself to the fame terms of administration, as his predecessors? And that, in one word, he confirmed the Saxon laws, at least before

<sup>[</sup>b] See Historical Law-Tracts, vol. i. p. 294.

he had been many years in possession of his new dignity [i]?

Is there any thing in all this that favours the notion of his erecting himself, by the sole virtue of his victory at Hastings, into an absolute Lord of the conquered country? Is it not certain that he bound himself, as far as oaths and declarations could bind him, to govern according to law; that he could neither touch the honours nor estates of his subjects but by legal trial; and that even the many forfeitures in his reign are an evidence of his proceeding in that method?

STILL we are told "of his parcelling out the whole land, upon his own terms, to his followers;" and are infulted "with

[i] MILTON did not forget to observe, in his Tenure of kings and magisfrates, "That WILLIAM the Norman, though a Conqueror, and not unsworn at his coronation, was compelled a second time to take oath at St. Albans, ere the people would be brought to yield obedience." Vol. i. of his Profe works, 4.0. 1753, P. 345.

his

his famous institution of feudal tenures." But what if the former of these affertions be foreign to the purpose at least, if not false; and the latter subversive of the very system it is brought to establish? I think, I have reason for putting both these questions. For, what if he parcelled out most, or all, of the Lands of England to his followers? The fact has been much disputed: But be it, as they pretend, that the property of all the foil in the kingdom had changed hands. What is that to us, who claim-under our Norman, as well as Saxon, ancestors? For the question, you see, is about the form of government fettled in this nation at the time of the conquest. And they argue with us, from a supposed act of tyranny in the conqueror, in order to come at that fettlement. The Saxons, methinks, might be injured, oppressed, enflaved, and yet the constitution, transmitted to us through his own Normans, be perfectly free.

But their other allegation is still more unfortunate. "He instituted, they say, the feudal law." True. But the feudal law, and absolute dominion, are two things; and, what is more, perfectly incompatible.

I TAKE upon me to fay, that I shall make out this point in the clearest manner. In the mean time it may help us to understand the nature of the feudal establishment, to consider the practice of fucceeding times. What that was, our adverfaries themselves, if you please, shall inform us. Mr. Somers hath told their story very fairly, which yet amounts only to this, " That, throughout the Norman and Plantagenet lines, there was one perpetual contest between the prince and his feudataries for law and liberty:" an evident proof of the light in which our forefathers regarded the Norman constitution. In the competition of the

two Roses, and perhaps before, they lost fight indeed of this prize. But no fooner was the public tranquillity restored, and the contending claims united in HENRY VII, than the old spirit revived. A legal constitution became the constant object of the people, and though not always avowed, was, in effect, as constantly submitted to by the sovereign.

IT may be true, perhaps, that the ability of one prince [k], the imperious carriage of another [1], and the generous intrigues of a third [m]; but above all, the condition of the times and a fense of former miseries, kept down the spirit of liberty for fome reigns, or diminished, at least, the force and vigour of its operations. But a passive subjection was never acknowledged, certainly never demanded as matter of right, till ELIZABETH now and then, and King James, by talking

<sup>[</sup>k] HENRY VII.

<sup>[</sup>m] ELIZABETH.

<sup>[1]</sup> HENRY VIII.

continually in this strain, awakened the national jealousy; which proved so uneasy to himself, and, in the end, so fatal to his family.

I CANNOT allow myfelf to mention these things more in detail to you, who have so perfect a knowledge of them. One thing only I insist upon, that, without connecting the system of liberty with that of prerogative in our notion of the English government, the tenor of our history is perfectly unintelligible, and that no consistent account can be given of it, but on the supposition of a LEGAL LIMITED CONSTITUTION.

#### MR. SOMERS.

YET that conflitution, it will be thought, was at least ill defined, which could give occasion to so many fierce disputes, and those carried on through so long a tract of time, between the crown and the subject.

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

THE fault, if there was one, lay in the original plan of the constitution itself; as you will clearly fee when I have opened the nature of it, that is, when I have explained the genius, views, and confequences of the FEUDAL POLICY. It must, however, be affirmed that this policy was founded in the principles of freedom, and was, in truth, excellently adapted to an active, fierce, and military people; fuch as were all those to whom these western parts of Europe have been indebted for their civil constitutions. But betwixt the burthenfome fervices imposed on the subject by this tenure, or which it gave at least the pretence of exacting from him, and the too great restraint which an unequal and disproportioned allotment of feuds, to the greater barons, laid on the fovereign; but above all, by narrowing the plan of liberty too much; and, while it feemed

to provide for the dependency of the prince on one part of his subjects, by leaving both him and them in a condition to exercise an arbitrary dominion over all others; hence it came to pass that the feudal policy naturally produced the struggles and convulsions, you spoke of, till it was feen in the end to be altogether unfuited to the circumstances of a rich, civilized, and commercial people. The event was, that the inconveniences. perceived in this form of government, gradually made way for the introduction of a better; which was not, however, fo properly a new form, as the old one amended and fet right; cleared of its mischiefs and inconsistencies, but conducted on the same principles, as the former, and purfuing the fame end, though by different methods.

It is commonly faid, "That the feudal tenures were introduced at the conquest." But how are we to understand this affer-

tion? Certainly, not as if the whole fystem of military services had been created by the conqueror; for they were effential to all the Gothic or German constitutions. We may suppose then, that they were only new modelled by this great prince. And who can doubt that the form, which was now given to them, would be copied from that which the Norman had feen established in his own country? It would be copied then from the proper FEUDAL FORM; the effence of which confifted in the perpetuity of the feud  $\lceil n \rceil$ ; whereas these military tenures had been elfewhere temporary only, or revocable at the will of the lord.

But to enter fully into the idea of the feudal conflitution; to fee at what time, and in what manner, it was introduced; above all, to comprehend the rea-

Vol. II. K fons

<sup>[</sup>n] PROPRIA FEUDI NATURA EST UT SIT PER-PETUA. CUJACIUS, LITTLETON.

fons that occasioned this great change, it will be convenient to look back to the estate of *France*, and especially of *Normandy*, where this constitution had, for some years, taken place before it was transferred to us at the conquest.

UNDER the first princes of the Carlovingian line, the lands of France were of two kinds, ALLODIAL, and BENEFICIARY. The allodial, were estates of inheritance; the persons, possessing them were called Hommes Libres. The beneficiary were held by grants from the crown. The persons holding immediately under the emperor, were called Leudes; the sub-tenants, vassals.

FURTHER, the allodial lands were alienable, as well as hereditary. The beneficiary were properly neither. They were held for life, or a term of years, at the will of the lord, and reverted to him

on the expiration of the term for which they were granted.

I Do not stay to explain these institutions minutely. It is of more importance to see the alterations that were afterwards made in them. And the FIRST will be thought a strange one.

THE possessions of allodial lands, in France, were desirous to have them changed into tenures. They who held of the crown in capite were intitled to fome distinctions and privileges, which the allodial lords wished to obtain; and therefore many of them furrendered their lands to the emperor, and received them again of him, in the way of tenure. This practice had taken place occasionally from the earliest times: but under CHARLES the Bald, it became almost general; and free-men not only chose to hold of the emperor, but of other lords. This last was first allowed, in consequence

K 2

of a treaty between the three brothers, after the battle of *Fontenay* in 847.

But these free-men were not so ill advised as to make their estates precarious, or to accept a life-estate instead of an inheritance. It was requisite they should hold for a perpetuity. And this I take to have been the true origin of hereditary feuds. Most probably in those dangerous times, little people could not be safe without a lord to protect them: and the price of this protection was the change of propriety into tenure.

THE SECOND change was by a law made under the same emperor in the year 877, the last of his reign. It was then enacted, that beneficiary estates held under the crown should descend to the sons of the present possessors. Yet not, as I conceive, to the eldest son; but to him whom the emperor should chuse: nor did this law affect the estates only,

but offices, which had hitherto been also beneficiary; and so the sons of counts, marquises, &c. (which were all names of offices, not titles of honour) were to succeed to the authority of their fathers, and to the benefice annexed to it. The new feuds, created in allodial lands, had, I suppose, made the emperor's tenants desirous of holding on the same terms: and the weakness of the reigning prince enabled them to succeed in this first step, which prepared the way for a revolution of still more importance. For,

The third change, by which the inheritance of beneficiary lands and offices was extended to perpetuity, and the poffession rendered almost independent of the crown was not, we may be sure, effected at once, but by degrees. The family of Charlemagne lost the empire: they resisted with great difficulty the incursions of the Normans; and in the year 911, Normandy was granted to K 3 them

# -134 DIALOGUES MORAL

them as an hereditary fee. The great lords made their advantage of the public calamities; they defended the king on what terms they pleafed; if not complied with in their demands, they refused their affiftance in the most critical conjunctures: and before the accession of HUGH CAPET, had entirely shaken off their dependence on the crown. For it is, I think, a vulgar mistake to say, that this great revolution was the effect of Hugh's policy. On the contrary, the independence of the nobles, already acquired, was, as it feems to me, the caufe of his fuccess. The prince had no authority left but over his own demefnes; which were less considerable than the possessions of some of his nobles. Hugh had one of the largest fiefs: and for this reason his usurpation added to the power of the crown, instead of lessening it, as is commonly imagined. But to bring back the feuds of the other nobles to their former precarious condition

was a thing impossible: his authority was partly supported by superior wisdom, and partly by superior strength, his vassals being more numerous than those of any other lord.

I CANNOT tell if these foreigners, when they adopted the feudal plan, were immediately aware of all the confequences of it. An hereditary tenure was, doubtless, a prodigious acquisition; yet the advantage was fomething counter-balanced by the great number of impositions which the nature of the change brought with it. These impositions are what, in respect of the lord, are called his FRUITS of tenure; fuch as WARDSHIP, MARRIAGE, RELIEF, and other fervices: and were the necessary consequence of the king's parting with his arbitrary disposal of these tenures. For now that the right of inheritance was in the tenant, it feemed but reasonable, and, without this provision, the K 4 feudal

feudal policy could not have obtained its end, that the prince, in these several ways, should fecure to himself the honour, fafety, and defence which the very nature of the constitution implied and intended. Hence hereditary feuds were very reasonably clogged with the obligations, I have mentioned; which, though trifling in comparison with the disadvantages of a precarious tenure, were yet at least some check on the independency acquired. However these services, which were due to the king under the new model, were also due to the tenant in chief from those who held of him by the like tenure. And fo the barons, or great proprietaries of land, confidering more perhaps the subjection of their own vaffals, than that by which themfelves were bound to their fovereign, reckoned these burthens as nothing with respect to what they had gained by an hereditary fuccession.

THE example of these French seudataries, we may suppose, would be catching. We accordingly find it followed, in due time, in Germany; where Conrad II [0] granted the like privilege of successive tenures, and at the pressing instance of his tenants.

I THOUGHT it material to remind you of these things; because they prove the seudal institution on the continent to have been favourable to the cause of liberty; and because it will abate our wonder to find it so readily accepted and submitted to here in England.

#### MR. SOMERS.

The account you have given, and, I dare fay, very truly, of the origin of feuds in *France* and *Germany*, is fuch as shews them to have been an extension of the people's liberty. There is no question that hereditary alienable estates

[o] CRAIG's Jus feudale, lib. i. p. 21. Lond. 1655.

have vaftly the preference to beneficiary. But the cafe, I suspect, was different with us in England. The great offices of state, indeed, in this country, as well as in France, were beneficiary. But, if I don't mistake, the lands of the English, except only the church-lands, were all allodial. And I cannot think it could be for the benefit of the English to change their old Saxon possessions, subject only to the famous triple obligation, for these new and burthensome tenures.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

STRANGE as it may appear, we have yet feen that the French did not scruple to make that exchange even of their allodial estates. But to be fair, there was a great disserence, as you well observe, in the circumstances of the two people. All the lands in England were, I believe, allodial, in the Saxon times: while a very considerable proportion of those in France were beneficiary.

ANOTHER difference, also, in the state of the two countries, is worth observing. In France, the allodial lands (though confiderable in quantity) were divided into fmall portions. In England, they feem to have been in few hands; the greater part possessed by the king and his Thanes; fome smaller parcels by the leffer Thanes; and a very little by the Ceorles. The confequence was, that, though the allodial proprietors in France were glad to renounce their property for tenure, in order to fecure the protection they much wanted; yet with us, as you fay, there could not be any fuch inducement for the Innovation. For, the lands being possessed in large portions by the nobility and gentry, the allodial lords in England were too great to stand in need of protection. Yet from this very circumstance, fairly attended to, we shall fee that the introduction of the feudal tenures was neither difficult nor unpopular.

lar. The great proprietors of land were, indeed, too free and powerful to be bettered by this change. But their tenants, that is, the bulk of the people, would be gainers by it. For these tenants were, I believe, to a man beneficiaries. The large estates of the Thanes were granted out in fmall portions to others, either for certain quantities of corn or rent, referved to the lord, or on condition of stipulated services. And these grants, of whichever fort they were, were either at pleasure, or at most for a limited term. So that though the proprietors of land in England were fo much fuperior to those in France; yet the tenants of each were much in the fame state; that is, they possessed beneficiary lands on stipulated conditions.

WHEN therefore, by right of forfeiture, the greater part of the lands in England fell, as they of course would do, into the power of the king (for they were in few hands, and those few had either fought at *Hastings*, or afterwards rebelled against him) it is easy to see that the people would not be displeased to find themselves, instead of beneficiary tenants [p], feudatary proprietors.

I say this, on supposition that these great forfeited estates and signiories, so bountifully bestowed by the conqueror on his favourite Normans, were afterwards, many of them at least, granted out in smaller parcels to English subtenants. But if these sub-tenants were also Normans (though the case of the English or old Saxon freeholders was then very hard) the change of allodial into seudatary estates is the more easily accounted for.

[p] This account of the Saxon benefices is much confirmed by the famous charter of Bishop Oswald, and the comment of Sir H. Spelman upon it. See his discourse on Feudes and Tenures.

THE main difficulty would be with the churchmen; who (though the greatest, and most of them were, perhaps, Normans too) were well acquainted with the Saxon laws, and for special reasons were much devoted to them. were fensible that their possessions had been held, in the Saxon times, in Franc-ALMOIGN: a fort of tenure, they were not forward to give up for this of feuds. 'Tis true, the burthens of these tenures would, many of them, not affect them. But then neither could they reap the principal fruit of them, the fruit of inheritance. They, besides, considered every restraint on their privileges as impious, and took the subjection of the ecclesiastic to the secular power, which the feudal establishment was to introduce, for the vilest of all servitudes. Hence the churchmen were, of all others, the most averse from this law [q]. And

<sup>[9]</sup> MATTHEW PARIS gives us the following account of this matter—" Episcopatus et Abbatias their

their opposition might have given the conqueror still more trouble, if the suppression of the great northern rebellion had not furnished him with the power, and (as many of them had been deeply engaged in it) with the pretence to force it upon them. And thus in the end, it prevailed universally, and without exception.

I would not go further into the hiftory of these tenures. It may appear from the little I have said of them, that the seudal system was rather improved and corrected by the duke of NORMANDY, than originally planted by him in this

omnes, quæ baronias tenebant, et eatenus ab omni fervitute fæculari libertatem habuerant, sub servitute statuit militari, inrotulans singulos episcopatus et abbatias pro voluntate suâ, quot milites sibi et successoribus suis, hostilitatis tempore, voluit à singulis exhiberi. Et rotulos hujus ecclessasticæ servitutis ponens in thesauris, multos viros ecclesiasticos huic constitutioni pessimæ reluctantes, a regno sugavit."

Hist, And. Willielmus Conquestor. kingdom:

kingdom: that the alteration made in it was favourable to the public interest; and that our Saxon liberties were not for properly restrained, as extended by it. It is of little moment to enquire whether the nation was won, or forced to a compliance with this fystem. It is enough to fay, that, as it was accepted by the nation, so it was in itself no servile establishment, but effentially founded in the principles of liberty. The duties of lord and feudatary were reciprocal and acknowledged: fervices on the one part, and protection on the other. The institution was plainly calculated for the joint-interest [r] of both parties, and the benefit of the community; the proper

<sup>[</sup>r] The learned Craic, who has written so largely and accurately on the feudal law, was so far from seeing any thing servile in it, that he says, "The foundations of this discipline are laid in the most generous of all considerations, those of Gratitude. Hujus seudalis disciplinæ fundamenta à gratitudine et ingratitudine descendant." Epist. Nuncup. to K. James.

notion of the feudal fystem being that "of a confederacy between a number of military persons, agreeing on a certain limited subordination and dependence on their chief, for the more effectual defence of his and their lives, territories, and possessions."

#### MR. SOMERS.

I have nothing to object to your account of the feudal constitution. And I think you do perfectly right to lay the main stress on the general nature and genius of it, as by this means you cut off those fruitless altercations, which have been raifed concerning the personal character of the Norman conqueror. Our concern is not with him, but with the government he established. And if that be free, no matter whether the founder of it were a tyrant. But though I approve your method, I doubt there is fome defect in your argument. Freedom is a term of much latitude. The Norman constitution may be free in one VOL. II. L fense.

fense, as it excludes the sole arbitrary dominion of one man, and yet servile enough in another, as it leaves the government in few hands. For it follows, from what I understand of the feudal plan, that though its genius be indeed averse from absolute monarchy, yet it is indulgent enough to absolute Aristocracy. And the notion of each is equally remote from what we conceive of true English liberty.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

It is true, the proper feudal form, especially as established in this kingdom, was in a high degree oligarchical. It would not otherwise, perhaps, have suited to the condition of those military ages. Yet the principles it went upon, were those of public liberty, and generous enough to give room for the extension of the system itself, when a change of circumstances should require it.—But your objection will best be answered by looking

looking a little more distinctly into the nature of these tenures.

I TOOK notice that the feudal system subjected the CHURCH more immediately to the civil power: and laid the foundation of many fervices and fruits of tenure to which the LAY-FEUDATARIES in the Saxon times had been altogether strangers. It is probable that all the confequences of this alteration were not foreseen. Yet the churchmen were pretty quickfighted. And the dislike, they had conceived of the new establishment, was the occasion of those struggles, which continued fo long between the mitre and crown, and which are fo famous more especially in the early parts of our history. The cause of these ecclesiastics was a bad one. For their aim was, as is rightly observed by the advocates for the prerogative, to affert an independency on the state; and for that purpose the pope was made a party in the L 2 dispute:

dispute; by whose intrigues it was kept up in one shape or other till the total renunciation of the papal power. Thus far, however, the feudal constitution cannot be blamed. On the contrary, it was highly serviceable to the cause of liberty, as tending only to hold the eccle-fiastic, in a due subordination to the civil authority.

The fame thing cannot be faid of the other instance, I mean the fruits of tenure, to which the lay-fees were subjected by this system. For however reasonable, or rather necessary those fruits might be, in a feudal sense, and for the end to which the seudal establishment was directed, yet, as the measure of these fruits, as well as the manner of exacting them, was in a good degree arbitrary, and too much left to the discretion of the sovereign, the practice, in this respect, was soon found by the tenants in chief to be an intolerable grievance. Hence that

other contest, so memorable in our history, betwixt the king and his barons: in which the former, under the colour of maintaining his feudal rights, laboured to usure an absolute dominion over the persons and properties of his vassals; and the latter, impatient of the feudal burthens, or rather of the king's arbitrary exactions under pretence of them, endeavoured to redeem themselves from so manifest an oppression.

It is not to be denied, that, in the heat of this contest, the barons sometimes carried their pretensions still further, and laboured in their turn to usurp on the crown, in revenge for the oppressions they had felt from it. However, their first contentions were only for a mitigation of the seudal system. It was not the character of the Norman princes to come easily into any project that was likely to give the least check to their pretensions. Yet the grievances, complained

of, were in part removed, in part moderated by Henry the first's, and many other successive charters. Though the last blow was not given to these seudal servitudes, till after the restoration, when such of them as remained and were found prejudicial to the liberty of the subject, were finally abolished.

Thus we fee that one effential defect in the feudal policy, confidered not as a military, but civil inflitution, was, the too great power it gave the fovereign in the arbitrary impositions, implied in this tenure. Another was accidental. It arose from the disproportionate allotment of those feuds, which gave the greater barons an ascendant over the prince, and was equally unfavourable to the cause of liberty. For the bounty of the duke of Normandy, in his distribution of the forfeited estates and signiories to his principal officers, had been so

immense [5], that their share of influence in the state was excessive and intrenched too much on the independency of the crown and the freedom of the people. And this undue poize in the constitution, as well as the tyranny of our kings, occasioned the long continuance of those civil wars, which for many ages harraffed and diffressed the nation. The evil however, in the end, brought on its own remedy. For these princely houses being much weakened in the course of the quarrel, HENRY VII fucceeded, at length, to the peaceable possession of the crown. And by the policy of this prince, and that of his fuccessor, the barons were

[s] This bounty in so wise a prince as WILLIAM will be thought strange. I believe it may be, in part, accounted for from what is observed above of the Saxon allodial lords. These had possessed immense estates. And, as they fell in upon forfeiture, the great Norman adventurers would of course expect to come into the entire succession.—Perhaps too, in that consussion of affairs, the prince might not always, himself, be apprized of the extent and value of these possessions.

brought fo low as to be quite difabled from giving any diffurbance to the crown for the future.

IT appears then that Two great defects in the feudal plan of government, as fettled amongst us, were, at length, taken away. But a THIRD, and the greatest defect of all, was the narrowness of the plan itself, I mean when confidered as a system of CIVIL polity; for, in its primary martial intention, it was perfectly unexceptionable.

To explain this matter, which is of the highest importance, and will furnish a direct answer to Mr. Somers' objection, we are to remember that in the old feudal policy the king's barons, that is, such as held in capite of the crown by barony or knight's fervice, were the king's, or rather the kingdom's, great council. No public concerns could be regularly transacted, without their con-

fent;

fent  $\lceil t \rceil$ ; though the leffer barons, or tenants by knight's-fervice, did not indeed fo constantly appear in the king's court, as the greater barons; and though the public business was sometimes even left to the ordinary attendants on the king, most of them churchmen. It appears that, towards the end of the conqueror's reign, the number of these tenants in chief was about 700; who, as the whole property of the kingdom was, in effect, in their power, may be thought a no unfit reprefentative (tho' this be no proper feudal idea) of the whole nation. It was fo, perhaps, in those rude and warlike times, when the strength of the nation lay entirely in the foldiery; that is, in those who held by military fervices, either immediately of the crown, or of the mesnelords. For the remainder of the people, whom

Vol. II. L5 they

<sup>[</sup>t] The law of EDWARD the Confessor is express to this purpose, and it was ratified by the conqueror—"Debet rex omnia ritè facere in regno et per judicium procerum regni." See H. Spelman of Parliaments, p. 58.

they called tenants in focage, were of fmall account; being confidered only in the light of fervants, and contributing no otherwise to the national support than by their cultivation of the foil, which left their masters at leisure to attend with less distraction on their military services. At least, it was perfectly in the genius of the feudal, that is, military constitutions, to have little regard for any but the men of arms; and, as every other occupation would of course be accounted base and ignoble, it is not to be wondered that such a difference was made between the condition of pradial and military tenures.

However, a policy, that excluded fuch numbers from the rank and privileges of citizens, was fo far a defective one. And this defect would become more fensible every day, in proportion to the growth of arts, the augmentation of commerce, and the fecurity the nation found itself in from foreign dangers. The

4

The antient military establishment would now be thought unjust, when the exclusive privileges of the swordsmen were no longer supported by the necessities of the public, and when the wealth of the nation made so great a part of the force of it. Hence arose an important change in the legislature of the kingdom, which was much enlarged beyond its former limits. But this was done gradually; and was more properly an extension, than violation of the antient system.

FIRST, The number of tenants in chief, or the king's free-holders, was much encreased by various causes, but chiefly by the alienation which the greater barons made of their secs. Such alienation, though under some restraint, seems to have been generally permitted in the Norman seuds; I mean, till MAGNA CHARTA and some subsequent statutes laid it under particular limitations. But whether the practice were regular, or

not, it certainly prevailed from the earliest times; especially on some mere extraordinary occasions. Thus, when the fashionable madness of the Croisades had involved the greater barons in immense debts, in order to discharge the expences of these expeditions, they alienated their fees, and even dismembered them; that is, they parted with their right in them, and made them over in fmall parcels to others, to hold of the fuperior lord. And what these barons did from necessity, the crown itself did, out of policy: for the Norman princes, growing sensible of the inconvenience of making their vaffals too great, disposed of fuch estates of their barons as fell into them by forfeiture, and were not a few, in the fame manner. The confequence of all this was, that, in process of time, the leffer military tenants in capite multiplied exceedingly. And, as many of them were poor and unequal to a perfonal attendance in the court of their lord.

lord, or in the common council of the kingdom (where of right and duty they were to pay their attendance) they were willing, and it was found convenient to give them leave, to appear in the way of representation. And this was the origin of what we now call THE KNIGHTS OF THE SHIRES; who, in those times, were appointed to represent, not all the free-holders of counties, but the lesser tenants of the crown only. For these, not attending in person, would otherwise have had no place in the king's council.

THE rife of CITIZENS AND BURGESSES, that is, representatives of the cities and trading towns, must be accounted for somewhat differently. These had originally been in the jurisdiction, and made part of the demesses of the king and his great lords. The reason of which appears from what I observed of the genius of the feudal policy. For little account being had of any but mar-

tial men, and trade being not only difhonourable, but almost unknown in those ages, the lower people, who lived together in towns, most of them finall and inconsiderable, were left in a state of subjection to the crown, or some other of the barons, and exposed to their arbitrary impositions and talliages.

But this condition of burghers, as it fprung from the military genius of the nation, could only be supported by it. When that declined therefore, and instead of a people of foldiers, the commercial spirit prevailed and filled our towns with rich traders and merchants, it was no longer reasonable, nor was it the interest of the crown, that these communities and bodies of men should be so little regarded. On the contrary, a large share of the public burthens being laid upon them, and the frequent necessities of the crown, especially in foreign wars, or in the king's contentions with his barons.

barons, requiring him to have recourse to their purses, it was naturally brought about that those, as well as the tenants in capite, should, in time, be admitted to have a share in the public counsels.

I po not stay to trace the steps of this change. It is enough to say, that it arose insensibly and naturally out of the growing wealth and consequence of the trading towns; the convenience the king sound in drawing considerable sums from them, with greater ease to himself, and less offence to the people; and, perhaps, from the view of lessening, by their means, the exorbitant power and influence of the barons.

FROM these or such like reasons, the great towns and cities, that before were royal demesses, part of the king's private patrimony, and talliable by him at pleasure, were allowed to appear in his council by their deputies, to treat with

him of the proportion of taxes to be raifed on them, and in a word, to be confidered in the fame light as the other members of that great affembly.

I DO not enquire when this great alteration was first made. I find it subfisting at least under EDWARD III. And from that time there is no dispute but that the legislature, which was originally composed of the sovereign and his feudal tenants, included also the representatives of the counties, and of the royal towns and cities. To speak in our modern style, the House of Commons was, now, formed. And by this addition, the glorious edifice of English liberty was completed.

I AM fensible, I must have wearied you with this deduction, which can be no fecret to either of you. But it was of importance to shew, that the constitution of England, as laid in the feudal tenures,

# AND POLITICAL. , 161

was effentially free, and that the very changes it hath undergone, were the natural and almost unavoidable effects of those tenures. So that what the adversaries of liberty object to us, as usurpations on the regal prerogative, are now seen to be either the proper result of the feudal establishment, or the most just and necessary amendments of it.

#### BP. BURNET.

I HAVE waited with much pleasure for this conclusion, which entirely discredits the notion of an absolute, despotic government. I will not take upon me to answer for Mr. Somers, whose great knowledge in the laws and history of the kingdom enables him to see further into the subject than I do; but to me nothing appears more natural or probable than this account of the rise and progress of the English monarchy. One difficulty, in particular, which seemed to embarras this enquiry, you have entirely removed,

Vol. II. M by

by shewing how, from the aristocratical form which prevailed in the earlier times, the more free and popular one of our days hath gradually taken place, and that without any violence to the antient constitution [u].

#### MR. SOMERS.

At least, my lord, with so little, that we may, perhaps, apply to the English government what the naturalists observe of the HUMAN BODY [w]; that, when it arrives at its full growth, it does not perhaps retain a single particle of the matter it originally set out with, yet the

[u] M. De Montesquieu observes of the Gothic government—" Il fut d'abord melé de l'aristocra-" tie, et de la monarchie. Il avoit cet inconvenient, " que le bas-peuple y étoit esclave: C' etoit un bon " gouvernment, qui avoit en soi la capacité de devenir " meilleur." [1. xi. c. 8.]— the very idea, which is here inculcated.

[w] See old FORTESCUE in his book De laudibus legum Angliæ, where this fort of analogy is pursued at length through a great part of the XIII<sup>th</sup> chapter.

alteration hath been made fo gradually and imperceptibly, that the fystem is accounted the fame under all changes. Just so, I think, we seem to have shaken off the constituent parts of the FEUDAL constitution; but, liberty having been always the informing principle, time and experience have rather completed the old fystem, than created a new one: and we may account the prefent and Norman establishment all one, by the same rule as we say that HERCULES, when he became the deliverer of oppressed nations, was still the same with him who had strangled serpents in his cradle.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

I know not what fanciful fimiles your younger wit may delight in. I content myfelf with observing that the two great points, which they, who deny the liberty of the subject, love to inculcate, and on which the plausibility of all their reasonings depends, are, THE SLAVISH NATURE

#### MR. SOMERS.

constitutions.

That is, Sir John, you make liberty to have been the essence of all three; though,

though, to the perfection of an equal commonwealth, you suppose it should have been further spread out and dilated: as they say of frankincense (if you can forgive another allusion), which, when lying in the lump, is of no great use or pleasure, but when properly distused, is the sweetest of all odours. But you was going on with the application of your principles.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

I was going to fay that, as many have been missed by wrong notions of the feudal tenures, others had erred as widely in their reasonings on the late origin of the lower house of parliament. How have we heard some men triumph in dating it no higher than the reign of Edward III? Let the fast be admitted. What follows? That this house is an usurpation on the prerogative? Nothing less. It was gradually brought forth by time, and grew up under the favour and M a good

good liking of our princes [x]. The constitution itself supposed the men of greatest consequence in the commonwealth to have a feat in the national councils. Trade and agriculture had advanced vast numbers into consequence, that before were of small account in the kingdom. The public confideration was encreased by their wealth, and the public necessities relieved by it. Were these to remain for ever excluded from the king's councils? Or was not that council, which had liberty for its object, to widen and expand itself in order to receive them? It did, in fact, receive them with open arms, and, in fo doing, conducted itfelf on the very principles of the old feudal policy.

<sup>[</sup>x] Agreeably to what Sir H. Spelman afferts, in his gloffary, of its parent, the feudal law itself; "De lege feudali—pronunciandum censeo, temporis eam esse filiam, sensimque succrescentem, edictis principum auctam indies et excultam." In voce feodum.

he

In short, the feudal constitution, different from all others that human policy is acquainted with, was of fuch a make, that it readily gave way, and fitted itself to the varying fituations of fociety; narrow and contracted, when the public interest required a close connexion between the governor and the governed; large and capacious, when the fame interest required that connexion to be loofened. Just as the skin (if you will needs have a comparison) the natural cincture of the body, confines the young limbs with fufficient tightness, and yet widens in proportion to their growth, fo as to let the different parts of the body play with ease, and obtain their full fize and dimenfions. Whereas the other policies, that have obtained in the world, may be compared to those artificial coverings, which being calculated only for one age and fize, grow troublesome and insupportable in any other; and yet cannot, like these,

MA

be thrown off and supplied by such as are more suitable and convenient, but are worn for life, though with constant, or rather increasing uneasiness.

This then being the peculiar prerogative of the feudal policy, I think we may fay with great truth, not that the house of commons violated the constitution, but on the contrary, that the constitution itself demanded, or rather generated, the house of commons.

So that I cannot by any means commend the zeal which some have shewn in seeking the origin of this house in the British or even Saxon annals. Their aim was to serve the cause of liberty, but, it must be owned at the expence of truth, and, as we now perceive, without the least necessity.

#### BP. BURNET.

IT hath happened then in this, as in fo many other instances, that an excellent cause

cause hath suffered by the ill judgment of its defenders. But when truth itself had been disgraced by one fort of men in being employed by them to the worst purposes, is it to be wondered that others should not acknowledge her in such hands, but be willing to look out for her in better company?

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

LET us fay, my lord, they should have acknowledged her in whatever company she was found; and the rather, as illapplied truths are seen to be full as ferviceable to a bad cause, as downright falshoods. Besides, this conduct had not only been fairer, but more politic. For when so manifest a truth was rejected, it was but natural to suspect foul play in the rest, and that none but a bad cause could want to be supported by so disingenuous a management.

#### MR. SOMERS.

I THINK fo, Sir John; and there is this further use of such candor, that it cuts off at once the necessity of long and laboured researches into the dark parts of our history; and so not only shortens the debate, but renders it much more intelligible to the people.

#### SIR J. MAYNARD.

I was aware of that advantage, and am therefore not displeased that truth allowed me to make use of it.—But to resume the main argument; for I have not yet done with my evidence for the freedom of our excellent constitution. It seemed of moment to shew, from the nature and consequences of the Norman settlement, that the English government was essentially free. But because the freest form of government may be tamely given up and surrendered into the hands of a master, I hold it of conse-

quence

quence to prove that the English spirit hath always been answerable to the constitution, and that even the most insidious attempts on their liberties have never failed to awaken the resentment of our generous forefathers. In a word, I would shew that the jealousy, with which the English have ever guarded the national freedom, is at once a convincing testimony of their right, and of their constant possession of it.

And though I might illustrate this argument by many other instances, I chuse to insist only on one, their perpetual offosition to the civil and canon laws; which, at various times and for their several ends, the crown and church have been solicitous to obtrude on the people.

To open the way to this illustration, let it be observed that, from the time of Honorius, that is when the Roman authority

thority ceased amongst us, the Saxon institutions, incorporated with the old British customs, were the only standing laws of the kingdom. These had been collected and formed into a fort of digest by Edward the Confessor; and so great was the nation's attachment to them, that WILLIAM himself was obliged to ratify them at the same time, that the feudal law itself was enacted. And, afterwards, on any attempt to innovate on those laws, we hear of a general outcry and diffatisfaction among the people: which jealoufy of their's, was not without good grounds; as we may see from an affair that happened in the conqueror's own reign, and ferves to illustrate the policy of this monarch.

It had been an old custom, continued through the Saxon times, for the bishops and sheriffs to sit together in judicature in the county courts. This had been found a very convenient practice; for the

the presence of the churchmen gave a fanction to the determinations of the temporal courts, and drew an extraordinary reverence towards them from the people. Yet we find it abolished by the conqueror; who, in a rescript to the bishop of Lincoln, ordained that, for the future, the bishops and aldermen of the shires should have separate courts and feparate jurisdictions. The pretence for this alteration was the distinct nature of the two judicatures, and the defire of maintaining a strict conformity to the canons of the church. The real defign was much deeper. There is no question but WILLIAM's inclinations, at least, were for arbitrary government; in which project his Norman lawyers, it was hoped, might be of good use to him. But there was a great obstacle in his way. The churchmen of those times had incomparably the best knowledge of the Saxon laws. It matters not, whether those churchmen were Normans, or not. They were equally

equally devoted, as I observed before, to the Saxon laws, with the English; as favouring that independency, they affected, on the civil power. Besides, in the confessor's time, many and perhaps the greatest of the churchmen had been Normans; so that the study of the Saxon laws, from the interest they promised themselves in them, was grown familiar to the rifing ecclefiaftics of that country. Hence, as I faid, the churchmen, though Normans, were well instructed in the fpirit and genius of the Saxon laws; and it was not eafy for the king's gloffers to interpret them to their own mind, whilst the bishops were at hand to refute and rectify their comments.

Besides, the truth is (and my lord of Salisbury will not be displeased with me for telling it,) the ecclesiastics of that time were much indevoted to the court. They considered the king as the wickedest of all tyrants. He had brought them

into subjection by their baronies, and had even set the pope himself at defiance. In this state of things there was no hope of engaging the clergy in his plot. But when a separation of the two tribunals was made, and the civil courts were solely administered by his own creatures, the laws, it was thought, would speak what language he pleased to require of them.

Such appears to have been the defign of this prince in his famous diffinction of the ecclefiaftic and temporal courts. It was fo artfully laid and fo well coloured, that the laity feem to have taken no umbrage at it. But the clergy faw his drift; and their zeal for the antient laws, as well as their refentments, put them upon contriving methods to counteract it. They hit upon a very natural and effectual one. In a word, they all turned common lawyers; and fo found means of introducing themselves into the civil

courts. This expedient fucceeded for well, and was so generally relished, that the clergy to a man almost in the next reign were become professors of the comamon law; Nullus Clericus nisi Causidicus, as William of Malmesbury takes care to inform us [y].

#### BP. BURNET.

WHATEVER their motive might be, the churchmen, I perceive, interposed very seasonably in the suport of our civil liberties. It was a generous kind of revenge, methinks, to repay the king's tyranny over the church by vindicating the authority of the English laws.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

It was so; and for this good service I let them pass without any harsher reflexion. Though the true secret is, perhaps, no more than this. Their main

[y] Diss. AD FLET. 1091. and WILLIAM OF MALMESBURY, lib. iv. f. 69. Lond. 1596.

object was the church, of whose interests, as is sitting, we will allow them to be the most competent judges. And, as these inclined them, they have been, at different junctures, the defenders, or oppressors of civil liberty.

#### BP. BURNET.

Ar fome junctures, it may be, they have. But if you infift on so general a censure, I must intreat Mr. Somers, once more, to take upon him the desence of our order.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

ALL I intended by this inflance, was, to fhew the spirit of the Saxon laws, which could excite the jaelousy of the prince, and deserve, at such a season, the patronage of the clergy. It seems, however, for once, as if they had a little misconceived of their true interests. For the distinction of the two judicatures, which occasioned their resentment, was,

Vol. II. N in

in the end, a great means of the hierarchical greatness and independency.

Black Discho. Bob. 6.5. 56.

Preffel.

MATTERS continued on this footing during the three first of the Norman reigns. The prince did his utmost to elude the authority of the English laws, and the nation, on the other hand, laboured hard to confirm it. But a new scene was opened under King Stephen, by means of the Justinian laws; which had lately been recovered in Italy, and became at once the fashionable study over all Europe. It is certain, that the Pandects were first brought amongst us in that reign; and that the reading of them was much favoured by Archbishop THEOBALD [z], under whose encouragement they were publicly read in England by VACARIUS, within a short time after the famous Innerius had opened his school at Bologna. There is something fingular in the readiness with

[z] Selven's Works, vol. ii. p. 1082.

which

which this new fystem of law was embraced in these western parts of Europe. But my friend Mr. SELDEN used to give a plaufible account of it. It was, he faid  $\lceil a \rceil$ , in opposition to Innocent II, who was for obtruding on the Christian states the decretals, as laws; manifestly calculated for the destruction of the civil magistrate's power. And what feems to authorize the opinion of my learned friend, is, that the popes very early took the alarm, and, by their decrees, forbad churchmen to teach the civil law: as appears from the constitution of ALEXANDER III, fo early as the year 1163, in the council of Tours; and afterwards from the famous decretal of Super-specula by Honorius III, in 1219, in which the clergy of all denominations, feculars as well as regulars, were prohibited the study of it. And it was, doubtless, to defeat the mischief which the popes apprehended to them-

> [a] DISS. AD FLET. 1078. N 2

felves, from the credit of the imperial laws, that GRATIAN was encouraged, about the fame time, to compose and publish his Decree; which, it is even said [b], had the express approbation of pope Eugenius.

LET us fee, now, what reception this newly recovered law, fo feverely dealt with by the pope, and fo well entertained by the greatest part of *Europe*, had in *England*.

VACARIUS had continued to teach it for fome time, in the archbishop's palace at Lambeth, to great numbers, whom first, the novelty of the study, and then, the fashion of the age, had drawn about him. The fame of the teacher was high, and the new science had made a great progress, when on a sudden it received a severe check, and from a quarter

<sup>[</sup>b] Dr. Duck, De usu et authoritate juris civilis, p. 103. Lugd. Batav. 1654.

whence one should not naturally expect it. In fhort, the king himself interdicted the study of it. Some have imagined, that this inhibition was owing to the fpite he bore to archbishop THEOBALD. But the truer reason seems to be, that the canon law was first read by VACARIUS at the fame time, and under colour of the imperial. I think we may collect thus much very clearly from John of SALISBURY, who acquaints us with this edict. For he considers it as an offence against the church, and expresly calls the prohibition, an IMPIETY [c].

IT is true, the decretals of GRATIAN were not yet published. But Ivo had made a collection of them in the reign of HENRY I; and we may be fure that fome Code of this fort would privately go about amongst the clergy, from what was before observed of the pains taken

by

<sup>[</sup>c] Policratic. lib. viii. c. 22. p. 672. Lugd. Bat. 1639.

by Innocent II, to propagate the decretals. We may further observe, that Theobald had been in high favour with Innocent, and that his school, at Lambeth, was opened immediately on his return from Rome, whither he had been to receive his pall from this pope, on his appointment to the see of Canterbury [d]. All which makes it probable, that Stephen's displeasure was not so much at the civil, as canon law, which he might well conclude had no friendly aspect on his sovereignty.

And we have the greater reason to believe that this was the fact, from obferving what afterwards happened in the reign of Henry III, when a prohibition of the same nature was again issued out against the teachers of the Roman laws in London [e]. The true cause of the royal mandate is well known. Gregory IX

[d] Diss. AD Flet. 1082. [e] Ibid. 1097.

had just then published a new code of the decretals; which, like all former collections of this fort, was calculated to ferve the papal interest, and depress the rights of princes.

However these edicts, if we suppose them levelled against the civil law, had no effect, any more than those of the popes ALEXANDER and Honorius, before mentioned. For the imperial law being generally well received by the princes of Europe, presently became a kind of Jus gentium. And the clergy, who afpired to power and dignities, either abroad or at home, studied it with an inconceivable rage; infomuch, that ROGER BACON tells us, that, in his time for forty years together, the feculars, who were the ecclefiaftics employed in business, never published a single treatise in divinity [f].

[f] Dr. Duck, p. 364.

The truth is, whatever shew the popes or our own princes might make, at times, of discountenancing the civil law, it was not the design of either absolutely and universally to suppress it. It was properly, not the civil, but the canon law, which was discountenanced by our kings. And the case of the popes was, that, when they found the imperial law opposed to the common, they were ready to favour it; when it was opposed to the canon, and brought that into neglect, they forbad ecclesiastics the study of it.

#### MR. SOMERS.

In the mean time the poor people, methinks, were in a fine condition between two laws, the one founded on civil, and the other on ecclefiastical tyranny. If either had prevailed, there had been an end of their liberties.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

CERTAINLY their fituation was very critical. Yet in the end it was precifely this fituation that faved them. For betwixt these contentions of the crown and mitre, each endeavouring to extend its dominion over the other, the people, who were of course to be gained by either side in its distress, found means to preserve themselves from both.

To fee how this happened we must remember, what appears indeed from the two edicts of Stephen and Henry, that the king himself was a bulwark betwixt them and the papal power. And when the king in his turn wanted to exalt his prerogative over all, the church very naturally took the alarm, as we faw in the case of William's separation of the two tribunals. And thus it happened, as Nat. Bacon observes [g], "That

[g] Disc. Part I. p. 78. Lond. 1739.

many times the pope and the clergy became protectors of the people's liberties, and kept them fafe from the rage of kings." The greatest danger was, when the two powers chanced to unite in one common design against them; as they did in their general inclination for the establishment of the civil law. But here the people had the courage always to defend themselves; and with that wisdom too, as demonstrates their attention to the cause of civil liberty, and the vigilance with which they guarded even its remotest outworks.

Or their steady and watchful conduct, in this respect, I shall mention some of the many memorable examples, that occur in our history.

I HAVE faid that from the time of STEPHEN, notwithstanding his famous edict, the imperial laws were the chief and favourite study of the clergy. They had

had good reason for applying themselves fo closely to this science, and still further views than their own immediate advancement. They wanted to bring those laws into the civil courts, and to make them the standing rule of public administration; not merely from their good will to the papal authority, which would naturally gain an advantage by this change, but for the fake of controlling the too princely barons, and in hopes, no doubt, that the imperial would in due time draw the canon laws into vogue along with them. Such, I think, were at least the fecret defigns of the ruling clergy; and they did not wait long before they endeavoured to put their project in execution. The plot was admirably laid, and with that deep policy as hath kept it, I believe, from being generally understood to this day.

The great men of that time were, we may be fure, too like the great men of every

every other, to be very scrupulous about the commission of those vices to which they were most inclined. The truth is, their profligacy was in proportion to their greatness and their ignorance. They indulged themselves in the most licentious amours, and even prided themfelves in this licence. The good churchmen, no doubt, lamented this corruption of manners; but as they could not reform, they refolved at least to draw some emolument to themselves from it. The caftles of the barons, they faw, were full of baftards. Nay, the courtefy of that time had fo far dignified their vices, that the very name was had in honour. Ego Gulielmus Bastardus, is even the preamble to one of WILLIAM the first's charters.

YET, as respectable as it was become, there was one unlucky check on this favourite indulgence: and this, with the barons leave, the considerate bishops would

would prefently take off. Subsequent marriage by the imperial, as well as canon, laws, legitimated bastards, as to fuccession; whereas the common law kept them eternally in their state of bastardy. It is not to be doubted, but the barons would be fensible enough of this restraint. They earnestly wished to get rid of it. And could any thing bid fo fair to recommend the imperial law to their good liking, as the tender of it for so defirable a purpose? At a parliament, therefore, under HENRY III [b], Rogaverunt omnes episcopi, ut consentirent quod nati ante matrimonium essent legitimi. What think ye now of this general fupplication of the hierarchy? What could the barons do but comply with it, especially as it was fo kindly intended for their relief, and the propofal was even made with a delicacy that might enable them to come into it with a good grace, and without the shame of seeming to

<sup>[</sup>b] At MERTON, in the year 1236.

desire it? All this is very true. Yet the answer of the virtuous barons is as follows. Omnes comites et barones una voce responderunt, QUOD NOLUMUS LEGES ANGLIÆ MUTARI.

WE fee then what fluck with them. These barons, as licentious as they were, preferred their liberty to their pleafure. The bishops, they knew, as partifans of the pope, were for subjecting the nation to the imperial and papal laws. They offered, indeed, to begin with a circumstance very much to their taste. But if they accepted the benefit of them in one instance, with what decency could they object to them in others? They determined therefore to be confiftent. They rejected a proposition, most agreeable in itself, lest their acceptance of it should make way for the introduction of foreign laws; whose very genius and essence, they well knew, was arbitrary, despotic power. Their answer speaks their sense

of this matter. Nolumus leges Ang-LIE MUTARI. They had nothing to object to the proposal itself. But they were afraid for the constitution.

### MR. SOMERS.

I DOUBT, Sir John, my lord of Salisbury will bring a fresh complaint against you for this liberty with the bishops. But I, who shall not be thought wanting in a due honour for that bench, must needs confess myself much pleased as well with the novelty, as justice of this comment. I have frequently considered this famous reply of the old barons. But I did not see to the bottom of the contrivance. Their aversion to the imperial laws, as you say, must have been very great to have put them on their guard against so inviting a proposal.

#### BP. BURNET.

One thing, however, is forgotten or dissembled in this account, that the law of

JUSTINIAN, which allows the privilege of legitimation to subsequent marriage, is grounded on some reasons that might, perhaps, recommend it to the judgment, as well as interest of the old prelates. Besides, they doubtless found themselves much distressed by the contrariety of the two laws in this instance. For the ground of their motion, as I remember, was, Quod esset secundum communem formam ecclesia. But to deal ingenuously with you, Sir John, you have dreffed up your hypothesis very plausibly. And I, who am no advocate for the civil or ecclefiastical laws, in this or any instance where they clash with those of my country, can allow your raillery on HENRY's good bishops, if it were only that I see it makes fo much for your general argument.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

Your lordship may the rather excuse this liberty with the *church*, as I propose,

pose, in due time, to deal as freely with Westminster-Hall; a similar plot, which I shall have occasion to mention presently, having been formed against the antient constitution by the men of our profession.

#### MR. SOMERS.

In the mean time, Sir John, you must give me leave, in quality of advocate for the church, to observe one thing that does the churchmen honour. It is, that, in these attempts on the constitution, the judges and great officers of the realm, who, in those times were of the clergy, constantly took the side of the English laws; as my Lord Coke himself, I remember, takes notice in his commentary on this statute of Merton.

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

I BELIEVE the observation is very just. But I should incline to impute this integrity, not to the influence of church Vol. II, O principles,

principles, but those of the common law, and so turn your compliment to the honour of our profession instead of theirs, if it were not too clear in fact that every profession, in its turn, hath been liable to this charge of corruption.

But I was going on with my proofs of the national aversion to the imperial law.

The next shall be taken from that famous dispute concerning the succession to the crown of Scotland in the reign of Edward I. For a question arising about the kind of law, by which the controversy should be decided, and it being especially debated, whether the Casarean law, as a fort of jus gentium, ought not, in such a cause, to have the preference to the law of England; it was then unanimously determined by the great council of Norham, that the authority of the Casarean law should by no means

be

be admitted; Ne inde majestatis Anglicanæ juri fieret detrimentum [i].

This determination was public, and given on a very folemn occasion. And in general we may observe, that at the junctures when the state hath been most jealous of its liberty and honour, it hath declared the loudest against the imperial laws: As in the WONDER-WORKING parliament under RICHARD II, when the duke of Gloucester accused the archbishop of York, the duke of Ireland, and other creatures of the king, of high treason. The charge was so fully proved, that the court had no other way of diverting the storm than by pretending an irregularity in the forms of procedure. To this end the lawyers were confulted with, or more properly directed. I will difguise nothing. They descended so much from the dignity of

> [i] Diss. AD FLET. 1108. O 2

their

their profession, as to act in perfect subferviency to the views of the court; and therefore gave it as their opinion, that the proceedings against the lords were of no validity, as being contrary to the forms prescribed by the *civil law*. The barons took themselves to be insulted by these shifts of the lawyers. They insisted that the proceedings were agreeable to their own customs, and declared roundly that they would never suffer England to be governed by the Romancivil law  $\lceil k \rceil$ .

What think ye now of these examples? Are they not a proof that the spirit of liberty ran high in those times, when neither the intrigues of churchmen, nor the chicane of lawyers could put a stop to it? It seems, as if no direct attempts on the constitution could have

<sup>[</sup>k] See FORTESCUE, De laudibus leg. Angl. p. 74.7 Lond. 1741; and SELDEN'S JANUS ANGLORUM, 1010, vol. ii. tom, ii.

been made with the least appearance of success; and that therefore the abettors of arbitrary power were obliged to work their way obliquely by contriving methods for the introduction of a foreign law.

In this project they had many advantages, which nothing but an unwearied zeal in the cause of liberty could have possibly counteracted. From the reign of STEPHEN to that of EDWARD III, that is, for the space of near 200 years, the Roman law had been in great credit [1]. All the learning of the times was in the clergy, and that learning was little more than the imperial and canon laws. The fact is fo certain, that fome of the clergy themselves, when in an ill temper, or off their guard, complain of it in the strongest terms. And to see the height to which this humour was carried, not the feculars only who intend-

[1] DISS. AD FLET. 1104.

ed to rife by them, but the very monks in their cells studied nothing but these laws [m]. To complete the danger, the magistracies and great offices of the kingdom were filled with churchmen [n].

Who would expect, now, with those advantages, but that the Roman law would have forced its way into our civil courts? It did indeed infinuate itself there as it were by stealth, but could never appear with any face of authority. The only fervice, that would be accepted from it, was that of illustration only in the course of their pleadings, whilst the lawyers quoted occasionally from the INSTITUTES, just as they might have done from any other antient author [0]. Yet, so long as the churchmen presided in the courts of justice, this intruder was to be respected; and it is pleasant to obferve the wire-drawing of some of our

Plouden.

[m] Dr. Duck, p. 365. [n] Diss. AD-FLET. 1010. [0] Diss. AD FLET. 1106. ablest

ablest lawyers in their endeavours to make the policy of England speak the language of Rome.

Mr. Selden's differtation on Fle- Islden TA [p], which lies open before me, affords a curious instance. The civil law fays, "Populus ei [Cæfari] et in eum omne suum imperium et potestatem conferat;" meaning, by people, the Roman people, and fo establishing the despotic rule of the prince, But BRACTON took Broaton advantage of the ambiguity to establish that maxim of a free government, " That all dominion arises from the people." This, you will fay, was good management. But what follows is still better. "Nihil aliud, fays he, potest rex in terris, cum sit Dei minister et vicarius, nisi quod jure potest. Nec obstat quod dicitur, QUOD PRINCIPI PLACET LEGIS HABET VIGOREM; quia sequitur in fine legis, cum lege regia quæ de

[p] P. 1046.

IMPERIO EJUS LATA EST; id est, non quicquid de voluntate regis temerè præfumptum est, sed quod consilio magistratuum suorum, rege auctoritatem præstante, et habita super hoc deliberatione et tractatu, rectè fuerit definitum." Thus far old BRACTON; who is religiously followed in the same gloss by Thorn-TON, and the author of FLETA. But what! you will fay, this is an exact defcription of the present constitution. It is fo, and therefore certainly not to be found in the civil law. To confess the truth, these venerable fages are playing tricks with us. The whole is a premeditated falsification, or, to say it softer, a licentious commentary for the fake of English liberty. The words in the PAN-DECTS and Institutions are these; " QUOD PRINCIPI PLACUIT, LEGIS HA-BET VIGOREM, UTPOTE CUM LEGE REGIA, QUÆ DE IMPERIO EJUS LATA EST, POPU-LUS EI ET IN EUM OMNE SUUM IMPE-RIUM ET POTESTATEM CONFERAT.

My

My honest friend, in mentioning this extraordinary circumstance, says, one cannot consider it, fine stupore. He observes, that these lawyers did not quote the Pandects by hear-fay, but had copies of them; and therefore adds (for I will read on) "Unde magis mirandum quânam ratione evenerit, ut non folum ipfe, adeò judiciis forenfibus clarus, et (si Biographis fcriptorum nostratium fides) professor juris utriusque Oxoniensis, verum etiam Thorntonius juris aliàs peritissimus, et FLETÆ author, adeò diversam lectionem, sensumque diversum atque interpretibus aliis universis adeò alienum in illustrissimo juris Cæfarei loco explicando tam fidenter admiserint." The difficulty, you fee, increases upon him. But we shall easily remove it by observing, that the Cæfarean laws, though they had no proper authority with us, yet were much complimented in those times, and were to be treated on all occasions with ceremony.

And therefore those lawyers that lived under and wanted to support a free constitution, saw there was no way of serving their cause so effectually, as by pretending to find it in the Roman institutes.

#### MR. SOMERS.

This management of Bracton and his followers makes fome amends for the ill conduct of Richard the fecond's law-yers. And as to their chicanery, the ingenuity of the glofs, we will suppose, was no more than necessary to correct the malignity of the text.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

THEY had, no doubt, confulted their honour much more by infifting roundly, as they might have done, that the text had no concern at all in the difpute. But I mention these things only to shew the extreme reverence, that was then paid to the civil law, by the shifts the common lawyers were put to in order to evade

evade its influence. From which we learn how rooted the love of liberty must have been in this nation, and how unshaken the firmness of the national councils in supporting it, when, notwithstanding the general repute it was of in those days, the imperial law could never gain authority enough to prescribe to us in any matters that concerned the rights of the crown, or the property of the subject. And this circumstance will be thought the more extraordinary, if it be confidered, that, to the general esteem in which the Roman law was held by the clergy, our kings have usually added the whole weight of their influence; except indeed at fome particular junctures, when their jealoufy of the canon law prevailed over their natural bias to the civil.

#### MR. SOMERS.

I SHOULD be unwilling to weaken any argument you take to be of use in maintaining the noble cause you have undertaken.

taken. But, methinks, this charge on our princes would require to be made out by other evidence [q] than hath been commonly produced for it. There is no doubt but many of them have aimed at fetting themselves above the laws of their country, but is it true (I mean, though FORTESCUE himself [r] has suggested the same thing) that for this purpose they have usually expressed a partiality to the Roman laws?

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

I BELIEVE it certain that they have, and on better reasons than the bare word of any lawyer whatsoever.

WHAT think you of RICHARD the fecond's policy in the instance before mentioned? That RICHARD, who used to declare, "That the laws were only in his mouth and breast, and that he himself

[r] De laud. leg. Ang. c. 33, 34.

a. 29.

<sup>[9]</sup> Mr. Selden's Diss. Ad Flet. 1109.

could make and unmake them at his pleasure." We may know for what reafon a prince of this despotic turn had recourse to the *Roman* law.

BUT even his great predecessor is known to have been very indulgent towards it. And still earlier EDWARD L took much pains to establish the credit of this law; and to that end engaged the younger Accursius, the most renowned doctor of the age, to come over into England, and set up a school of it at Oxford. Or, to wave these instances, let me refer you to a certain and very remarkable sact, which speaks the sense, not of this or that king, but of the whole succession of our princes.

THE imperial law, to this day, obtains altogether in the courts of admiralty, in courts marefcall, and in the universities [s]. On the contrary, in what we

<sup>[</sup>s] Diss. AD FLET. 1102.

call the courts of law and equity, it never hath, nor ever could prevail. What shall we fay to this remarkable difference, or to what cause will you ascribe it, that this law, which was confrantly excluded with fuch care from the one fort of courts, should have free currency and be of fole authority in the other? I believe it will be difficult to assign any other than this: that the subjects of decision in the first species of courts are matters in the refort of the king's prerogative, fuch as peace and war, and the distribution of honours; whilst the subjects of decision in the courts of common law are out of his prerogative, fuch as those of liberty and property. The king had his choice by what law the first fort of subjects should be regulated, and therefore he adopted the imperial law. He had not his choice in the latter instance; and the people were never satisfied with any other than the law of the land.

#### MR. SOMERS.

YET Mr. SELDEN, you know, gives another reason of this preference: it was, he thinks, because foreigners are often concerned with the natives in those tribunals where the civil law is in use.

# SIR-J. MAYNARD.

TRUE; but my learned friend, as I conceive, did not attend to this matter with his usual exactness. For foreigners are as frequently concerned in the courts of law and equity, as in the other tribunals. The case in point of reason is very clear. In all contests that are carried on between a native and a foreigner, as the subject of another state, the decision ought to be by the law of nations. But when a foreigner puts himself with a native under the protection of our state, the determination is, of course, by our law. The practice hath uniformly corresponded to the right in the courts of

law and equity. In the other tribunals the right hath given way to the will of the prince, who hath his reasons for preferring the authority of the imperial law.

UPON the whole, if we consider the veneration, which the clergy usually entertained, and endeavoured to inculcate into the people, for the civil law; the indulgence shewn it by the prince; its prevalence in those courts which were immediately under the prerogative; and even the countenance shewn it at times in the course of pleadings at common law; we cannot avoid coming to this fhort conclusion, "That the genius of the imperial laws was repugnant to our constitution; and that nothing but the extreme jealoufy of the barons, lest they might prove, in pleas of the crown, injurious to civil liberty, hath kept them from being received in England on the same footing that we every where find they they are in the other countries of Europe, and as they are in Scotland to this day.

But if you think I draw this conclufion too hastily, and without grounding it on sufficient premises, you-may surther consider with me, if you please, the FATE AND FORTUNES OF THE CIVIL LAW IN THIS KINGDOM DOWN TO THE PRESENT TIME.

In the reigns of HENRY VII [t] and VIII, and the two first kings of the house

[t] The Speaker might have begun this account of the fate and fortunes of the civil law still higher. NAT. BACON, speaking of HENRY the sisth's reign, observes, "The times were now come about, wherein light began to spring forth, conscience to bestir itself, and men to study the scriptures. This was imputed to the idleness and carelessness of the clergy, who suffered the minds of young scholars to luxuriate into errors of divinity, for want of putting them on to other learning; and gave no encouragement to studies of human literature, by preferring those that were deserving. The convocation taking this into consideration, do decree, that no person should exercise any jurisdiction in any office, as

Vol. II, P of

of STUART, that is, the most despotic of our princes, the study of the civil law hath been more especially favoured; as we might conclude from the general spirit of those kings themselves, but as we certainly know from the countenance they shewed to its professors; from their chusing to employ them in their business, and from the salaries and places they

vicar-general, commissary, or official, or otherwise, unless he shall first in the university have taken degrees in the CIVIL OR CANON LAWS. A shrewd trick this was to stop the growth of the study of divinity, and Wickliff's way: and to embellish men's minds with a kind of learning that may gain them preferment, or at least an opinion of abilities beyond the common strain, and dangerous to be meddled with. Like fome gallants, that wear fwords as badges of honour, and to bid men beware, because they possibly may strike, though in their own perfons they may be very cowards. And no less mifchievously intended was this against the rugged COMMON LAW, a rule fo nigh allied to the gospelway, as it favoureth liberty; and fo far estranged from the way of the civil and canon law, as there is no hope of accommodation till Christ and Anti-Christ have fought the field." Disc. Part II. p. 90. Lond. 1739.

provided for their encouragement. Yes fee the issue of all this indulgence to a foreign law, and the treatment it met with from our parliaments and people! The oppressions of Empson and Dudley Empson had been founded in a stretch of power, usurped and justified on the principles of the civil law; by which these miscreants had been enabled to violate a fundamental part of our constitution, the way of trial by JURIES. The effect on the people was dreadful. Accordingly in the entrance of the next reign, though the authority, by which they had acted, had even been parliamentary, these creatures of tyranny were indicted of high treason, were condemned and executed for having been instrumental in fubverting LEGEM TERRÆ; and the extorted statute, under which they had hoped to shelter themselves, was with a just indignation repealed.

# \$12 DIALOGUES MORAL

YET all this was considered only as a necessary facrifice to the clamours of an incenfed people. The younger HENRY, we may be fure, had fo much of his father in him, or rather fo far outdid him in the worst parts of his tyranny, that he could not but look with an eye of favour on the very law, he had been constrained to abolish. His great ecclefiaftical minister was, no doubt, in the fecret of his master's inclinations, and conducted himself accordingly. Yet the vengeance of the nation purfued and overtook him in good time. They refented his difloyal contempt of the original constitution; and made it one of the articles against this Roman cardinal, "That he endeavoured to fubvert, Antiquissimas leges hujus regni, universumque hoc regnum LEGIBUS IMPERIALIBUS Jub-

FROM this time, the study of the civillaw was thought to languish in England, till it revived with much spirit in the reigns of those unhappy princes who fucceeded to the house of Tudor. Then indeed, by inclination and by pedantry, JAMES I, was led to patronize and encourage it. And the same project was refumed, and carried still further, by his unfortunate fon. I fpeak now from my own experience and observation. The civil lawyers were most welcome at court. They were brought into the Chancery and court of Requests. The minister, another fort of man than Wolsey, yet a thorough ecclesiastic, and bigotted, if not to the religion yet, to the policy of Rome, gave a countenance to this profession above that of the common law. He had found the spirit, and even the forms of it, most convenient for his purpose in the STAR-CHAMBER and HIGH-COMMISSION court, those tribunals of imperial

imperial justice, exalted so far above the controul of the common law; and by his good will, therefore, he would have brought the fame regimen into the other branches of the administration. Great Civilians were employed to write elaborate defences of their science; to the manifest exaltation of the prerogative; to the prejudice of the national rights and privileges; and to the difparagement of the common law. The confequence of these proceedings is well known. The most immediate was, that they provoked the jealoufy of the common lawvers; and, when the rupture afterwards happened, occasioned many of the most eminent of them to throw themselves into the popular scale [u].

YET to fee the uniformity of the views of tyranny, and the direct oppo-

fition

<sup>[</sup>u] It should however be observed, in honour of their patriotism, that "they afterwards took themselves out of it," when they saw the extremities to which the popular party were driving.

fition which it never fails to encounter from the English law, no sooner had a fet of violent men usurped the liberties of their country, and with the fword in their hands determined to rule despotically and in defiance of the constitution, than the fame jealoufy of the common law, and the fame contempt of it revived. Nay, to fuch an extreme was the newtyranny carried, that the very game of Empson and Dudley was played over again. The trial of an Englishman, by his peers, was difgraced and rejected; and (I fpeak from what I felt) the perfon imprisoned and persecuted, who dared appeal, though in his own cafe [w], to

the

<sup>[</sup>w] 'This alludes to the proceedings against the eleven members upon the charge of the army. Sir John Maynard was one of them. And when articles of high treason were preferred against him, and the trial was to come on before the lords, he excepted to the jurisdiction of the court, and, by a written paper presented to them, required to be tried by his peers according to Magna Charta, and the law of the land. See Whitlocke's Memorials;

the antient essential forms of the constitution. Under fuch a state of things, it is not to be wondered that much pains was taken to depreciate a law which thefe mighty men were determined not to regard. Invectives against the professors of the English laws were the usual and favoured topics of parliamentary eloquence. These were sometimes fo indecent and pushed to that provoking length, that WHITLOCKE himself, who paced it with them through all changes, was forced in the end to hazard his reputation with his masters, by standing on the necessary defence of himself and his profession  $\lceil x \rceil$ .

I NEED not, I suppose, descend lower. Ye have both seen with your own eyes

and a short pamphlet written on that occasion, called THE ROYAL QUARREL, dated 9th of Feb. 1647.—Sir John was, at this time, a close prisoner in the Tower.

[x] See his speech, inserted in his Memorials of English affairs, Nov. 1649.

the occurrencies of the late reign. Ye have heard the common language of the time. The practice was but conformable to fuch doctrines as were current at court, where it was generally maintained, that the king's power of dispensing with law, was LAW; by which if these doctors did not intend the imperial or civil law, the infult was almost too gross to deserve a confutation. It must be owned, and to the eternal shame of those who were capable of such baseness, there were not wanting some even of the common lawyers that joined in this insult.

I BUT touch these things slightly, for I consider to whom I speak. But if, to these examples of the nation's fondness for their laws, you add, what appears in the tenor of our histories, the constant language of the coronation-oaths, of the oaths of our judges, and, above all, of the several great charters; in all which express mention

is made of the LEX TERRÆ, in opposition to every foreign, but especially the Cæsarean law; you will conclude with me, "That, as certainly as the CÆSAREAN LAW is founded in the principles of slavery, our English Law, and the constitution to which it refers, hath its foundation in freedom, and, as such, deferved the care with which it hath been transmitted down to us from the earliest ages."

What think ye now, my good friends? Is it any longer a doubt that the conflitution of the English government, such I mean as it appears to have been from the most unquestioned annals of our country, is a free constitution? Is there any thing more in the way of this conclusion? Or does it not force itself upon us, and lie open to the mind of every plain man that but turns his attention upon this subject?

You

You began, Mr. Somers, with great fears and apprehensions; or you thought fit to counterfeit them, at least. You fufpected the matter was too mysterious for common understandings to penetrate, and too much involved in the darkness of antient times to be brought into open daylight. Let me hear your free thoughts on the evidence I have here produced to you. And yet it is a small part only of that which might be produced, of that I am fure which yourfelf could eafily have produced, and perhaps expected from me.

BUT I content myfelf with these obvious truths, " That the liberty of the fubject appears, and of itself naturally arose, from the very nature of the FEUDAL, which is properly (at least if we look no further back than the conquest) the English constitution; that the current of liberty has been gradually widening, as well

well as purifying, in proportion as it descended from its source; that charters and laws have removed every fcruple that might arise about the reciprocal rights and privileges of prince and people; that the fenfe of that liberty which the nation enjoyed under their admirable constitution was so quick, that every the least attempt to deprive them of it, gave an alarm; and their attachment to it fo ftrong and constant, that no artifice, no intrigue, no perversion of law and gospel, could induce them to part with it: that, in particular, they have guarded this precious deposite of legal and constitutional liberty with fuch care, that, while the heedless reception of a foreign law, concurring with other circumstances, hath rivetted the voke of flavery on the other nations of Europe, this of England could never be cajoled nor driven into any terms of accommodation with it; but, as NAT. BACON [y] faid truly, That the

[y] Disc. Part I. p. 78.

triple crown could never well folder with the English, so neither could the imperial; and that, in a word, the English Law hath always been preserved inviolate from the impure mixtures of the canon and Cæsarean laws, as the sole defence and bulwark of our civil liberties."

THESE are the plain truths, which I have here delivered to you, and on which I could be content to rest this great cause; I mean, if it had not already received its formal and, I would hope, final determination in another way. For no pretences will furely prevail hereafter with a happy people to renounce that liberty, which fo rightfully belonged to them at all times, and hath now fo folemnly been confirmed to them by the great transactions of these days. I willingly omit therefore as fuperfluous, what in a worfe caufe might have been thought of no fmall weight, the express testimony of our ablest lawyers to the

the freedom of our conflitution. I don't mean only the Cokes and Seldens of our time (though in point of authority what names can be greater than theirs); but those of older and therefore more reverend estimation, such as Glanvil, Bracton, the author of Fleta, Thornton, and Fortescue [z]: men the most esteemed and learned in their several ages; who constantly and uniformly speak of the English, as a mixed and limited

[2] The reader may not be displeased to see the words of old Fortescue on this subject of the origin of the English government, which are very remarkable. In his famous book De laudibus legum Anglia, he distinguishes between the REGAL and POLITICAL forms of government. In explaining the latter, which he gives us as the proper form of the English government, he expresseth himself in these words - " Habes instituti omnis POLITICI REGNI formam, ex quâ metiri poteris potestatem, quam rex ejus in leges ipfius aut fubditos valeat exercere: ad tutelam namque legis subditorum, ac corum corporum et bonorum rex hujusmodi erectus est, et hanc potestatem a POPULO AFFLUXAM ipse habet, quo ei non licet potestate alia suo populo dominari. CAP. xiii.

form of government, and even go fo far as to feek its origin, where indeed the origin of all governments must be fought, in the free will and consent of the people.

ALL this I might have displayed at large; and to others perhaps, especially if the cause had required such management, all this I should have displayed. But independently of the judgments of particular men, which prejudice might take occasion to object to, I hold it sufficient to have proved from surer grounds, from the very form and make of our political sabric, and the most unquestioned, because the most public monuments, of former times, "That the English Constitution is assuredly and indisputably free [a]."

<sup>[</sup>a] It may be of little moment to us, at this day, to inquire, how far the princes of the house of STUART were blameable for their endeavours to usurp on the constitution. But it must ever be of the highest moment to maintain, that we had a con-

#### BP. BURNET.

You will read, Sir John, in our attention to this discourse, the effect it has had upon us. The zeal, with which you have pleaded the cause of liberty, makes me almost imagine I see you again in the warmth and spirit of your younger years, when you first made head against the encroachments of civil tyranny. The fame cause has not only recalled to your memory the old topics of defence, but restores your former vigour in the management of them. So that for myself, I must freely own, your vindication of our common liberties is, at least, the most plausible and consistent that I have ever met with.

#### MR. SOMERS.

And yet, if one was critically disposed, there are still, perhaps, some things that

stitution to affert against them. Party writers perpetually confound these two things. It is the author's purpose, in these two Dialogues, to contend for the latter.

on

might deferve a further explanation. -But enough has been faid by you, Sir JOHN, to shew us where the truth lies: and, indeed, from fuch plain and convincing topics, that whatever fears my love of liberty might fuggest, they are much abated at least, if not entirely removed, by your arguments.

#### BP. BURNET.

Mr. Somers, I perceive, is not eafily cured of his scruples and apprehensions. But for my own part, Sir John, I can think but of one objection of weight that can be opposed to your conclusion. It is, "That, notwithstanding the clear evidence you have produced, both for the free nature of the English constitution, and the general fense of the English nation concerning it, yet, in fact, the government was very despotic under the Tudor, and still more perhaps under the first princes of the STUART line. How could this happen, may it be asked, VOL. II.

on your plan which supposes the popular interest to have been kept up in constant vigour, or rather to have been always gaining, infenfibly indeed but necesfarily, on the power of the crown? Will not the argument then from historical evidence be turned against you, whilst it may be faid that your theory, however plaufible, is contradicted by fo recent and fo well attested a part of our history? And, in particular, will not the partifans  $\lceil b \rceil$  of the late king and his family have to alledge in their behalf, that their notions of the prerogative were but fuch as they fucceeded to with the crown; and, whatever may be pretended from refearches into remoter times, that they endeavoured only to maintain the monarchy on the footing on which it had flood for many fuccessions, and on which it then flood when the administra-

<sup>[</sup>b] See the late History of England by DAVID HUME, Esq. who forms the apology of the house of STUART on these principles.

tion fell into their hands? If this point were effectually cleared, I fee nothing that could be further defired to a full and complete vindication of *English* liliberty.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

Your lordship, I must own, has touched a very curious and interesting part of our subject. But you must not believe it was fo much overlooked by me, as purpofely left for your lordship's better confideration. You, who have looked fo minutely and carefully into the story of those times, will, better than any other, be able to unfold to us the mysteries of that affair. The fact is certain, as you fay, that the English government wore a more despotic appearance from the time of the Tudor family's accession to the throne, than in the reigns preceding that period. But I am mistaken, if your lordship will not open the reason of it so clearly as to convince us, that that increase of prerogative was no proof of a

Q 2

change

change in the constitution, and was even no fymptom of declining liberty. I do not allow myfelf to speak my fentiments more plainly at present. But I am sure, if they are just, they will receive a confirmation from what your lordship will find occasion to observe to us in discoursing on this subject,

#### MR. SOMERS.

I WILL not difown that this was one of the matters I had in view, when I hinted fome remaining doubts about your general conclusion. But I knew it would not escape my lord of SALISBURY, who, of all others, is certainly the most capable of removing it.

#### BP. BURNET.

So that I have very unwarily, it feems, been providing a fine task for myself. And yet, as difficult as I foresee it will be for me to satisfy two such Inquirers, I should not decline that task, if I was indeed

deed prepared for it, or, if I could boast of fuch a memory as Sir J. MAYNARD has shewn in the course of this conversation. But the truth is, though I have not wanted opportunities of laying in materials for fuch a defign, and though I have not neglected to take fome flight notes of them, yet I cannot pretend to have them at once in that readiness, as to venture on fuch a difcourfe as I know you expect from from me. But, if against our next meeting, I shall be able to digest such thoughts as have sometimes occurred to me when I was engaged in the history of the reformation, I shall take a pleasure to contribute all I can to the further and more entire elucidation of this subject.

DE TOTAL CAN

The state of the s

# DIALOGUE VI.

On the Constitution of the English Government.

SIR JOHN MAYNARD, MR. SOMERS, BISHOP BURNET,

TO DR. TILLOTSON.

UR next meeting at Sir J. May-NARD's was on the evening of that day, when the war was proclaimed against France [c]. What the event of it will be, is a secret in the counsels of providence. But if the goodness of our cause, his majesty's known wisdom and ability, and above all the apparent zeal and sirmness of all orders amongst us in support of this great undertaking, may

[c] 7 May, 1689.

Q4

give

give a prospect of success, we cannot, I persuade myself, but indulge in the most reasonable hopes and expectations.

PERHAPS, the time is approaching, my dear friend, which the divine goodness hath decreed for putting a stop to that outrageous power, which hath been permitted for fo long a course of years to afflict the neighbouring nations. It may be, the feafon is now at hand when God will vouchfafe to plead the caufe of his fervants, and let this mighty perfecutor of the faithful know that he may not be fuffered any longer to trample on the facred rights of conscience. He may be taught to feel, that the ravages he hath committed in the fairest provinces, and the cruelties he hath exercifed on the best subjects, of his own kingdom, have at length awakened the divine displeasure against him. And he may live to find in our great prince (raifed up, as I verily believe, to this eminence eminence of place and power to be the fcourge of tyrants, and the vindicator of oppressed nations) an infurmountable bulwark against that encroaching dominion, which threatens to deform and lay waste the rest of Europe.

I HAVE already lived to fee those providences, which may encourage a ferious and good mind to believe that fome great work is preparing in our days. I was very early in my life a witness to the high meafures which were taken and carried on by an intolerant hierarchy, acting in fubferviency to an arbitrary court, in mine own country of Scotland. And I have lamented the oppression in which good men were held for conscience fake in all the three kingdoms. How far this tyranny was carried, and how near we were brought to the destruction of all our civil and religious rights need not be told, and the occurrences of the two last reigns will not suffer to be for-

gotten. It is fufficient to observe, that when the danger was now brought to a crisis, and the minds of all men were filled with the most alarming apprehenfions, it pleased God to rescue us, in a moment, and by the most astonishing difplay of his goodness, from the impending ruin. Our chains fell off at once, as by a miracle of mercy. Our civil rights have been restored. And the legal toleration [d], we have just now obtained in consequence of the new settlement, hath put us into possession of that religious liberty, which, as men, as Christians, and as protestants, we cannot but esteem the first of all public bleffings.

AND who knows but that, in the gracious defigns of heaven, the fame hand which hath redeemed these nations from the yoke of slavery and of *Rome*, may be

<sup>[</sup>d] The act of toleration did not pass till 24 May, 1689; which lets us see at what time this presace is supposed to have been drawn up.

now employed to shake it off from the necks of our protestant brethren on the continent [e]? The world hath seen how long and how severely they have groaned under that intolerant power, with which we are now at war. When the violences of the late reign had driven me into a fort of voluntary exile, and in the course of it I traversed some of those unhappy provinces of France, which were most exposed to the rigours of persecution [f], how have these eyes

[e] This was the talk of men at that time. It was perhaps in the King's intention. But the defign, if it had ever been formed, miscarried; as the Bishop himself observes in his history—" The most "melancholy part of the treaty of Ryswick was, that "no advantages were got by it, in favour of the protestants in France." vol. iv. p. 295. Edinb. 1753.—Whether the blame of this lies in the King, or his parliaments, or neither, the reader is left to judge for himself from considering the state and transactions of those times.

[f] These rigours the bishop gives a particular account of in the HISTORY OF HIS OWN TIMES, vol. iii. Edinb. 1753.— speaking of the persecution of the French protestants, he says, "I went over a

wept over the diffress of the poor sufferers, and how hath my heart bled for the merciless cruelties which I every where saw exercised upon them! The fury, which appeared on that occasion, was so general and so contagious, that not only priests and court sycophants, but men of virtuous minds and generous tempers were transported, as it were, out of their proper nature, and seemed to divest

" great part of France, while it was in its hottest " rage from Marseilles to Montpelier, and from " thence to Lyons, and so on to Geneva. I saw and "knew fo many instances of their injustice and vio-" lence, that it exceeded even what could have been-"well imagined; for all men fet their Thoughts " on work to invent new methods of cruelty. In " all the towns through which I passed, I heard the " most dismal accounts of things possible, p. 60 .-"Again-The fury that appeared on this occasion " did spread itself with a fort of contagion: for the intendants and other officers, that had been mild " and gentle in the former parts of their life, feemed " now to have laid aside the compassion of Christians, " the breeding of gentlemen, and the impressions of 64 humanity." p. 61.

themselves of the common notices and principles of humanity.

In this fiery trial it hath pleafed God to exercife the faith and virtues, and, as we may charitably hope, to correct the failings and vices of his poor fervants. His mercy may now, in due time, be opening a way for them to escape. And from the prosperous beginning of this great work, what comfortable presages may we not, in all humility, form to ourselves of still further successes?

We have a prince on the throne exactly qualified for the execution of this noble enterprize; of the clearest courage and magnanimity, and a wisdom tried and perfected in that best school, of Adversity; of dispositions the most enlarged to the service of mankind; and even quickened by his own personal resentment of former injuries to retaliate against their common oppressor.

Nor can we doubt of the concurrence of his faithful subjects, who, with one voice, have demanded the commencement of this war; and whose late deliverance, from like circumstances of distress, may be expected to animate their zeal in the support of it.

And oh! that I might fee the day, when our deliverer shall become, what a bold usurper nobly figured to himself in the middle of this century [g], the soul and conductor of the protestant cause through all Europe! and, that as Rome hath hitherto been the centre of slavish impositions and anti-christian politics, the court of England may henceforth be the constant refuge and asylum of fainting liberty and religion!

<sup>[</sup>g] Meaning Cromwell, who, it seems, had a delign of setting up "a council for the protestant "religion, in opposition to the congregation de prompaganda fide at Rome." See the bishop's own account in his hist. vol. is p. 109.

But, to turn from these flattering views, my good friend, to the recital of our late conversation; which I proceed to lay before you with the same exactness and punctuality that I did the former. You will see the reason why I cannot promise you the same entertainment from it.

WE HAD no fooner come together, than Sir J. MAYNARD began with his usual vivacity. "I have been thinking, my lord, how dexterous a game I have played with you in this inquiry of ours into the English government. What was obvious enough in itself, and had indeed been undertaken by many perfons, I mean the vindication of our common liberties as founded in the ancient feudal constitution, is the part I assumed to myself in this debate; and have left it to your lordship to reconcile the fact to the right: which is not only the

most material point of inquiry, but the most difficult; and that which the patrons of liberty have either less meddled with, or have less succeeded in explaining. For to own an unwelcome truth, however specious our claim may be to civil liberty, the administration of government from the time of HENRY VII's accession to the crown, that is, for two entire centuries, has very little agreed to this fystem. The regal power, throughout this period, has been uniformly exercifed in fo high and arbitrary a manner, that we can hardly believe there could be any certain foundation for the people's claim to a limited monarchy. Add to this, that the language of parliaments, the decrees of lawyers, and the doctrines of divines, have generally run in favour of the highest exertions of prerogative. So that I cannot but be in some pain for the success of your undertaking, and am at a loss to conjecture

conjecture in what way your lordship will go about to extricate yourself from these difficulties,

#### BP. BURNET:

I understand, Sir John, that your intention in fetting forth the difficulties of this attempt is only, in your polite way, to enhance the merit of it. I must not however assume too much to myself. The way is clear and eafy before me. You have conducted us very agreeably through the rough and thorney part of our journey. You have opened the genius of our antient constitution. You have explained the principles on which it was raised. All that remains for me is only to folve doubts, and rectify appearances; a matter of no great difficulty, when, instead of groping in the dark, we are now got into open daylight, and are treading in the paths of known and authentic history.

VOL. II.

#### MR. SOMERS.

And yet, my lord, I shall very readily acknowledge, with my lord commissioner, the importance of the fervice. For, unless appearances be strangely deceitful indeed, there is but too great reason to conclude, from the recent parts of our history, either that there never was a rightful claim in the people to civil liberty, or that they, as well as their princes, had loft all fense of it. I doubt the most your lordship can make appear, is, that as our kings, from the coming of the Tudor line, had usurped on the antient privileges of the subject, so the subject, at length, in our days, has, in its turn, usurped on the undisputed and long-acknowledged prerogative of the fovereign. In short, I doubt there is no forming a connected system on these subjects; but that in our country, as well as in others, liberty and prerogative have prevailed and taken the ascendant at different times, according

according as either was checked or favoured by contingent circumstances.

#### BP. BURNET:

STILL Mr. Somers, I fee, is on the desponding side: And with better reason than before, fince, if the difficulty be half fo great, as is pretended, this change of the speaker is little favourable to the removal of it. However I do not despair, whether these surmises of difficulty be real or diffembled, to clear up the whole matter to both your fatisfactions. The stress of it lies here: That, whereas a mixed and limited government is supposed to have been the antient constitution in this country, the appearances, in fact, for a couple of centuries, have been fo repugnant to this notion, that either the supposition must be given up as too hastily formed, or fufficient reasons must be assigned for these contradictory appearances. I embrace the latter part of this alternative

R 2

without

without hesitation or referve; and pretend to lay before you fuch unanswerable arguments for the cause I have undertaken, as, in better hands, might amount to a perfect vindication of English LIBERTY.

I TAKE my rife from the period which my lord commissioner has prescribed to me; that is, from the accession of the Tudor family.

WE have, henceforth, indeed a fuccession of high despotic princes, who were politic and daring enough to improve every advantage against the people's liberties. And their peculiar characters were well fuited to the places in which we find them. HENRY VII. was wise and provident; jealous of his authority as well as title; and fruitful in expedients to fecure both. His fon and fucceffor, who had a spirit of the largest

fize,

fize, and, as one fays [b], feared nothing but the falling of the heavens, was admirably formed to sustain and establish that power, which the other had affumed. And after two short reigns, which afforded the people no opportunity of recovering their lost ground, the crown settled on the head of a princefs, who, with the united qualifications of her father and grandfather, furpaffed them both in the arts of a winning and gracious popularity. And thus, in the compass of a century, the prerogative was now wound up to a height, that was very flattering to the views and inclinations of the STUART family,

IT may be further observed, that the condition of the times was such as wonderfully conspired with the designs and dispositions of these princes.

R3 ALONG

<sup>[</sup>b] NAT. BACON, in his Disc. part. II. p. 125. Lond. 1739.

A LONG and bloody war, that had well nigh exhausted the strength and vitals of this country, was, at length, composed by the fortunate successes of Bosworth-field. All men were desirous to breathe a little from the rage of civil wars. And the enormous tyranny of the prince, whose death had made way for the exaltation of the earl of RICHMOND, was a fort of foil to the new government, and made the rigours of it appear but moderate when set against the cruelties of the preceding reign.

The great change that followed in the deliverance of the nation from papal tyranny, and the suppression of religious houses, was a new pretence for the extension of the royal prerogative; and the people submitted to it with pleasure, as they saw no other way to support and accomplish that important enterprize.

And, lastly, the regal power, which had gained so immensely by the rejection of the papal dominion, was carried still higher by the great work of reformation; which, being conducted by a wise and able princess, was easily improved, on every occasion, to the advantage of the crown.

AND thus, whether we consider the characters of the persons, or the circumstances of the times, every thing concurred to exalt the princes of the house of Tudor to a height of power and prerogative, which had hitherto been unknown in England, and became, in the end, so dangerous to the constitution itself.

Bur you expect me, I suppose, to point to the very examples of usurpation, I have in view, and the means by which it took effect in the hands of these and the succeeding princes.

R 4

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

WE do indeed expect that from your lordship. For otherwise it will be thought that what you treat as an usurpation, was but the genuine exercise of the regal authority; only favoured by fortunate conjunctures, and, as you say, by great ability in the princes themselves.

### MR. SOMERS.

Perhaps, still more will be expected. For it may not be enough to tell us, what usurpations there were, or even by what means they became successful. It should further appear, methinks, that these usurpations, though they suspended the exercise of the peoples liberties, did not destroy them; did not, at least, annihilate the constitution from which those liberties were derived,

### BP. BURNET.

All this will naturally come in our way, as we go along. And, fince you will

will have me usurp the chair on this occasion, and, like the princes I am speaking of, take to myself an authority to which I have no right, let me presume a little on my new dignity; and, in what follows, discourse to you, as our manner is, without interruption or reply.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

This, it must be owned, is carrying the prerogative of the chair to its utmost height. But, if we submit to it in other places, is it reasonable you should require us to do so here? Besides, your lordship forgets that I am too old to be a patient hearer. And Mr. Somers too—

#### MR. SOMERS.

I CAN engage, in this instance, for passive obedience. And my lord, perhaps, does not insist on the full extent of his prerogative. It is fit, however, we attend with reverence, while such an advocate is pleading in such a cause.

I

#### BP. BURNET.

I was faying that all your demands would be fatisfied, as I went along in this discourse. It is true, an attentive reader of our history, who considers what is said of the mixed frame of our government, and the struggles that were occasioned by it, is surprised to find that these contentions at once subsided on the accession of the house of Tudor; and that the tenour of the government thenceforth for many fuccessions is as calm, and the popular influence as small, as in the most absolute and despotic forms. This appearance tempts him to conclude that the crown had at length redeemed itself from a forced, unconstitutional servitude; and that, far from usurping on the people, it only returned to the exercise of its old and acknowledged rights. For otherwise it will be faid, how could the people at once become fo infenfible, and their representatives in parliament so

tame, as to bear with the most imperious of their princes without reluctance; they, who had refented much smaller matters from the gentlest and the best?

But those, who talk in this strain, have not considered, that there were some circumstances in the state of things, from the time we are speaking of, that DISABLED the nation from insisting, and many more that INDISPOSED them to insist, on their antient and undoubted rights.

I TOOK notice, that the ruinous contentions of the two houses of YORK and LANCASTER, from which the nation was at last delivered by the accession of HENRY VII, disposed all men to submit with satisfaction to the new government. Such a conjuncture was favourable, of itself, to the increase of the regal power. But the truth is, there was little danger of any successful opposition to the crown,

if the nation had been ever fo ill inclined towards it. The great lords, or barons were, in former days, both by the feudal conflitution, and by the vast property they had in their hands, the proper and only check on the fovereign. These had been either cut off, or so far weakened at least by the preceding civil wars, that the danger seemed entirely over from that quarter. The politic king was aware of his advantage, and improved it to admiration. One may even affirm, that this was the sole object of his government.

For the greater fecurity, and majesty of his person, he began with the institution of his LIFEGUARD. And having thus set out with enlarging his own train, his next care was to diminish that of his nobles. Hence the law, or rather laws (for as Lord Bacon observes, there was scarcely a parliament through his whole reign which passed without an act to that purpose)

purpose) against RETAINERS. And with how jealous a severity he put those laws into execution, is sufficiently known from his treatment of one of his principal friends and servants, the earl of OxFORD [i].

IT was also with a view to this depression of the nobility, that the court of STAR-CHAMBER was considered so much, and confirmed by act of parliament in his reign: "That which was principally aimed at by it being, as his historian frankly owns, Force, and the two chief supports of Force, COMBINATION OF MULTITUDES, and maintenance or HEAD-SHIP OF GREAT PERSONS."

To put them still lower in the public estimation, he affected to fill the great offices with churchmen only. And it was, perhaps, as much to awe the nation

<sup>[</sup>i] The story is told by Lord Bacon in his history of this prince.

by the terror of his prerogative as to fill his coffers, that he executed the penal laws with fo merciles a rigour on the very greatest of his subjects.

STILL further, to prevent the possibility of a return, in any future period, of the patrician power, this politic prince provided with great care for the encouragement of trade, and the distribution of property. Both which ends were effected at once by that famous act, which was made to secure and facilitate the alienation of estates by sine and proclamation.

ALL these measures, we see, were evidently taken by the king to diminish the credit and suppress the influence of his nobles; and of consequence, as he thought, to exalt the power of the crown above controul, if not in his own, yet in succeeding ages. And his policy had this effect for some time; though in the

end it proved, beside his expectation, to advance another and more formidable power, at that time little suspected or even thought of, the POWER OF THE PEOPLE [k].

The truth is, Henry's policy was every way much affished by the genius of the time. Trade was getting up: and lollardism had secretly made its way into the hearts of the people. And, though liberty was in the end to reap the benefit of each, prerogative was the immediate gainer. Commerce, in proportion to its growth, brought on the decline of the feudal, that is, aristocratic power of the barons: And the authority of the church, that other check on the sovereign, was gradually weakened by the prevailing spirit of reformation.

<sup>[</sup>k] He did not confider that maxim of the Lord Bacon, "depression of the nobility may make a king more absolute, but less safe." Works, vol. iii. p. 296.

Under these circumstances, Henry found it no difficulty to depress his great lords; and he did it fo effectually, that his fon had little else left him to do, but to keep them down in that weak and difabled state, to which his father had reduced them. 'Tis true, both he and his fuccessors went further. They never thought themselves secure enough from the refisfance of their old enemies, the barons [1]; and so continued, by every method of artifice and rapine, to fink them much lower than even the safety of their own state required. But the effects of this management did not appear till long afterwards. For the prefent, the crown received a manifest advantage by this conduct.

[/] And yet Lord Bacon tells us, that when HEN. VIII. came to the crown, "There was no fuch thing as any great and mighty subject, who might any way eclipse or overshade the imperial power." Works, vol. iii. p. 508.

THERE

THERE was, besides, another circumstance of great moment attending the government of the younger Henry. He was the first heir of the white and red roses: so that there was now an end of all dispute and disaffection in the people. And they had so long and so violently contended about the title to the crown, that, when that mighty point was once settled, they did not readily apprehend that any other consideration deferved, or could justify resistance to their sovereign.

WITH these advantages of situation HENRY VIII brought with him to the throne a spirit of that firm and steddy temper as was exactly sitted to break the edge of any rising opposition. Besides the confidence of youth, he was of a nature so elate and imperious, so resolved and fearless [m], that no resistance could succeed,

[m] "A man, as Mr. Bacon characterifes him, Vol. II. S hardly

hardly any thought of it could be entertained against him. The commons, who had hitherto been unused to treat with their kings but by the mediation of the great lords, being now pushed into the presence, were half discountenanced in the eye of majesty; and durst scarcely look up to the throne, much less dispute the prerogatives with which so awful a prince was thought to be invested.

AND when the glaring abuse of his power, as in the exaltation of that great instrument of his tyranny, Wolsey, seemed afterwards to provoke the people to some more vigorous resolutions, a singular event happened, which not only preserved his greatness, but brought a further increase to it. This was the famous rupture with the court of Rome: in consequence of which the yoke of papal usurpations, that yoke under which

underneath many passions, but above fear." Disc. Part II. p. 120.

our kings had groaned for fo many ages, was in a moment broken off, and the crown restored to its full and perfect independency.

Nor was this all. The throne did not only stand by itself, as having no longer a dependence on the papal chair. It rose still higher, and was, in essected upon it. For the ecclesiastical jurisdiction was not annihilated, but transferred; and all the powers of the Roman pontis now centered in the king's person. Henceforth then we are to regard him in a more awful point of view; as armed with both swords at once; and, as NAT. BACON expresses it in his way, as a strange kind of monster, "A king with a pope in his belly [n]."

THE remainder of his reign shews that he was politic enough to make the best use of what his passions had brought

[n] Disc. part II. p. 125.

on, and thus far accomplished. For though the nation wished, and, without doubt, hoped to go much further, the king's quarrel was rather with the court, than the church of *Rome*. And the high authority in fpirituals, which he had gained, enabled him to hold all men, who either feared or desired a further reformation, in the most entire dependence.

In the mean time, the nation rejoiced with great reason at his deliverance from a foreign tyranny: And the lavish distribution of that wealth, which slowed into the king's coffers from the suppressed monasteries, procured a ready submission, from the great and powerful, to the king's domestic tyranny.

In a word, every thing contributed to the advancement of the regal power; and, in that, to the completion of the great defigns of providence. The amaz-

ing revolution, which had just happened, was, at all events, to be supported: And thus partly by fear, and partly by interest, the parliament went along with the king in all his projects; and, beyond the example of former times, was constantly obsequious to him even in the most capricious and inconsistent measures of his government.

AND thus matters, in a good degree, continued till the accession of Queen ELIZABETH. It is true, the weak administration of a minor king, and a disputed title at his death, occasioned some disorders. But the majesty of the crown itfelf was little impaired by these bustles; and it even acquired fresh glory on the head of our renowned protestant princess.

For that aftonishing work of reformation, fo happily entered upon by HENRY, and carried on by his fon, was after a short interruption (which only ferved to

prove and animate the zeal of good men) brought at length by her to its final establishment. The intolerable abuses and shameless corruptions of popery were now fo notorious to all the world, and the spirit of reformation, which had been fecretly working fince the days of WICKLIFF, had now spread itself so generally through the nation, that nothing but an entire renunciation of the doctrine and discipline of the church of Rome could be expected. And by the happiest providence the queen was as much obliged by the interest of her government and the fecurity of her title, as by her own unshaken principles, to concur with the dispositions of her subjects.

Thus, in the end, protestantism prevailed, and obtained a legal and fixed settlement. But to maintain it, when made, against the combined powers that threatened its destruction, the crown on which

which fo much depended, was to be held up in all its splendor to the eyes of our own and foreign nations. Hence the height of prerogative in ELIZABETH's days, the fubmission of parliaments, and, I may almost fay, the prostration of the people.

AND when this magnanimous princefs, as well by her vast spirit and personal virtues, as the constant successes of her long reign, had derived the highest dignity and authority on the English sceptre, it passed into the hands of the elder JAMES; who brought fomething more with him, than a good will, the accession of a great kingdom and the opinion of deep wisdom, to enable him to wield it.

WHAT followed in his and the fucceeding reigns, I need not be at the pains to recount to you. These things are too recent for me to dwell upon; and you, my lord commissioner, do not only

only remember them perfectly, but have yourself acted a great part in most of them. Allow me only to say, that from this brief history of the regal authority, and the means by which it arrived at so unusual a greatness, it is no wonder that the Stuart family were somewhat dazzled by the height to which they were raised, and that more than half a century was required to correct, if it ever did correct, the high but salse notions they had entertained of the imperial dignity.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

IF you permit me, at last, to break in at the opening which this conclusion of your discourse seems to give me, I would say, That, on your principles, the house of STUART had great reason for the high notions you ascribe to them. For what other conclusion could they make but that a power, which had domineered for so long a time, and that by the full allowance of parliament and people, was,

both in fact and right, absolute and uncontrolable?

### BP. BURNET.

IT is certain, the STUART family did draw that conclusion. But a great deal too hastily; as may appear from your own observation, that the exercise of this extraordinary power was committed, or more properly indulged to them, by the people. This is fo strictly true, that from the first to the last of the Tudor line, imperious and despotic as they were, of their own nature, no extraordinary stretch of power was ventured upon by any of them, but under the countenance and protection of an act of parliament. Hence it was that the STAR-CHAMBER. though the jurisdiction of this court had the authority of the common law, was confirmed by statute; that the proceedings of Empson and Dudley had the fanction of parliament; that HENRY VIIIth's fupremacy, and all acts of power dependent upon it, had the same founda-

tion: in a word, that every thing, which wore the face of an absolute authority in the king, was not in virtue of any fupposed inherent prerogative in the crown, but the special grant of the subject. No doubt, this compliance, and particularly if we confider the lengths to which it was carried, may be brought to prove the obsequious and even abject. dispositions of the times; though we allow a great deal, as I think we should, to prudence and good policy. But then the parliaments, by taking care to make every addition to the crown their own PROPER ACT, left their kings no pretence to consider themselves as absolute and independent.

#### MR. SOMERS.

I DOUBT, confidering the flavish disposition of the times, that, if the people still possessed a shew of liberty, this advantage was owing to the pure condescension of the crown, and not to their

own policy. A king that could obtain of his parliament to have his proclamations pass for laws [o], might have ventured on this step without the concurrence of parliament.

#### BP. BURNET.

I ACKNOWLEDGE the act you glance at was of an extraordinary kind; and might feem, by implication at least, to deliver up the entire legislative authority into the hands of the fovereign. But there is a wide difference between the crown's usurping this strange power, and the parliament's bestowing it. The case was (and nothing could be more fortunate for the nation) that at the time when the people were least able to controll their prince, their prince's affairs constrained him to court his people. For the rejection of the papal power and the reformation of religion were things of that

<sup>[0]</sup> This terrible act is 31 Hen. VIII. c. 8. It was repealed in 1 Edw. VI. c. 12.

high nature and fo full of hazard, that no expedient was to be overlooked. which tended to make the execution of these projects fafe or easy. Hence it was that no steps were taken by the crown but with the confent and approbation of the two houses. And if these were compelled by the circumstances of their situation to favour their prince's interest or caprice by absurd and inconfistent compliances, this benefit at least they drew to themselves, that their power by that means would appear the greater and more unquestionable. For what indeed could display the omnipotency of parliaments more than their being called in to make and unmake the measures of government, and give a fanction, as it were, to contradictions? Of which there cannot be a stronger instance than the changes they made from time to time, as HENRY VIII's passions swayed him, in the rule of fuccession.

Thus we fee that, through the entire reigns of the house of Tudor, that is, the most despotic and arbitrary of our princes, the forms of liberty were still kept up, and the constitution maintained, even amidst the advantages of all forts which offered for the destruction of both. The parliament indeed was obfequious, was fervile, was directed, if you will, but every proceeding was authorifed and confirmed by parliament. The king in the mean time found himself at his ease; perhaps believed himfelf absolute, and confidered his application to parliaments as an act of mere grace and popular condescension. At least, after so long experience of their fubmission, the elder JAMEs certainly thought himself at liberty to entertain this belief of them. But he was the first of our princes that durst avow this belief plainly and openly. He was stimulated, no doubt, to this usurpation of power in England by the 4 memory

memory of his former subjection, or fervitude rather, to the imperious church of Scotland. But this was not all. Succeeding to fo fair a patrimony as that of a mighty kingdom, where little or no opposition had been made for some reigns to the will of the fovereign; to a kingdom too, fecurely fettled in the poffession of its favoured religion, which had occasioned all the dangers, and produced all the condescension of the preceding princes; bringing, befides, with him to the fuccession, an undisputed title and the additional splendor of another crown; all these advantages meeting in his perfon at that point of time, he ventured to give way to his natural love of dominion, and told the people to their face that the pretended rights of their parliaments were but the free gifts and graces of their kings: that every high point of government, that is, every point which he chose to call by that name, was wrapt up in the awful mystery of his prerogative:

gative: and, in a word, that "it was fedition for them to dispute what a king may do in the height of his power [p]."

Such, you know, was the language, the public language to his parliaments, of JAMES THE FIRST. But these pretences, which might have been fuffered perhaps, or could not have been opposed under the Tudor line, were unluckily out of feafon, and would not pass on a people who knew their own rights, had faved to themselves the exercise of them, and came now at length to feel and understand their importance. For, as I before observed, the principal cause that had lifted the crown fo high, was the depression of the barons. The great property which had made them fo formidable, was difperfed into other hands. The nobility were therefore too low to give any umbrage to the crown. But

<sup>[</sup>p] Speech to the lords and commons at Whitehall. An. 1609.

the commons were rifing apace, and in a century had grown to that height, that on the accession of the Scotch family, the point of time when the new king dreamed of nothing but absolute fovereignty [q], they were now in a condition to affert the public liberty, and, as the event shewed but too foon, to fnatch the sceptre itself out of their king's hands.

However, in that interval of the dormant power of the commons it was, that the prerogative made the largest shoots, till in the end it threatened to overshadow law and liberty. And, though the general reason is to be sought in the humiliation of the church, the low estate of the barons, and the unexerted, because as yet unfelt, greatness of the commons, the solution will be defective if

<sup>[</sup>q] It was faid well of this king — "That he spake peace abroad, and sung lullaby at home: yet, like a dead calm in a hot spring, treasured up in store sad distempers against a back-winter." NAT. BACON.

we stop here. For the regal authority, so limited by the antient constitution, and by the continued use of parliaments, could never in this short space have advanced itself beyond all bounds, if other reasons had not co-operated with the state of the people; if some more powerful and special causes had not conspired to throw round the person of the sovereign those rays of sacred opinion, which are the real strength as well as gilding of a crown.

OF these I have occasionally mentioned several; such as "the personal character and virtues of the princes themselves; the high adventurous designs in which they were engaged; the interest, the people found or promised to themselves in supporting their power; the constant successes of their administration; and the unremitting spirit and vigour with which it was carried on and maintained." All these considerations could not but districted the suppose

pose the people to look up with reverence to a crown, which presented nothing to their view but what was fitted to take their admiration, or imprint esteem. Yet all these had failed of procuring to majesty that prosound submission which was paid to it, or of elevating the prince to that high conceit of independency which so thoroughly possessed the imagination of King James, if an event of a very singular nature, and big with important consequences, had not given the proper occasion to both.

## SIR J. MAYNARD.

I UNDERSTAND you to mean the overthrow of the papal dominion, which had fo long eclipfed the majesty of our kings; and held them in a state of vassalage, not only to the triple crown, but, which was more disgraceful, to the mitre of their own subjects.

#### BP. BURNET.

RATHER understand me to mean, what was indeed the consequence of that event, THE TRANSLATION OF THE POPE'S SUPREMACY TO THE KING. This, as I take it, was the circumstance of all others which most favoured the sudden growth of the imperial power in this nation. And, because I do not remember to have seen it enlarged upon, as it deserves, give me leave to open to you, somewhat copiously, the nature of this newly acquired headship, and the numerous advantages which the prerogative received from it.

THE PAPAL SUPREMACY, as it had been claimed and exercised in this kingdom, was a power of the highest nature. It controlled every rank and order in the state, and, in essect, laid the prince and people together at the mercy of the Roman pontif. There is no need to re-

count the feveral branches of this usurped authority. It is enough to fay, that it was transcendant in all respects that could in any sense be taken to concern religion. And who, that has looked into the papal story, needs be told that, by a latitude of interpretation, every thing was construed to be a religious concern, by which the pope's power or interest could be affected?

UNDER the acknowledgment then of this fuper-eminent dominion, no steps could possibly be taken towards the reformation of religion, or even the affertion of the just rights and privileges of the crown. But the people were grown to have as great a zeal for the former of these considerations, as the king for the latter. And in this juncture it was that Henry, in a sudden heat, threw off the supremacy; which the parliament, to prevent its return to the pope, very readily invested in the king.

There was fomething fo daring, and, according to the prejudices of that time, fo prefumptuous and even prophane, in this attempt to transfer the spiritual headship to a secular power, that the pope himself little apprehended, and nothing but the king's dauntless temper could have assured, the success of it. The repugnancy which the parliament themselves found in their own notions betwixt the exercise of the spiritual and temporal power, was the reason perhaps for inserting in the act of supremacy those qualifying clauses, we find in it [r].

### MR. SOMERS.

It is possible, as you say, that the parliament might be at a loss to adjust in their own minds the precise bounds of

[r] Meaning such clauses as these—as by any spiritual or ecclesiastical power or authority may LAW-FULLY be exercised, and, provided that nothing be done contrary to the LAWS of this realm.

the spiritual jurisdiction, as united to the civil in the king's person. Yet, in virtue of these clauses, the regal supremacy was, in fact, restrained and limited by act of parliament: And the import of them was clearly to affert the independency of the crown on any foreign judicature, and not to confer it in the extent in which it was claimed and exercised by the see of Rome.

### BP. BURNET.

It is true, that no more was expressed, or perhaps intended in this act. But the question is, how the matter was understood by the people at large, and in particular by the king himself and his slatterers. Now it seems to me that this transfer of the supremacy would be taken for a solemn acknowledgment, not only of the antient encroachments and usurpations of the papacy, but of the king's right to succeed to all the powers of it. And I conclude this from the nature of the thing itself, from the current notions

notions of the Time, and from the fequel of the king's government.

IF we attend to the nature of the complaints which the kingdom was perpetually making, in the days of popery, of the Roman usurpations, we shall find that they did not so much respect these usurpations themselves, as the person, claiming and enjoying them. The grievance was, that appeals should be made to Rome, that provisions should come from thence; in a word, that all causes should be carried to a foreign tribunal, and that fuch powers should be exercised over the subjects of this realm by a foreign jurisdiction. The complaint was, that the pope exercifed thefe powers, and not that the powers themselves were exercised. So, on the abolition of this supremacy, the act that placed it in the person of the king, would naturally be taken to transfer upon him all the privileges and preeminencies, which had formerly belonged

T 4

to it. And thus, though the act was fo properly drawn as to make a difference in the two cases, yet the people at large, and much more the king himfelf, would infer from the concession, " that the pope had usurped his powers on the crown," that therefore the crown had now a right to those powers. And the circumstance of this translation's passing by act of parliament, does not alter the matter much, with regard to the king's notion of it. For in that time of danger, and for the greater fecurity of his new power, he would chuse to have that ratified and confirmed by statute which he firmly believed inherent in his person and dignity.

THEN, to fee how far the current opinions of that time were favourable to the extention of the regal authority, on this alliance with the papal, we are to reflect, that, however odious the administration of the pope's supremacy was be-

come, most men had very high notions of the plenitude of his power, and the facredness of his person. "CHRIST's vicar upon earth" was an awful title, and had funk deep into the aftonished minds of the people. And though HENRY's pretensions went no further than to assume that vicarial authority within his own kingdom, yet this limitation would not hinder them from conceiving of him, much in the same way as of the pope himself. They, perhaps, had seen no difference, but for his want of the pope's facerdotal capacity. Yet even this defect was, in some measure [s], made up to him by his regal. So that between the majesty of the kingly character, and the confecration of his person by this mysterious endowment of the spiritual,

<sup>[</sup>s] The bishop does well to fay - in some measure. For, according to popish prejudices, the facerdotal character is vastly above the regal. See Pole's address to HEN. VIII. I. I. where this high point is discussed at large.

it is eafy to fee how well prepared the minds of men were to allow him the exercise of any authority to which he pretended,

And to what degree this spiritual character of head of the church operated in the minds of the people, we may understand from the language of men in still later times, and even from the articles of our church, where the prerogative of the crown is faid to be that which gop-LY KINGS have always exercifed: intimating that this plenitude of power was inherent in the king, on account of that spiritual and religious character, with which, as head of the church, he was necessarily invested. The illusion, as grofs as we may now think it, was but the fame as that which blinded the eyes of the greatest and wifest people in the old world. For was it not just in the same manner, that by the policy of the Roman emperors, in affuming the office of pontifex

in

tifex maximus, that is, incorporating the religious with their civil character, not only their authority became the more awful, but their persons sacred?

WE fee then, as I faid, how conveniently the minds of men were prepared to acquiesce in HENRY's usurped prerogative. And it is well known that this prince was not of a temper to balk their expectations. The fequel of his reign shews that he took himself to be invested with the whole ecclefiaftical power, legiflative as well as executive; nay, that he was willing to extend his acknowledged right of fupremacy even to the antient papal infallibility; as appears from his fovereign decisions in all matters of faith and doctrine. It is true, the parliament was ready enough to go before or at least to follow the head of the church in all these decisions. But the reason is obvious. And I need not repeat to you

in what light the king regarded their compliance with him.

#### MR. SOMERS.

It is very likely, for these reasons, that the king would draw to himself much authority and reverence, at least, from his new title of supremacy. But it does not, I think, appear that the supremacy had all that effect on the people's rights and the antient constitution, which your lordship's argument requires you to ascribe to it.

#### BP. BURNET.

I BROUGHT these general considerations only to shew the reverend opinion which of course would be entertained of this mixt person, THE SUPREME HEAD OF THE CHURCH, compounded of a king and a pope; and how natural a soundation it was for the superstructure of despotic power in all its branches. But I now hasten to the particulars which demonstrate

monstrate that this use was actually made of that title.

AND, first, let me observe that it gave birth to that great and formidable court of the HIGH-COMMISSION; which brought fo mighty an accession of power to the crown, that, as experience afterwards shewed, no fecurity could be had for the people's liberties, till it was totally abolished. The necessity of the times was a good plea for the first institution of fo dangerous a tribunal. The restless endeavours of papists and puritans against the ecclesiastical establishment gave a colour for the continuance of it. But as all matters that regarded religion or conscience, were subjected to its fole cognizance and inspection, it was prefently feen how wide an entrance it gave to the most tyrannical usurpations.

IT was, further, natural that the king's power in civil causes should keep pace with

with his authority in spiritual. And fortunately for the advancement of his prerogative there was already erected within the kingdom another court of the like dangerous nature, of antient date, and venerable estimation, under the name of the court of STAR-CHAMBER; which brought every thing under the direction of the crown that could not fo properly be determined in the high-commission. These were the two arms of absolute dominion; which, at different times, and under different pretences, were stretched forth to the oppression of every man that presumed to oppose himself to the royal will or pleafure. The Starchamber had been kept, in former times. within some tolerable bounds; but the high and arbitrary proceedings of the other court, which were found convenient for the further purpose of reformation, and were therefore constantly exercised and as constantly connived at by the parliament, gave an eafy pretence for advancing vancing the Star-chamber's jurifdiction fo far, that in the end its tyranny was equally intolerable as that of the highcommission.

Thus the king's authority in all cases, fpiritual and temporal, was fully established, and in the highest sense of which the words are capable. Our kings themselves so understood it, and when afterwards their parliaments shewed a disposition to interfere in any thing relating either to church or flate, they were prefently reprimanded and sternly required not to meddle with what concerned their prerogative royal and their high points of government. Instances of this fort were very frequent in ELIZA-BETH's reign, when the commons were getting up, and the spirit of liberty began to exert itself in that affembly. The meaning of all this mysterious language was, that the royal pleasure was subject to no controul, but was to be left to take

its free course under the sanction of these two supreme courts, to which the cognizance of all great matters was committed.

This, one would think, were fufficient to fatisfy the ambition of our kings. But they went further, and still under the wing of their beloved supremacy.

THE parliament were not fo tame, or the king's grace did not require it of them, to divest themselves entirely, though it was much checked and restrained by these courts, of their legislative capacity. But the crown found a way to eafe itself of this curb, if at any time it should prove troublesome to it. This was by means of the dispensing POWER; which, in effect, vacated all laws at once, further than it pleafed the king to countenance and allow them. And for fo enormous a stretch of power (which being rarely exercifed was the less minded) there was a ready pretence

from

from the papal privileges and preeminencies to which the crown had fucceeded. For this most invidious of all the claims of prerogative had been indisputable in the church; and it had been nibbled at by some of our kings, in former times, from the contagious authority of the pope's example, even without the pretence which the supremacy in spirituals now gave for it.

The exercise of this power, in the popes themselves, was thought so monstrous, that Matthew Paris honestly complains of it in his time, as extinguishing all justice—Extinguit omnem Justiciam [t]. And on another occasion, I remember, he goes so far, in a spirit of prophecy, almost, as to tell us the ill use that hereafter kings themselves might be tempted to make of it [u]. His prediction was verified very soon:

[t] Hist. Ang. p. 694.

<sup>[</sup>z] Something to this purpose occurs in p. 706. Vo L. II.

for Henry III learned this lesson of tyranny, and put it in practice. On which occasion one of his upright judges could not help exclaiming, CIVILIS CURIA EXEMPLO ECCESIASTICÆ CONQUINATUR [x]. And afterwards, we know, Henry VII claimed and exercised this dispensing power in the case of sherists, contrary to act of parliament [y]. It was early indeed in his reign, and when

[x] The name of this reverend judge was ROGER DE THURKEBY. A cause was trying before him in Wessminster-hall, when one of the parties produced the king's letters patents with a non-obstante in it. "Quod cum comperisset, says the historian, ab alto ducens suspiria, de prædictæ adjectionis appositione, dixit; Heu, heu, hos ut quid dies expectavimus? ecce jam civilis curia exemplo ecclessasticæ conquinatur, et a sulphureo sonte rivulus intoxicatur." p. 784. Hen. III.

[y] Many statutes, and especially 23 Hen. VI, had forbidden the continuance of any person in the office of sheriff for more than one year. Henry VII dispensed with these statutes. And the twelve judges resolved in 2 Hen. VII, that, by a non-obstante, a patent for a longer time should be good.—It seems, the good old race of the Thurkebys

was now worn out.

the state of his affairs was thought to give a colour to it.

I MENTION these things to shew, that, fince the pope's example had been fo infectious in former times, it would now be followed very refolutely when the translation of the very supremacy, from which it had fprung, feemed to justify it. And we have a remarkable instance in ELIZABETH's reign by which it may appear that this prerogative was publicly and folemnly avowed. For upon fome fcandal taken by the popish party upon pretence that the book of confecration of bishops was not established by law, the queen made no scruple to declare by her letters-patent, that she had, by her fupreme authority, dispensed with all causes or doubts of any imperfection or difability in the persons of the bishops. My learned friend, Dr. STILLINGFLEET, in commenting this case, acknowledges the very ruth. "It was customary, fays U 2 he

he, in the pope's bulls, to put in fuch kind of clauses, and therefore she would omit no power in that case to which the pope had pretended [z].

And it is in this difpenfing spirit that James I, having delivered it for a maxim of state, "that the king is above law," goes on to affirm, in one of his favourite works, that general laws, made publickly in parliament, may, upon known respects to the king, by his authority be mitigated and suspended upon causes only known to him [a].

WE perceive the ground of that claim, which was carried so high by the princes of the house of STUART, and, as we have just seen, brought on the ruin of the last of them. And to how great a degree this prerogative of the dispensing

[z] See his Works, vol. iii. p. 806.

<sup>[</sup>a] The true law of free monarchies in the king's Works, p. 203.

power had, at length, possessed the minds even of the common lawyers (partly from some scattered examples of it in former times, and partly from reasons of expediency in certain junctures, but principally from the inveteracy of this notion of the papal supremacy) we had an alarming proof in Hale's case, when eleven out of the twelve judges declared for it.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

Your lordship has indeed shewn that the poison of the papal supremacy began to work very fatally. If this blessed revolution had not happened, what could have been expected but that the next step would be, to set the crown above all divine as well as human law? And, methinks, after such a judgment in West-minster-Hall, it could not be surprising if another set of men had served the king, in the office of the pope's janisaries, and maintained his right of dispensing U 3 with

with the gospel itself [b], as well as the statute-book.

#### MR. SOMERS.

I MUST needs think, Sir John, you are a little fevere, not to fay unjust in this infinuation; for which the churchmen of our days have furely given you no reason. And as for the reverend judges, methinks my lord of Salisbury might be allowed to expose their determination, at the same time that he so candidly accounts for it.

#### BP. BURNET.

I PERCEIVE, my lord commissioner, with all his goodness and moderation, is a little apt to surmisse the worst of our order. But I will try to reconcile him to it, and it shall be in the way, he most likes, by making a frank confession of our infirmities.

[b] Alluding to the doctrine of the canonifts who fay, Papa dispensare potest de omnibus praceptis VETERIS ET NOVI TESTAMENTI. See bishop JEWELL'S defence of his apology of the church of England, against HARDING, p. 313.

For

For another fource of the regal dominion in latter times, and still springing from out of the rock of supremacy (which followed and succoured the court-prerogative, wherever it went, just as the rock of Moses, the Rabbins say, journeyed with the Jewish camp, and refreshed it in all its stations) was the opinion taken up and propagated by churchmen, from the earliest æra of the reformation, concerning the irresistible power of kings, and the PASSIVE OBEDIENCE that is due to it.

#### SIR J. MAYNARD.

Ay, there it is, I am afraid, that we are principally to look for the origin of the high pretences of our kings to abfolute government.

#### BP. BURNET.

I SHALL diffemble no part of the clergy's blame on this occasion; and  $U_4$  there

there is the less need, if I were ever so tender of their reputation, as their inducements to preach up this dostrine were neither slight in themselves, nor unfriendly to the public interest.

It cannot be doubted that the churchmen especially, both by interest and principle, would be closely connected with the new head of the church. Their former subjection in spirituals to the papal authority would of itself create a prejudice in favour of it, as now residing in the king's person. And the disposal of bishopricks and other great preferments being now entirely in the crown they would of course, you will say, be much addicted to his service.

But these were not the sole, or even the principal reasons that induced so wise and so disinterested persons, as our first resormers, to exalt the royal prerogative. They were led into this pernicious practice by the most excusable of all motives, in their situation, an immoderate zeal against popery.

IT is true, a very natural prejudice mixed itself with their other reasonings. "The crown had been declared fupreme, and to have chief government of all estates of this realm, and in all causes." And, though this declaration was levelled only against the pretensions of every foreign, and particularly the papal power, yet, the clergy were given to conceive of it as a general opposition. The reafon was, that the people, from whom the just right of supremacy is derived, having, at this juncture, not yet attained the confideration, which the nobles had loft, they forwardly concluded, that if the royal estate were independent of the pope, it was unquestionably so of every other power. They could not, on the fudden, he brought to think fo reverendly of the poor people, even in their representatives,

prefentatives, as to allow that they had any pretention to restrain their fovereign.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

I could fwear to the truth of this account. One of the popes, I forget which, is faid to have called the deputies of the third estate in France, on a certain occasion, Nebulones exfæceplebis[c]. And though that might not be the language of churchmen in England, at this time, it was not far, perhaps, from expressing their sentiments. It is certain, they soon taught their princes, who put themselves to school to the hierarchy [d], to talk in this strain; as appears from many

[c] See this particular taken notice of in K. JAMES'S Works, p. 384.

[d] One of them, King James, profited so well by this discipline, that, as we are told on very competent authority, "He was the most able prince that ever this kingdom had, to Judge of Churchwork." Ded. of Bp. Andrews's fermons to Charles I, by the bishops Laud and Buckeringe.

of ELIZABETH's and JAMES's speeches to the commons.

#### BP. BURNET.

Something of this fort, I grant you, but not in the degree you put it, might have an influence on the political reasonings of the clergy. But their zeal for reformation was what prevailed with them most, and carried them furthest into these notions. It is something curious to see how this happened.

Henry's usurpation of the supremacy, as it was called at *Rome*, appeared so prodigious a crime to all good catholics, that no severities were great enough to instict upon him for it. Their writers proceeded to strange lengths. Even our cardinal Pole so far forgot the greatness of his quality, and the natural mildness of his temper, as to exceed the bounds of decency, in his investives against him. And, when afterwards, in right

right of this affumed headship, the crown went fo far as to reject the authority of the church, as well as court of Rome, all the thunders of the Vatican were employed against this invader of the church's prerogative. The pope, in his extreme indignation, threatened to depose EDWARD. He did put this threat in execution against ELIZABETH. Yet, in fpite of religious prejudices, this was esteemed so monstrous a stretch of power, and fo odious to all christian princes, that the jesuits thought it expedient, by all means, to foften the appearance of it. One of their contrivances was, by fearching into the origin of civil power, which they brought rightly, though for this wicked purpose, from the people. For they concluded, that, if the regal power could be shewn to have no divine right, but to be of human and even popular institution, the liberty, which the pope took in deposing kings, would be less invidious. Thus the jesuits reasoned on

the matter. The argument was pushed with great vigour by HARDING and his brethren in ELIZABETH's reign, but afterwards with more learning and addrefs by Bellarmine, Mariana, and others  $\lceil e \rceil$ .

To combat this dangerous polition, fo prejudicial to the power of kings, and which was meant to justify all attempts of violence on the lives of heretical princes, the protestant divines went into the other extreme; and, to fave the perfon of their fovereign, preached up the doctrine of DIVINE RIGHT. HOOKER. fuperior to every prejudice, followed the truth. But the rest of our reforming and reformed divines stuck to the other opinion; which, as appears from the homilies, the institution of A

<sup>[</sup>e] This notion was flarted even so early as HENRY's rejection of the supremacy. Cardinal Pole infifts strongly on this origin of kingship in his book, Pro ecclesiastica unitatis defensione, lib. i. P. 74.

of writings in those days, became the opinion of the church, and was indeed the received protestant doctrine.

AND thus unhappily arose in the church of England, that pernicious system of divine indefeafible right of kings: broached indeed by the clergy, but not from those corrupt and temporising views to which it has been imputed. The authority of those venerable men, from whom it was derived, gave it a firm and lasting hold on the minds of the clergy: And being thought to receive a countenance from the general terms, in which obedience to the civil magistrate is ordained in scripture, it has continued to our days, and may, it is feared, still continue to perplex and mislead the judgments of too many amongst us.

YET it could hardly have kept its ground against so much light and evidence

dence as has been thrown at different times on this subject [f], but for an unlucky circumstance attending the days of reformation. This was the growth of puritanism and the republican spirit; which, in order to justify its attack on the legal constitutional rights of the crown, adopted the very fame principles with the jesuited party. And under these circumstances it is not to be thought strange that a principle, however true, which was difgraced by coming through fuch hands, should be generally condemned and execrated. The crown and mitre had reason to look upon both these forts of men as their mortal enemies. What wonder then they should unite in reprobating the political tenets, on which their common enmity was justified and fupported?

[f] In the writings, published by political men for twenty years together before the restoration; in which the great question of the origin of civil government was thoroughly canvassed.

This I take to be the true account of what the friends of liberty fo often object to us, "That the despotism of our "later princes has been owing to the "flavish doctrines of the clergy." The charge, so far as there is any colour for it, is not denied: and yet I should hope to see it urged against us with less acrimony, if it were once understood on what grounds these doctrines were taken up, and for what purposes they were maintained by the clergy.

### MR. SOMERS.

Beside's the candour of this acknowledgment, the part, which our clergy have lately acted, is, methinks, enough to abate and correct those hard sentiments, which, as you say, have been entertained against them.

#### SIR J. MAYNARD.

This apology feems indeed the best that can be made for them. But when

one

one confiders the baleful tendency of those doctrines, which were calculated to enflave the very fouls and consciences of men, and, by advancing princes into the rank of Gods, to abet and justify their tyranny, one cannot help feeling a strong refentment against the teachers of them, however they might themselves be imposed upon by feveral colourable pretences. Your lordship knows, I might proceed to further and still harder reflexions. But I have no pretence to indulge in them at this time, when a bishop is pleading fo warmly in the cause of liberty.

## BP. BURNET.

This tenderness to your friends, Sir John, is very obliging. But I would willingly engage your candour, in behalf of our order. Let me presume, for such a purpose, to second Mr. Somers's observation, "That the English clergy have at length attoned, in some meafure, for former miscarriages."

Vol. II.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

By their behaviour in a late critical conjuncture: And yet, to speak my mind frankly, the merit of their services, even on that occasion, is a little equivocal when one reslects how unwilling they seemed to take the alarm, till they were rouzed, at length, by their own immediate object, the church's danger?

#### BP. BURNET.

And can you wonder that what concerned them most, what they best understood, and was their proper and peculiar charge, should engage their principal attention? Besides, they went on principle, and with reason too, in supposing that no slight or partial breaches of law were sufficient to authorize resistance to the magistrate [g]. But when a general at-

[g] The bishop declares his opinion to this purpose very fully in several places of the history of his own times. His and his friend TILLOTSON'S retack

tack was made upon it, and the difpenfing power was fet up in defiance of all law, and to the manifest subversion of the constitution, the clergy were then as forward as any others to signalize themfelves in the common cause of liberty.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

THEIR old favourite doctrine of non-refistance was, I doubt, at the bottom of this cautious proceeding. But it was high time for them to lay it afide, when they faw it employed as the ready way for the introduction of that popery, which, as you fay, it was its first intention to keep out.

### BP. BURNET.

It certainly was.—But not to purfue this argument any further, let me return to the main point I had in view, which was "to account for the growth of the "regal power from the influence of the

presentations to the unhappy Lord Russell, no doubt, turned upon this principle.

"transferred fupremacy." There is still another instance, behind, which shews how well our princes understood the advantage they had gained, and how dextrously they improved it.

It feems prodigious, at first sight, that when the yoke of *Rome* was thrown off, the new church, erected in opposition to it, should still continue to be governed by the laws of the old. The pretence was, that this was only by way of interim, till a body of ecclesiastical laws could be formed: And, to cover this pretence the better, some steps were, in fact, taken towards the execution of such a design. But the meaning of the crown certainly was to uphold its darling supremacy, even on the old footing of the CANON LAWS.

This conclusion feems probable, if one confiders that those canons proceeded from an absolute spiritual monarch, and had

had a perpetual reference to his dominion; that they were formed upon the very genius, and did acknowledge the authority of the civil laws, the proper issue, as my lord commissioner has shewn us, of civil despotism. Whoever, I say, considers all this, will be inclined to think that the crown contrived this interim from the use the canon law was of to the extension of the prerogative. Accordingly it is certain, that the fucceeding monarchs, ELIZABETH, JAMES, and CHARLES, would never fuffer us to have a body of ecclefiaftical laws, from a fense of this utility in the old ones; and a consciousness, if ever they should fubmit a body of new laws to the legislature, that the parliament would form them altogether in the genius of a free church and state [b]; and perhaps

<sup>[</sup>b] The bishop gives the same account of this matter in his History of the Reformation. Part I. p. 330.

would be for affuming a share in their darling supremacy itself.

With those canon laws, and for the same purpose, as was observed to us, these princes retained a great affection for the interpreters of them, the canon and civil lawyers; till the genius of liberty rising and prevailing, in the end, over all the attempts of civil despotism, both the one and the other fell into gradual desuetude and contempt: and as the canonists were little regarded, so their law is now considered no further than as it is countenanced and supported by the law of *England*.

But to fee how convenient the doctrine of the canon law was for the maintenance of an absolute supremacy, it needs only be observed to you that one of these canons, is, "That it is not law"ful for any man to dispute of the "pope's

311

"pope's power." And to fee how exactly our kings were disposed to act upon it, one needs only recollect that immortal apophthegm of the elder James, already taken notice of, "That it is sedition for "the subject to dispute what a king may "do in the height of his power."

AND as the canon laws are the pope's laws, fo we are told, on the fame supreme authority, that the English laws are the king's. For thus on another occasion his majesty expresses himself .- " Although a " just prince" (I believe I repeat his very words) " will not take the life of any " of his subjects without a clear law: Yet "the fame laws, whereby he taketh " them, are made by himself, or his pre-" deceffors; and fo the power flows al-" ways from himfelf." - And again, " Although a good king will frame all " his actions to be according to the law, " yet is he not bound thereto but of his " good X 4

"good will, and for good example giving to his fubjects [i]."

Thus decreed that great school-master of the whole land (to give his majesty no harder a title than he was pleased to give himself) and it is difficult to say whence his supremacy extracted this golden rule of free monarchies, if not from the pope's own code of imperial canons.

Thus it appears what misconceptions arose, and what strange conclusions were drawn from the king's supremacy in spirituals. One might proceed further

[i] TRUE LAW OF FREE MONARCHIES, p. 203.— What is faid of the king's being the great feboolmaster of the land is taken from the same discourse, p. 204. His words are these—"The people of a borough cannot displace their provost—yea, even the poor school-master cannot be displaced by his feholars—How much less is it lawful upon any pretext to controul or displace the great provost and great school-master of the whole than !"

in contemplation of this subject, but I have wearied you too much already. You will fee from these several particulars how it came to pass that the RE-FORMATION, which was founded on the principles of liberty and supported by them, was yet for fome time the cause of strengthening the power of the crown. For though the exercise of private judgment, which was effential to protestantism, could not but tend to produce right notions of civil liberty, as well as of religious faith and discipline, and fo in the end was fated to bring about a just form of free government (as after fome struggles and commotions, we fee, it has happened) yet the translation of supremacy from the pope to the civil magistrate brought with it a mighty accession of authority which had very fenfible effects for feveral reigns afterwards. The mysterious sacredness and almost divinity which had lodged in the pope's person, was now inshrined

in the king's; and it is not wonderful that the people should find their imaginations strongly affected by this notion. And with this general preparation, it followed very naturally that, in the several ways here recounted, the crown should be disposed and enabled to extend its prerogative, till another change in the government was required to limit and circumscribe it, almost as great as that of the reformation.

#### MR. SOMERS.

I have listened with much pleasure to this deduction which your lordship has made from that important circumstance of the crown's supremacy in spirituals. I think it throws great light on the subject under consideration, and accounts in a clear manner for that appearance of despotism which the English government has worn from the times of reformation. I have only one difficulty remaining with me: but it is such an

one

one as feems to bear hard on the great hypothesis itself, so learnedly maintained by my lord commissioner in our late conversation, of the original free constitution of the English government. For allowing all you fay fo be true, does not the very translation of the pope's fupremacy to the king, confidered in itself, demonstrate that we had then, at least, no free constitution at all, to be invaded by the high claims of that prerogative? If we admit the existence of any fuch, the fupremacy of the church should, naturally, I think, have devolved upon the fupreme civil power; which with us, according to the present supposition, is in the three estates of the legislature. But this devolution, it feems, was on the the king alone; a public acknowledgment, as I take it, that the constitution of the government was at that time conceived to be, in the highest sense of the word, abfolutely MONARCHICAL.

#### BP. BURNET.

I was not, I confess, aware of this objection to our theory, which is very specious. Yet it may be sufficient, as I suppose, to reply to it, that the work of reformation was carried on and established by the whole legislature; and that the supremacy, in particular, though it of right belonged to the three estates, was by free consent surrendered and given up into the hands of the king. It is certain this power, though talked of as the antient right of the crown, was solemnly invested in it by act of parliament.

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

THERE may be fomething in this. Yet your lordship, I think, does not carry the matter quite far enough; and, with your leave, I will presume to give another, and perhaps the truer answer to Mr. Somers's difficulty. The subject is

a little nice, but I have not those scruples which may reasonably be conceived to restrain your lordship from enlarging upon it.

I REPLY then directly, and without foftening matters, that this irregular translation of the supremacy is no proof that there was not then a FREE CONSTI-TUTION, with a legitimate power in it, to which the supremacy belonged. And my reason, without offence to my lord of Salisbury, is this. When the papal authority was abolished, and the question came into parliament, who now became the head of the church, the fearch after him was not carried where it should have been, into the constitution of the kingdom; but, as it was a matter of religion, they mistook that, which was only an affair of church-discipline, to be a doctrine of theology; and fo fearched, for a folution of the question, in the New Testament, and Ecclesiastical History.

In the New Testament, obedience is pressed to the person of Cæsar, because an absolute monarchy was the only government in being; and, for the fame reason, when afterwards the empire became christian, the supremacy, as we know from ecclesiastical story, was assumed by the emperor; just as it would have been by the conful and fenate, had the republic existed. Hence our reformers, going altogether by scriptural and ecclefiaftical example, and hoping thereby to preferve their credit against the reproaches of Rome, which, as your lordship knows, was perpetually charging them with novelties and innovations in both respects, recurred to early antiquity for that rule.

This attention to ecclefiaftical example was, I suppose, a consideration of convenience with the wise fathers of our church: the other appeal to the Gospel, might be a matter of conscience with them.

them. And thus by force of one text, ill-understood, render unto Cæsar the things which are Cæsar's, they put the spiritual sword into the king's hands; just as by another, he beareth not the sword in vain (for I know of no better authority) the temporal sword had also been committed to his care.

#### MR. SOMERS.

This last intimation, I am apprehensive, would bear a further debate [k]. But I acquiesce in your answer to my particular question; I mean, unless the bishop of Salisbury warns me against submitting to so heretical a doctor.

### BP. BURNET.

My lord commissioner chuses to let slip no opportunity of exposing what he

[k] Mr. Somers had reason for saying this; for the intimation was no less than that the power of the militia was not in the king. Sir J. MAYNARD was of this opinion, when the matter was debated in parliament in 1642. See WHITLOCKE, p. 56.

takes

takes to be an error in ecclefiastical management. Either way, however, I am not displeased to find that his main thesis keeps its ground; and that, even according to his own account of the matter, the nation when it gave up the supremacy to the king, was in possession of a free and legal constitution.

On the whole, you give me leave then to prefume that the confiderations, now offered to you, afford a reasonable account of that despotic form under which the *English* government has appeared from the union of the two roses down to the subversion of the constitution in Charles the First's time.

OTHER causes concurred; but the reformation was the chief prop and pillar of the imperial dignity, while the constitution itself remained the same, or rather was continually gaining strength even by the necessary operation of those principles principles on which the reformation was founded. Religious liberty made way for the entertainment of civil, in all its branches. It could not be otherwife. It disposed the minds of men to throw off that sluggishness, in which they had slumbered for many ages. A spirit of inquiry prevailed. Inveterate errors were feen through; and prejudices of all forts fell off in proportion to the growth of letters, and the progress of reason.

The encreasing trade and wealth of the nation concurred with the temper of the times. The circulation of property brought on a natural relaxation of the feudal fystem. The plan of liberty was extended and enlarged; and the ballance of power soon fell into the hands of the people. This appeared very plainly from the influence of parliaments, and the daring attacks of many particular members on the highest and most favoured claims of prerogative. Our kings you. II,

were fensible of the alteration: but, instead of prudently giving way to it, they flew into the opposite extreme, and provoked the spirit of the times by the very reluctance they shewed on all occasions to comply with it. Every dormant privilege of the crown, every phantom of prerogative, which had kept the simpler ages in awe, was now very unfeafonably conjured up to terrify all that durst oppose themfelves to encroaching royalty. Lawyers and church-men were employed in this fervice. And in their fierce endeavour to uphold a tottering throne by false supports, they entirely overthrew it. The nation was out of all patience to hear the one decree the empire of the kings of England to be absolute and uncontrollable by human law: And the other gave more offence, than they found credit, by pretending that the right of kings to fuch empire was divine [1]. Every artifice indeed of

<sup>[1]</sup> The doctrines of divine right, as propagated by the churchmen of that time in their books and chicane

chicane and fophistry was called in to the support of these maxims of law and

fermons, are well known.-Those of the lawyers were fuch as these-It had been alledged on the part of Mr. HAMPDEN in the great cause of shipmoney, " that by a fundamental policy in the creation of the frame of this kingdom, in case the monarch of England should be inclined to exact from his subjects at his pleasure, he should be restrained, for that he could have nothing from them, but upon a common consent of parliament." Sir ROBERT BERKELY, one of the judges of the King's-bench affirmed-" That the law knows no fuch kingvoking policy:"-Sir THOMAS TREVOR, one of the barons of the Exchequer, "That our king hath as much power and prerogative belonging to him as any prince in Christendom:" The attorney general, Sir John Banks, "That the king of England hath an entire empire; he is an absolute monarch: nothing can be given to an absolute prince, but is inherent in his person." State Trials, vol. i. Such was the language of the guardians of the LAW, that temple or fanctuary, as it has been called, whither the subject is to run for shelter and protection. Had not Mr. Sr. John then much reason for saying, as he did on that occasion, "We have the fabric of the temple still; but the Gods, the DII TUTELARES, are gone." There is the more force and propriety in this centure, as it comes from a man who was himself of the profession. And an-Y 2 theology.

theology. But the feafon for religious and civil liberty to prevail over the impotent attempts of each, was at hand. The near approach of the divine form

other of the same order, the best and wifest perhaps that frequented the temple of law in those days, proceeds with a just indignation still further -" These men (said Mr. HIDE, in a speech to the lords) have, upon vulgar fears, delivered up the precious forts they were trusted with, almost without assault; and in a tame easy trance of flattery and servitude, lost and forfeited (shamefully forfeited) that reputation, awe, and reverence, which the wisdom, courage, and gravity of their venerable predecessors had contracted and fastened to their places; and have even rendered that study and profession, which in all ages hath been, and I hope now shall be, of honourable estimation, so contemptible and vile, that had not this bleffed day come, [the day of impeachment of the fix judges all men would have had that quarrel to the law itself, which MARCIUS had to the Greek tongue, who thought it a mockery to learn that language, the masters whereof lived in bondage under others."-Thus these eloquent apologists for law and liberty. The conclusion is, that, though, in the great bodies of churchmen and lawyers, fome will always be found to dishonour themselves, there have never been wanting others to do justice to the public, and to affert, maintain, and preserve the dignity of their respective professions.

created

created an enthusiasm, which nothing could resist. It frustrated the generous views even of her first and sincerest worshipers. In the career of those ecstatic orgies, the unhappy king could not prevent his ministers, first, and afterwards the constitution itself, from falling a victim to that fury, which, in the end, forced off his own head.

Such was the iffue of this desperate conslict between prerogative and liberty. The wonder was, that this fatal experience should not have rectified all mistakes, and have settled the government on a sure and lasting basis at the restoration. The people were convinced, that nothing more was requisite to their happiness, than the secure possession of their antient legal constitution. The recalled family were not so wise. And in their attempts to revive those old exploded claims, which had succeeded so ill with their predecessors, they once

more fell from the throne, and left is to the possession of that glorious prince whom the greatly-injured nation has now called to it.

This then will be confidered by grateful posterity as the true æra of English liberty. It was interwoven indeed with the very principles of the constitution. It was inclosed in the antient trunk of the feudal law, and was propagated from it [m]. But its operation was weak and partial in that state

[m] This appears even from Mr. Hume's own account of the feudal times; incomparably the best part of his History of England. And it is to be prefumed that, if so ingenuous a writer had begun his work at the right end, he would have been led, by the evidence of so palpable a truth, to express himfelf more favourably, indeed more consistently, of the English constitution. But having, by some odd chance, written the history of the STUARTS, first, and afterwards, of the TUDORS (in both which he found it for his purpose to adopt the notion of a despotic independent spirit in the English monarchy) he chuses in the last part of his work, which contains the history of England from JULIUS CESAR to

of its infancy. It acquired fresh force and vigour with age, and has now at

HENRY VII, to abide by his former fancy; on this pretence, that, in the administration of the feudal government, the liberty of the subject was incomplete and partial; often precarious and uncertain: a way, in which the learned historian might prove that no nation under heaven ever was, or ever will be, possessed of a free constitution.

By the FREE CONSTITUTION of the English monarchy, every advocate of liberty, that understands himself, I suppose, means, That limited plan of policy, by which the supreme legislative power (including in this general term the power of levying money) is lodged, not in the prince singly, but jointly in the prince and people; whether the popular part of the constitution be denominated the king's or kingdom's great council, as it was in the proper feudal times; or the parliament, as it came to be called afterwards; or, lastly, the two houses of parliament, as the style has now been for several ages.

To tell us, that this constitution has been different at different times, because the regal or popular influence has at different times been more or less predominant, is only playing with a word, and confounding constitution with administration. According to this way of speaking, we have not only had three or four \*, but possibly three or four foore different constitutions. So long as that great distribution of

327

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Hume's Hift. vol. v. p. 472. n. ed. 80. 1763.

length extended its influence to every part of the political fystem.

Henceforward, may we not indulge in the expectation that both prince and people will be too wife to violate this glorious constitution: the only one in the

the supreme authority took place (and it has constantly and invariably taken place, whatever other changes there might be, from the Norman establishment down to our times) the nation was always enabled, at least authorized, to regulate all subordinate, or, if you will, surpereminent claims and pretenfions. This it effectually did at the Revolution; and, by so doing, has not created a new plan of policy, but perfected the old one. The great MASTER-WHEEL of the English constitution is still the same; only freed from those checks and restraints, by which, under the specious name of Prerogatives, time and opportunity had taught our kings to obstruct and embarrass its free and regular movements.

On the whole, it is to be lamented that Mr. Hume's too zealous concern for the honour of the house of Stuart, operating uniformly through all the volumes of his history, has brought disgrace on a work, which, in the main, is agreeably written, and is indeed the most readible general account of the English affairs, that has yet been given to the

public.

records

records of time, which hath ever attained to the perfection of civil government? All the bleffings of freedom which can confift with kingly rule, the people have: all the prerogatives of royalty, which can confift with civil freedom, are indulged to the king. From this just intermixture of the popular and regal forms, planted together in the earliest days, but grown up at length to full maturity, there arises a reasonable hope that the English constitution will flourish to the latest ages; and continue, through them all, the boast and glory of our country, and the envy and admiration of the rest of the world.

## MR. SOMERS.

How generous in your lordship is this patriot augury of immortality to the English constitution! Yet I dare not be fo fanguine in my expectations  $\lceil n \rceil$ .

[n] A great lawyer however, and one of the ornaments of Mr. Somers's own house, is not afraid to indulge in these generous expectations.

And VOL. II.

And Sir John Maynard, I suspect, who has seen the madness of kings and people, in their turns, will hardly expect it from me. It may be sufficient that we put up our ardent vows to heaven for the long continuance of it. Less than this cannot be dispensed with in an honest man. Every blessing of civil-policy is secured to us by this new but constitutional settlement. And may our happy country enjoy it, at least as long as they have the sense to value, and the virtue to deserve it!

### SIR J. MAYNARD.

When these fail, our wishes, and even prayers themselves will hardly preserve

In a late treatife, in which he explains, with exquisite learning, the genius of the feudal policy, "These principles, says he, are the principles of freedom, of justice, and safety. The English constitution is formed upon them. Their reason will subsist, as long as the frame of it shall stand; and being maintained in purity and vigour, will preserve it from the usual mortality of government." Considerations on the Law of Ferseiture. 3d. Ed. Lond. 1748.

us. Vice and folly, as you fay, may do much towards defeating the purposes of the best government. What effect these may have, in time, on the English liberty, I would not, for the omen's fake, undertake to fay. You, my lord, and Mr. Somers (who are so much younger men) may be able, hereafter, to conjecture with more certainty of its duration. It is enough for me that I have lived to see my country in possessions.

The End of the SECOND VOLUME.











